

Nicholas F. Bonsignore, P.E. Robert C. Wagner, P.E. Paula J. Whealen Martin Berber, P.E. Patrick W. Ervin, P.E. David P. Lounsbury, P.E. Vincent Maples, P.E. Leah Orloff, Ph.D, P.E. David H. Peterson, C.E.G., C.H.G. Ryan E. Stolfus

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

To: Mojave Basin Area Watermaster

From: Robert Wagner, P.E., A. Leonardo Urrego-Vallowe

Date: May 30, 2025

Re: Responses to Court's questions to be addressed in the next motion to adjust FPA

In October 2024, the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside ordered the Watermaster to re-evaluate PSY for the Alto, Centro, Baja and Oeste subareas, including the Transition Zone (TZ). The Court also presented questions to be answered by Watermaster in the next motion for adjustments to Free Production Allowance. The Court had also presented some of the same questions in its July 2024 Order. The purpose of this Technical Memorandum is to answer each of the Court's questions.

QUESTIONS TO BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT MOTION TO ADJUST FPA.

1. If the motion describes the production of nonparties:

a. What is the significance of that production to the Court's consideration of proposed changes to the FPA of parties?

Nonparty pumpers include Minimal Producers and other persons who produce more than 10 acre-feet of groundwater annually or who use groundwater for the unlawful cultivation of cannabis; although not designated as Minimal Producers, this includes as well the Cardozo Appellants who are parties to the Judgment. Watermaster estimates the amount of pumping by all nonparty producers. Some of the Cardozo Appellants report their production and the reminder of the Cardozo Appellants' production is estimated by Watermaster.

The latest estimates indicate nonparty groundwater production exceeding 10 acre-feet per year (i.e., non-Minimal Producer production) totals approximately 1,500 acre-feet per year. Nonparty groundwater production has been estimated using 2024 aerial imagery of irrigated acreage, and evapotranspiration of applied water (ETaw) from CUP+ -- CUP+ is a tool developed by DWR and the University of California, Davis to estimate ETaw values specific for each crop type.

2151 River Plaza Drive • Suite 100 • Sacramento, CA 95833-4133 Ph: 916-441-6850 • Fax: 916-779-3120

The Minimal Producer estimate that has been used for PSY calculations (7,077 acre-feet annually) was published February 16, 2000 by Albert A Webb Associates (Webb) under the title "Consumptive Water Use Study and Update of Production Safe Yield Calculations for the Mojave Basin Area". Periodic work performed thereafter by the Mojave Water Agency (MWA) concluded that the estimated groundwater production by Minimal Producers totals approximately 4,971 acre-feet annually. See Minimal Producer groundwater production analysis in 2013 Staff Report by MWA, **Attachment A** hereto. Using the higher and more conservative amount of 7,077 acre-feet per year ensures that all nonparty groundwater production is accounted for; accordingly, the estimated 7,077 acre-feet annually of groundwater production by nonparties has been included in Watermaster's PSY calculations.

b. Does "Producer" as defined in the judgment (at p. 11) include nonparty pumpers?

The Judgment defines "producer(s)" as a person who produces water, except for Minimal Producers. Thus, nonparties who produce more than 10 acre-feet per year are considered to be "producers," while persons producing less than 10 acre-feet of groundwater annually (i.e., Minimal Producers) are not considered to be "producers" as that term is defined in the Judgment.

c. Does "Free Production Allowance," as defined in the judgment (at p. 9) include water pumped by nonparty pumpers?

The Judgment defines Free Production Allowance (FPA) as "The total amount of water, and any Producer's share thereof, that may be Produced from a Subarea each Year free of any Replacement Obligation." (p. 9, para. "k".) The only persons who are bound by the Judgment and are subject to the Replacement Obligations provided for therein, are those persons who are Parties to the Judgment. Additionally, the Judgment does not assign a Base Annual Production right or a Free Production Allowance to persons who are not Parties to the Judgment, and BAP is one of the bases for determining a Party's FPA in any given year. Accordingly, FPA does not directly include water produced by nonparty pumpers. As explained below, however, groundwater production **by all persons**, including nonparties, is considered in determining Production Safe Yield, and PSY determines whether FPA must be ramped down. Therefore, production by nonparty pumpers is considered in determining PSY, but FPA itself does not include water pumped by nonparty pumpers.

d. Does the judgment require the Court to consider nonparty production when determining whether, and to what extent, the FPA of the parties to the judgment should be reduced? If not, does it allow the Court to do so?

