

Tentative Rulings for April 20, 2026 Department 1

**To request oral argument, you must notify Judicial Secretary
Crystal Marias at (760) 904-5722
and inform all other counsel no later than 4:30 p.m.**

This court follows California Rules of Court, Rule 3.1308 (a) (1) for tentative rulings (see Riverside Superior Court Local Rule 3316). Tentative Rulings for each law & motion matter are posted on the Internet by 3:00 p.m. on the court day immediately before the hearing at [Riverside Superior Court-Tentative Rulings](#). If you do not have Internet access, you may obtain the tentative ruling by telephone at (760) 904-5722.

To request oral argument, no later than 4:30 p.m. on the court day before the hearing you must (1) notify the judicial secretary for Department 1 at (760) 904-5722 and (2) inform all other parties of the request and of their need to appear remotely, as stated below. If no request for oral argument is made by 4:30 p.m., the tentative ruling **will become the final ruling** on the matter effective the date of the hearing. **UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, THE PREVAILING PARTY IS TO GIVE NOTICE OF THE RULING.**

For information and instructions on remote appearances via **ZOOM**, visit the court's website at [Riverside Superior Court-Remote Appearances](#)

You may also make a Telephonic Appearance: On the day of the hearing, call into one of the below listed phone numbers, and input the meeting number (followed by #):

- Call-in Numbers: 1-833-568-8864 (Toll Free), 1-669-254-5252,
1-669-216-1590, 1-551-285-1373 or 1-646-828-7666
- Meeting Number: **160 638 4172**

Please **MUTE** your phone until your case is called and it is your turn to speak. It is important to note that you must call fifteen (15) minutes prior to the scheduled hearing time to check in or there may be a delay in your case being heard.

Riverside Superior Court provides official court reporters for hearings on law and motion matters only for litigants who have been granted fee waivers and only upon their timely request. (See General Administrative Order No. 2021-19-1) Other parties desiring a record of the hearing must retain a reporter pro tempore.

1.

CASE #	CASE NAME	HEARING NAME
CVRI2203044	HOSEY VS COUPANG GLOBAL LLC.	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT

Tentative Ruling: Appearances requested to discuss:

1. Release should be limited to the claims that were actually alleged, not just that “could” have been alleged and that were stated in the LWDA notices.
2. Revise proposed order to attach opt out and objection forms and to list the duties of the settlement administrator, including translating the notice into Spanish.
3. Revise notice to state that the Court has determined only that there is evidence suggesting that the settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable but that a final determination will be made at the final approval hearing.
4. Add to notice where the settlement agreement can be found in the Court’s records (Declaration of John G. Yslas file March 23, 2026, Ex. 8) and include the Court’s web and street addresses.
5. Revise proposed order to require the administrator to redact any SSNs (or portion thereof) on any opt out or objection forms filed with the motion for final approval.
6. Enhancement awards to 11 named plaintiffs—they won’t get much from the class settlement, but this devotes over 10 percent of the settlement to 11 people.

2.

CASE #	CASE NAME	HEARING NAME
CVRI2300309	CASTILLO VS ROMANO'S - MISSION GROVE, INC.	MOTION TO BE RELIEVED AS COUNSEL FOR ROMANO'S - MISSION GROVE, INC.

Tentative Ruling: No tentative ruling, appearances requested.

3.

CASE #	CASE NAME	HEARING NAME
CVRI2402455	SALAZAR VS GBC CONCRETE AND MASONRY CONSTRUCTION, INC.	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Tentative Ruling: Appearances requested to discuss:

1. When was the LWDA notice sent? Confirm PAGA period is not only 10 days—May 3, 2025 to May 13, 2025 (Nourmand declaration, ¶ 7; settlement agreement § 1.30 says May 3, 2023 to May 13, 2025).
2. No declaration from defense counsel concerning knowledge of other cases making similar class, collective or representative claims.
3. Definition of released parties is broader than that in the CMO.
4. Add notice, opt out and objection forms to the proposed order as exhibits.
5. No declaration from defendant concerning class members’ likely age, education, experience, and ability to read a notice in English.
6. Add to notice the full title and date of filing of the document to which the settlement agreement is attached (Declaration of Michael Nourmand filed as a part of the notice of

motion for approval on February 11, 2026, Ex. 1) as well as the Court's web and street addresses (notice, page 28 as attached to the Nourmand Declaration).

7. Add to proposed order that the settlement administrator will redact all or any portion of any SSNs on forms submitted with the motion for final approval.

4.

CASE #	CASE NAME	HEARING NAME
CVRI2402791	CUEVAS vs ROSS DRESS FOR LESS, INC.	MOTION TO FILE PLAINTIFF'S DECLARATION IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION

Tentative Ruling: No tentative ruling, appearances requested.

5.

CASE #	CASE NAME	HEARING NAME
CVRI2407382	CLAYTON vs WABASH NATIONAL CORPORATION	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT

Tentative Ruling: Appearances requested to discuss:

1. Limit class release to claims actually alleged in the complaint, not including those that could have been alleged.
2. Add final approval hearing date to order.
3. Add to the objection and opt out forms the administrator's name and contact information and the deadline to submit the form.

6.

CASE #	CASE NAME	HEARING NAME
CVRI2506052	LOGAN vs ROSS STORES, INC.	MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION

Tentative Ruling: Appearances requested to discuss the request for judicial notice submitted with the reply brief; what is the precedential value of the six trial court orders attached?

7.

CASE #	CASE NAME	HEARING NAME
CVRI2506052	LOGAN vs ROSS STORES, INC.	JOINDER TO MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION

Tentative Ruling: Appearances requested to discuss whether plaintiff has had a sufficient opportunity to oppose the motion as joined by the Ross defendants' joinder to the Adecco USA, Inc. motion.

8.

CASE #	CASE NAME	HEARING NAME
CIV208568	CITY OF BARSTOW vs CITY OF ADELANTO	WATERMASTER'S RENEWED MOTION FOR DETERMINATION OF HYDROLOGIC BASE PERIOD FOR CALCULATION OF PRODUCTION SAFE YIELD VALUES

Tentative Ruling: The Court regrets that it does not yet have a tentative ruling. The parties may appear in person or remotely, as they prefer.

Due to the unavailability of Department 1, this case is to be called by Ret. Judge Craig Riemer at 1:30 p.m. in Department 04.

9.

CASE #	CASE NAME	HEARING NAME
CIV208568	CITY OF BARSTOW vs CITY OF ADELANTO	MOTION TO SELECT HYDROLOGIC BASE PERIOD OF 1995-2024

Tentative Ruling: The Court regrets that it does not yet have a tentative ruling. The parties may appear in person or remotely, as they prefer.

Due to the unavailability of Department 1, this case is to be called by Ret. Judge Craig Riemer at 1:30 p.m. in Department 04.