Yes, the Judgment requires the Court to consider nonparty production when determining the FPA. The Judgment defines Production Safe Yield as "The highest average Annual Amount of water that can be produced from a Subarea: (1) over a sequence of years that is representative of long-term average annual natural water supply to the Subarea net of long-term average annual natural outflow from the Subarea, (2) under given patterns of Production, applied water, return flows and Consumptive Use, and (3) without resulting in a long-term net reduction of groundwater



in storage in the Subarea." Therefore, in determining PSY all groundwater production ("under given patterns of Production, applied water, return flows and Consumptive Use . . . without resulting in a long-term net reduction of groundwater in storage") must be considered. This includes nonparty production. Therefore, the Court is required to consider pumping by nonparty pumpers when determining PSY and, correspondingly, to what extent the FPA of the parties to the Judgment should be reduced.

2. Exhibit G, paragraph 1, refers to "average Annual and minimum Annual Subsurface Flows and/or Base Flows per Year." Does the Judgment set a minimum annual subsurface flow that must be met each year, or only establish the requisite average annual flows?

Exhibit G, paragraph 1 states what Producers are required to do "to provide the following average Annual and minimum Annual Subsurface Flows <u>and/or</u> Base Flows per year." (Underscoring added.) Based on the "and/or" provision, this language could be interpreted to mean Producers are required to meet one of the two options, but not both. If so, then Producers could satisfy the requirement by providing average Annual and minimum Annual <u>Base Flows</u> per year, without providing average Annual and minimum Annual <u>Subsurface Flows</u> per year.

Moreover, recognizing it is not possible to "measure" subsurface flows, as to the Alto Subarea, paragraph 1, subdivision (e) of Exhibit G provides: "For the purposes of Paragraph 6 of this Exhibit G, the Subsurface Flow component **shall** be deemed to be 2,000 acre-feet per Year. In any Year Alto Subarea Producers shall have an obligation to provide to the Transition Zone a minimum combined Subsurface Flow and Base Flow as follows: ..."

Accordingly, as to the Alto Subarea, Subsurface Flow "shall" be deemed to be "2,000 acrefeet per year" (subject to the provisions of paragraph 6 discussed below). This mandate eliminates any need or reason to even attempt to measure the subsurface flows in the Alto Subarea. Moreover, paragraph 2, subdivision (b) of Exhibit G provides that "the MWA shall establish key wells to be used to monitor Groundwater levels in the Transition Zone and, subject to approval of the Court, Watermaster shall establish minimum water levels to be maintained in the key wells." All of that has been accomplished, and the establishment of minimum water levels in key wells located in the Transition Zone ensures that subsurface water passes through the Transition Zone and into the Centro Subarea. By maintaining minimum water levels, the gradient through the Transition Zone and across the Helendale Fault are relatively unchanged, and since the hydraulic conductivity values are assumed to remain constant, this ensures the subsurface flows are passing from the Transition Zone to the Centro Subarea. This was explained in the 1996 Amended Statement of Decision Section F. 2. as follows: "The transition zone has a fairly stable water level. It is necessary to maintain that water level so that the surface flows passing the Lower Narrows and the subsurface inflow into the transition zone will reach the Helendale Fault, and hence downstream areas: the flows at the Helendale Fault will in the future be measured using monitoring wells to insure that water levels are maintained within the transition zone." Excerpts from the 1996 Amended Statement of Decision describing this are included in Attachment B. Watermaster determines surface and subsurface flows at the Helendale Fault in compliance with the 1996 Amended Statement of Decision.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, paragraph 6 of Exhibit G of the Judgment provides: "Some



Subarea Obligations are expressed as average Annual or minimum Annual Subsurface Flow. In all cases the Subsurface Flow obligations have been established initially at amounts equal to the estimated historical average Subsurface Flow across Subarea boundaries. Not later than two Years following entry of this Judgment MWA shall begin to install monitoring wells to be used to obtain data to enable improved estimates of Subsurface Flow at each Subarea boundary where there is a Subsurface Flow obligation and to develop methodology for future determinations of actual Subsurface Flow. Not later than ten years following entry of this Judgment Watermaster shall prepare a report setting forth the results of the monitoring program and the future methodology. Following opportunity for review of Watermaster's report by all Parties, Watermaster shall prepare a recommendation to the Court as to the likely accuracy of the estimated historical Subsurface Flows and any revision of Subarea Obligations that may be indicated. Pending Watermaster's report to the Court, Subsurface Flows shall be assumed to be equal to the Subsurface Flow obligations for purpose[s] of accounting for compliance therewith."

All of this also was done, to wit: monitoring wells were installed and in 2006, Watermaster considered and adopted a recommendation, presented to the Court in April 2006, to establish subsurface flow obligations. "Notification was given to all the parties that an investigation was conducted and a report was prepared to determine changes to the subsurface flow amounts specified in the Judgment. No requests were received for copies of the report or for inspection and no comments were received. Watermaster held a detailed workshop on February 22, 2006 and took formal action on March 22, 2006 to adopt the recommended subsurface flow obligations." (Notice of Motion and Motion to adjust Free Production Allowance for Water Year 2006-2007; Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and Declaration of Robert C. Wagner in Support thereof, page 7 lines 12-16 of Memorandum of Points and Authorities). In addition, this memorandum stated, "The subsurface flow obligations for Este to Alto (200 acre-feet), Alto to Centro (2,000 acre-feet) and Centro to Baja (1,200 acre-feet) are recommended to remain unchanged." (page 8, lines 4-5). A copy of this memoranda and Notice of Motion and Motion to adjust Free Production Allowance for Water Year 2006-2007 is included as **Attachment C**.

The subsurface flow for Oeste to Alto of 800 acre-feet was formally adopted by Watermaster during the 2006-07 Water Year and reported to the Court in it's Notice of Motion and Motion to Adjust Free Production Allowance for Water Year 2007-2008, Memorandum of Points and Authorities, page 8, lines1-2 (included herein as **Attachment D**).

In the Court's Order Granting Motion to Adjust Free Production Allowance for Water Year 2007-2008, Watermaster was relieved of the obligation to determine the flow from Baja across the MWA boundary to Afton (page 3, lines 7-8 of **Attachment E**).

In 2019, Watermaster prepared and submitted to the Court a report titled "Consumptive Water User Study and Production Safe Yield Update, 2017-18 Water Year" (see Attachment F). As part of the 2019 PSY update for the Mojave Basin, an evaluation of subsurface flows between subareas was performed. This analysis indicated little change in the groundwater levels or gradients between the subarea boundaries and, therefore, the subsurface flow estimates (Alto - Transition Zone to Centro, Centro to Baja, Este to Alto, and Oeste to Alto) remained the same as previously evaluated by Webb in 2000, the USGS in 2001 and a 2005 study by California State



University Fullerton. The conclusions from this report stated that "it appears that the subsurface component of the subarea obligations called for in the Judgement continue to be met."

The Judgment does not provide for or require any further evaluation or study of the Subsurface Flow among subareas. Accordingly, the Judgment continues to provide that Subsurface Flow through the Transition Zone "**shall**" be deemed to be 2,000 acre-feet per Year. Watermaster has recently updated the estimates of subsurface flows through the Transition Zone in the area near the Helendale Fault. The results of these estimates are provided in more detail in the response to question 6.

The Upper Mojave River Basin Model prepared by MWA provided the subsurface flow from Este to Alto to be 1,558 acre-feet per year (2001-2020 average) and 1,674 acre-feet per year for the entire model calibration period (1951-2020 average). The minimum annual subsurface flow during the entire model calibration period (1951-2020) was 1,526 acre-feet. This demonstrates that Este has met its average annual subsurface flow and the minimum annual subsurface flow obligation to Alto since at least 1951.

In regard to the subsurface flows from Oeste to Alto, the Upper Mojave River Basin Model provided the subsurface flow to be 3,319 acre-feet per year (2001-2020 average) and 3,051 acre-feet per year for the entire model calibration period (1951-2020 average). The minimum annual subsurface flow during the entire model calibration period (1951-2020) was 1,829 acre-feet. This demonstrates that Oeste has met its average annual subsurface flow and the minimum annual subsurface flow obligation to Alto since at least 1951.

Lastly, MWA is finalizing the Regional Groundwater Model which will provide more reliable estimates of the subsurface flow from the Transition Zone into Centro.

3. The Watermaster has stated that, except for consumptive uses in the TZ, all the water that flows into the TZ passes the Helendale Fault into the Centro subarea. (Amd. Oppo. p.3.)

a. Is that conclusion true for both surface and subsurface flows?

Yes. The network of monitoring wells in the TZ supports this conclusion. The TZ monitoring wells show stable long-term water levels throughout the TZ, including the area near the Helendale Fault. This indicates that long-term average supply into the Transition Zone (minus all Consumptive Uses) equals the long-term average outflows to the Centro subarea at the Helendale Fault, as explained in the paragraphs below. The stable water levels in the TZ also allow storm flows to pass into Centro.

Hydrographs showing water levels in the Transition Zone and in the Centro subarea near the Helendale Fault are provided in **Attachment G**, including the location map of these wells. The attached hydrographs show measured water levels at seven (7) monitoring wells in the Transition Zone and three (3) monitoring wells in Centro subarea located right below the Helendale Fault. These hydrographs show the depth to water in feet at each well (primary vertical axis) and bar



chart with the total historical production in acre-feet from the Transition Zone (secondary vertical axis). The production data shows the historical increase in pumping that started in the 1950s caused the noticeable decline in water levels after 1950, and the reversing of that trend from the reduction in pumping after the 1990s due to ramp-down.

The hydrographs show groundwater levels to be relatively stable over time, with a few wells showing a rise in water levels since at least 1996 (after entry of the Judgment).

The last hydrograph titled "Transition Zone Production & Average Water Level for all Wells" is a compilation of all shallow wells (i.e., less than 50-feet of depth to water) located in the Transition Zone. The average depth to water for all these shallow wells in the Transition Zone indicates that water levels have increased about 5 feet since 1931, and about 20 feet since 1990.

Importantly, the average depth to water from the pre-development conditions (1930 – 1950) was 15.56 feet, which is the same as the average depth to water with and during the implementation of the Judgment (1996 - 2024) of 15.57 feet.

The flow requirements between subareas are described in the 1996 Amended Statement of Decision Section F. 2. (c) "Alto to Centro 21,000 acre-feet average annual surface flow as measured at the lower narrows (and maintained by an immediate replacement water obligation in the transition zone **to form a water bridge** down to the Helendale Fault) plus a 2,000 acre-feet average annual subsurface flow as estimated in Bulletin 84;..."

As per the 1996 Amended Statement of Decision, the surface water requirement from Alto to Centro of 21,000 acre-feet **is measured at the Lower Narrows**, not at the Helendale Fault. Excerpts from the 1996 Amended Statement of Decision confirming this requirement are included in **Attachment B**. Accordingly, for the last 29 years, Watermaster has looked to Base Flow **as measured at the Lower Narrows** to determine whether the Alto producers have satisfied their annual surface flow obligation to Centro. Therefore, in actuality and as a practical matter, the Alto Producers' obligation is to the TZ, and the Judgment does not guarantee or require that 21,000 acre-feet of surface flow reaches Centro each year. If 21,000 acre-feet of surface water does not reach the Transition Zone, then as defined by Exhibit G of the Judgment and calculated by Watermaster, the difference corresponds to the Alto Producers' Makeup Obligation and is the amount of water delivered to Centro to satisfy the Makeup Obligation.

The Makeup Obligation from Alto to Centro is determined by the base flow at Lower Narrows, subsurface flow deemed by the Judgment, and the treated water discharge from VVWRA in the TZ. Attachment H is Table 4-3 from the draft Thirty-First Annual Report of the Mojave Basin Area Watermaster. This attachment shows the yearly Makeup Obligations from Alto to Centro from Water Years 2014-2015 to 2023-2024. It demonstrates that Alto producers have met their subarea obligation. Each year Watermaster reports the preceding ten (10) years; however, the compilation is available in the Annual Reports since Water Year 1993-1994. It is important to note that there are only two inputs to determining the Makeup Obligation; (1) base flow and subsurface flow at Lower Narrows, and (2) VVWRA discharges.



b. Are there measurements that support that conclusion?

The hydrographs provided in **Attachment G** with measured long-term water levels support this conclusion. In addition, MWA conducted a Mann-Kendall (MK) statistical test to establish groundwater level trends for all wells located in the Transition Zone to determine whether there is a trend of water levels over time. The MK test was applied to the depth to water of 39 shallow wells from 1990 to 2024, 40 wells from 1996 to 2024 and 7 wells from 1931 to 1990. This statistical analysis concluded that approximately 70% (1996-2024) to 80% (1990-2024) of the shallow wells in the TZ show either a rise of groundwater levels (moderate to very strong upward trend) or no change (weak to negligible trend) for the periods from 1996 to 2024 and 1990 to 2024. **Attachment I** is a Technical Memorandum that describes the results from this analysis.

To calculate the surface and subsurface flows from the Transition Zone into Centro (at the Helendale Fault), Watermaster relies on the following measurements:

(I) measured surface flow into the Transition Zone as measured by USGS flow gage Mojave River at the Lower Narrows, near Victorville (measurements made weekly by USGS staff);

(II) measured discharge of treated wastewater effluent by VVWRA located within the TZ;

- (III) measured groundwater production in the TZ;
 - 99% of water pumped is metered/measured since at least 2012
 - 93% by flow meters
 - 7% by pump test and electrical records (one producer)

(IV) measured precipitation as published by NOAA at Victorville station.

Additionally, the recently installed stream gage near Hodge (9.2 river miles downstream from the Helendale Fault) further confirms the conclusion that all water that flows into the TZ, plus the treated wastewater from VVWRA in the TZ, less consumptive uses in the TZ, plus return flows to the TZ, pass into the Centro Subarea through the Helendale Fault.

Attachment J provides the hydrographs of the Mojave River surface flows measured by the USGS at the Lower Narrows (USGS gage #10261500) and near Hodge (USGS gage #10262000). The distance between these two gages is about 23.7 river miles. In Water Year 2022-2023, total volume of surface water at the Lower Narrows was 96,612 acre-feet, and total volume of surface water near Hodge was 84,410 acre-feet. The reason for the difference (water loss) between the two gages is considered as recharge to the aquifer and is explained in more detail later herein. In Water Year 2023-2024, total volume of surface water at the Lower Narrows was 41,450 acre-feet, and the total volume of water near Hodge was 41,819 acre-feet. These latter two numbers are almost the same. This demonstrates that the Transition Zone generally allows for surface, subsurface and storm flows to pass into the Centro subarea. The tables below provide the measurements and Watermaster calculations of the inflows to the Transition Zone and the outflows from the Transition Zone into Centro subarea for Water Years 2022-2023 and 2023-2024.



Water Year 2023

Transition Zone Hydrological Inventory Based on Various Supply Assumptions and 2022-23 Consumptive Use, Return Flow, and Imports (All Amounts in Acre-Feet)

Inflow	Flow (acre-feet)	Notes
Surface Water Inflow	96,612	(1)
VVWRA	14,274	(2)
Subsurface Inflow	2,000	(3)
Ungaged Inflows	745	
Total:	113,631	
Outflow		
Surface Water Outflow	98,847	(4)
Subsurface Outflow	2,000	(5)
Agriculture	835	
Urban	5,771	(6)
Riparian Habitat	6,178	(7)
Total:	113,631	

Notes:

1. Water Year 2023 Lower Narrows Near Victorville, CA (10261500).

2. Total discharge to Transition Zone from VVWRA, 2022-23 Water Year.

3. Assumed from Exhibit G of the Judgment.

4. Estimated based on reported flows at USGS gaging station, Mojave River at Victorville Narrows and 2022-23 Consumptive Use (Watermaster Engineer, 2023).

5. Pursuant to Judgement after trial. (1996)

- 6. Includes consumptive use of "Minimals Pool" (estimated Minimal's production is 246 af).
- 7. Average consumptive use, using remote sensing from 2008 to 2023. Lines and Bilhorn (1996)



Water Year 2024

Transition Zone Hydrological Inventory Based on Various Supply Assumptions and 2023-24 Consumptive Use, Return Flow, and Imports (All Amounts in Acre-Feet)

Inflow	Flow (acre-feet)	Notes
Surface Water Inflow	41,447	(1)
VVWRA	14,290	(2)
Subsurface Inflow	2,000	(3)
Ungaged Inflows	242	
Total:	57,979	
Outflow		
Surface Water Outflow	43,524	(4)
Subsurface Outflow	2,000	(5)
Agriculture	727	
Urban	5,550	(6)
Riparian Habitat	6,178	(7)
Total:	57,979	

Notes:

1. Water Year 2024 Lower Narrows Near Victorville, CA (10261500).

2. Total discharge to Transition Zone from VVWRA, 2023-24 Water Year.

3. Assumed from Exhibit G of the Judgment.

4. Estimated based on reported flows at USGS gaging station, Mojave River at Victorville Narrows and 2023-24 Consumptive Use (Watermaster Engineer, 2024).

5. Pursuant to Judgement after trial. (1996)

- 6. Includes consumptive use of "Minimals Pool" (estimated Minimal's production is 246 af).
- 7. Average consumptive use, using remote sensing from 2008 to 2023. Lines and Bilhorn (1996)

Under the conditions, in Water Year 2022-2023, the total volume of surface water near Hodge was 84,410 acre-feet and the calculated surface water outflow from the Transition Zone was 98,847 acre-feet per year. In the following Water Year 2023-2024, the total volume of surface water near Hodge was 41,819 acre-feet and the calculated surface water outflow from the Transition Zone was 43,524 acre-feet per year.

Hardt (1971) described the flow in the Mojave River system as extremely complex: the upstream area between The Forks and Helendale normally receives river recharge, whereas the lower half receives recharge from large floods. The amount of streamflow available for recharge varies from year to year depending on the river-channel characteristics and the climatic conditions.

Wagner Bonsignore Consulting Civil Engineers, A Corporation

In addition, recharge (water losses) between gaging stations are not uniform and rely on different factors such as the characteristics of the flood event, the location of riparian vegetation, geologic features of the basin, and antecedent conditions of soil moisture above the water table. Hardt (1971) states that "Water losses to the subsurface are much greater during the first high flow or flood of the winter because the soil is dry after 6-8 months of no flow in the river. After the river bottom has been wetted, subsequent floods of similar discharge move farther downstream." This is the reason why in Water Year 2022-2023, total volume of surface water at the Lower Narrows was 96,612 acre-feet, and total volume of surface water near Hodge was 84,410 acre-feet.

Durbin and Hardt (1974) explained that the quantity of water that infiltrates into the streambed is a function of (1) the length of time that the channel contains water, (2) the permeability of the streambed, (3) the area of the channel that is wetted, and (4) the prior accumulation of infiltrated water.

Stamos (2001) states that most of the river's streambed are generally dry, and continuous surface flows along the entire river would occur only during episodes of flood flows. According to Stamos (2001), "the distance that surface water may flow is dependent on preceding storms and the moisture content of the unsaturated zone below the river."

All these references explain the behavior of the measured surface flows during the Water Years 2022-2023 and 2023-2024. The storm flows at the Lower Narrows and near Hodge during the Water Year 2022-2023 were preceded by an 11-year period of drought conditions from 2012 to 2022 as shown on the hydrograph of the Mojave River at the Forks (**Attachment L**). After the 11 years of dry conditions, some of the flow between the Lower Narrows and Hodge was lost to infiltration into the streambed.

The storms during Water Year 2023-2024 occurred immediately after the channel was wet and water had already infiltrated, which reduced the absorption capacity of the channel. This explains why the total surface water measured at the Lower Narrows was about the same as the total surface water measured near Hodge during the Water Year 2023-2024.

The occurrence and magnitude of surface flow across the Helendale Fault into Centro is driven by the frequency and intensity of storms as described by Stamos (2001). Therefore, we do not expect to see this pattern repeated every single year.

c. What other evidence supports that conclusion?

In addition to the actual measurements described above, Watermaster computes or relies on the following estimates when determining the flows from the Transition Zone into the Centro subarea:

- (a) subsurface inflow from Alto to the Transition Zone of 2,000 acre-feet per year average annually (established as aforesaid by the Judgment);
- (b) calculated runoff contributions from precipitation;
- (c) calculated consumptive use by riparian vegetation, using remote sensing techniques;

Wagner Bonsignore Consulting Civil Engineers, A Corporation

- (d) estimated consumptive use from production (based on climatological conditions, population data, and irrigated acreages reported by producers); and
- (e) estimated return flows from production (i.e., pumping minus consumptive use).

4. If one wanted to measure surface flow from the TZ into Centro, could that be done? If so, how? To what extent, if any, does the Watermaster currently do so?

Hypothetically, if one wanted to measure flows at this location, a new stream flow gage could be installed to record flow at this location. However, the reliability of the data would be subject to the same historical problems experienced by previously installed gages at Wild Crossing and Hodge.

Watermaster does not currently measure surface flows at the Helendale Fault.

We note that making reliable measurements in wide sandy unstable channels like the Mojave River is challenging because the channel geometry -- which is a critical element in stream flow calculation -- is constantly changing. Furthermore, the episodic occurrence of surface flow makes direct measurements, upon which reliable stream gage calculations depend, hard to collect because the episodic nature of the flow in the river at this location makes it difficult to predict when to mobilize staff to make a measurement.

The USGS recently re-installed and operates the USGS gage Mojave River near Hodge. The new stream flow data is being collected, reviewed and published by the USGS. As explained above, the stream gage measurements near Hodge during the last two years appear to confirm that surface water reaching Hodge (9.2 river miles downstream from the Helendale Fault) is nearly equal to the total of the surface water flowing into the TZ at the Lower Narrows, together with the treated wastewater added in the TZ, less consumptive uses in the TZ, plus return flows in the TZ, plus runoff from precipitation.

5. The Watermaster has said that the Wild Crossing stream gage was discontinued because it was unreliable. What was the reason for the unreliability?

The USGS Water-Resources Investigations Report 95-4189 (USGS, Lines 1996), page 6, notes that the gaging stations at Wild Crossing, near Helendale and near Hodge (about 9 river miles downstream) were discontinued, as explained below:

Gaging station 10261900, Mojave River at Wild Crossing, near Helendale, was operated during water years 1967-70. About 7 mi farther downstream, gaging station 10262000, Mojave River near Hodge, was operated during water years 1931-32 and 1971-93. Both stations were discontinued because of unstable controls and changing stage-discharge relations that did not allow for acceptable discharge records.



6. If one wanted to measure subsurface flow from the TZ into Centro, could that be done? If so, how? To what extent, if any, does the Watermaster currently do so?

No, subsurface flows cannot be directly measured. However, subsurface flows can be reasonably estimated (using the Darcy's equation) by measuring changes in the groundwater gradient (slope) across the fault zone, and by using estimates of hydraulic conductivity and saturated thickness of the aquifer section.

Watermaster estimates of subsurface flows were established by the parties to the Judgment. Pursuant to Exhibit G, paragraph 6, monitoring wells have been installed and monitored on a regular basis to evaluate the subsurface flow obligation, and Watermaster has prepared a report with the results of the monitoring program. In accordance with Exhibit G, in 2006, Watermaster engineer prepared a report providing the results of the monitoring program and methodology. As discussed earlier herein, the 2006 Watermaster report updated and verified the subsurface flows pursuant to requirements of the Judgment. Watermaster considered and adopted a recommendation, presented to the Court in April 2006, to establish subsurface flow obligations for Este to Alto (200 acre-feet per year), Alto to Centro (2,000 acre-feet per year) and Centro to Baja (1,200 acre-feet per year).

In 2019, the PSY update, prepared by Watermaster Engineer and provided to the Court (see Attachment F), substantiated the subsurface flow estimates in Exhibit G of the Judgment. The 2019 PSY update indicated no changes in the hydrologic conditions between 1998 and 2016 and therefore, the flow across the Alto (TZ) to Centro appeared to be unchanged.

In April of 2025, Watermaster prepared updated estimates of the subsurface flows near the Helendale Fault to update the 2006 study of flow across the TZ to Centro boundary. This update indicates that the estimated subsurface flow ranges from 2,300 to 3,400 AFY based on water levels for nine years between 2006 and 2024. As explained in the report included herein as **Attachment K**. Based upon this more recent analysis, the Judgment's assumption of 2,000 acre-feet Subsurface Flow through the TZ appears to be conservative and, accordingly, Centro actually is receiving more than 2,000 acre-feet per year of subsurface flow.

MWA is finalizing the Regional Groundwater Model which will provide more reliable estimates of the subsurface flow from the TZ into Centro.

Attachment M is a hydrogeologic cross section along the Mojave River between the Adelanto Fault and the Helendale Fault, prepared in 2005 by URS Corporation. This cross section shows the water levels near the ground surface. It shows the 1998 water levels are about equal for both the regional aquifer and the floodplain aquifer. Most importantly, water levels are relatively stable and near the ground surface between the Lower Narrows and the Centro Subarea.



7. Other than precipitation, does all inflow into Centro come from the TZ?

No, in addition to the inflow from the TZ, the Centro subarea receives local runoff contributions (as ungaged inflow) that cannot be directly measured but can be simulated by the Regional Groundwater Model that MWA is developing.

8. The Judgment requires PSY to be based on "a sequence of years that is representative of the long-term average." In the motion to enforce the judgment, both the Watermaster and Victorville criticized Golden State for cherry-picking a misleadingly short time frame for comparing groundwater levels. Similarly, in its 7-3-24 order, the Court criticized the Watermaster for relying on short timeframes and inconsistent timeframes. Sometimes the Watermaster has cited to the 1931-1990 average, but other times the Watermaster has relied on 20-year or even 5-year averages.

a. Is the 1931-1990 timeframe representative of the climate in the basin today?

The hydrograph of the Mojave River at the Forks (**Attachment L**) shows the existing 60year hydrologic base period of 1931-1990, and the new hydrologic base period of 2001-2020 proposed by Watermaster.

The 60-year hydrologic base period of 1931-1990 was based on the guidance from DWR Bulletin 84 (1967), as was the 2001-2020 proposed hydrologic base period.

The average water supply measured at The Forks for the existing base period (1931-1990) was 65,538 acre-feet per year. The average water supply measured at The Forks for the proposed base period (2001-2020) was 61,638 acre-feet per year, which is only 6-percent drier than the 1931-1990 base period.

We conclude that the recent average supply is similar in magnitude to the long-term average supply and therefore, from a water supply standpoint the 1931-1990 time frame of water supply is representative of the climate in the basin today.

b. How long is "long term?" 20 years? 30 years? 40 years? Longer?

According to the 1996 Amended Statement of Decision (page 21), "long term" period is the 60-year period 1931-1990. The Judgment does not identify a specific "long term" based period. However, after the Judgment was entered in January 1996, the Parties operated under the understanding that 1931 to 1990 would be the long term hydrologic base period for purposes of implementing the Judgment (see, e.g., Amended Statement of Decision, p.21). This also is consistent with the groundwater modeling published by the USGS (Stamos, 2001).

However, for water use and disposal (cultural conditions), we know that the cultural conditions during the 1931-1990 are not representative of recent cultural conditions. Because these changes generally occur slowly, Production Safe Yield is evaluated periodically every 5 or 10 years as population and water uses change.

Wagner Bonsignore Consulting Civil Engineers, A Corporation

c. Should the Court establish a different base period to be used when adjusting PSY and FPA and when questioning the accuracy of the Watermaster's model or recommendations? If so, when should that period begin and end?

The Regional Groundwater Model is being developed by the Mojave Water Agency. The 60-year hydrologic base period of 1931-1990 was used by the parties based on guidance from DWR Bulletin 84 (1967), which explains:

The base period conditions should be reasonably representative of long-time hydrologic conditions and should include both normal and extreme wet and dry years. Both the beginning and the end of the base period should be preceded by a series of wet years or a series of dry years, so that the difference between the amount of water in transit within the zone of aeration at the beginning and end of the base period would be a minimum. The base period should also be within the period of available records and should include recent cultural conditions as an aid for projections under future basin operational studies.

Therefore, Bulletin 84 does not indicate a required duration in terms of years for an appropriate hydrologic base period, but only that the selected base period satisfies the conditions stated. For water supply, Watermaster proposed a new and more recent hydrologic base period of 2001-2020, which is consistent with DWR Bulletin 84 because: it starts and ends in a series of dry years, contains both normal and extreme wet and dry years, it has a minimum difference in the amount of water at the beginning and the end, and it includes recent cultural conditions (i.e., pumping, patterns of water use, land uses). Today's cultural conditions are represented by the new recent hydrologic base period (2001-2020); cultural conditions are not expected to change in the near future. The reason for Watermaster to propose a new and more recent hydrologic base period is because the original 60-year hydrologic base period of 1931-1990 does not reflect the recent cultural conditions. The pumping, the volumetric patterns of pumping, water uses, and land uses have greatly changed from 1931-1990 to the recent time. The water supply observed in 2001-2020 is expected to repeat itself in the future for planning purposes. As mentioned above, our analysis indicated the water supply for the 1931-1990 and 2001-2020 differed by only 6-percent, however the cultural conditions from 1931-1990 are no longer representative of present and future cultural conditions.

Enclosures:

Attachment A – Staff Report on Minimal Producers by MWA dated April 25, 2013.

Attachment B – Excerpts from the 1996 Amended Statement of Decision.

Attachment C – Notice of Motion and Motion to adjust Free Production Allowance for Water Year 2006-2007; Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and Declaration of Robert C. Wagner in Support Thereof.



Attachment D – Notice of Motion and Motion to Adjust Free Production Allowance for Water Year 2007-2008, Memorandum of Points and Authorities.

Attachment E – Court's Order Granting Motion to Adjust Free Production Allowance for Water Year 2007-2008.

Attachment \mathbf{F} – Consumptive Water User Study and Production Safe Yield Update, 2017-18 Water Year.

Attachment G – Hydrographs at Alto TZ and Centro wells near Helendale Fault.

Attachment H – Table 4-3 from the draft Thirty-First Annual Report of the Mojave Basin Area Watermaster.

Attachment I – MWA Statistical analysis memorandum.

Attachment J – Mojave River Hydrographs at Lower Narrows and near Hodge.

Attachment K – Subsurface Flow at the Alto-Centro Subarea Boundary.

Attachment L – Mojave River Flow at the Forks.

Attachment M – 2005 URS Transition Zone Hydrologic Coss Section A1-A1'.



ATTACHMENT A

Mojave Water Agency

DATE: April 25, 2013

TO: Board of Directors

FROM: Kirby Brill, General Manager

BY: Lance Eckhart, Principal Hydrogeologist

SUBJECT: CONSIDER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF AN ONGOING MINIMAL PRODUCER MONITORING PROGRAM AND RESCIND ORDINANCE 11

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the approval by the Board of Directors to continue to monitor new Minimal Producers who enter the Mojave Basin Area. The monitoring program will measure future incremental basin water consumption stresses to anticipate future material impacts to the Mojave Basin Area water budget. Staff additionally recommends that the Board of Directors rescind Ordinance 11.

PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY COMMITTEE/BOARD OF DIRECTORS

- <u>Technical Advisory Committee</u> September 7, 2005: Minimal Producers Producer Program Issues Presentation
- <u>Planning, Resources & Technology Committee</u> February 7, 2006: Staff discussed with the Committee a Request for Proposals for a pilot study program for the Este Subarea. The Committee recommended that Staff move the Request for Proposals forward to the Board of Directors.
- <u>Board of Directors Meeting</u> February 9, 2006: The Board of Directors approved release of a Request for Proposals for the pilot study program.
- <u>Board of Directors Meeting</u> February June 22, 2006: The Board of Directors approved entering into a professional services agreement with SAIC for the Minimal Producer pilot study program.
- <u>Technical Advisory Committee</u> June 6, 2007: Pilot Study on Minimal Producer Program in Este Subarea Presentation.
- <u>Planning, Resources & Technology Committee</u> January 6, 2008: Workshop and update.
- <u>Technical Advisory Committee</u> April 1, 2009: Methodology and update.
- <u>Planning, Resources & Technology Committee</u> May 5, 2009: Staff discussed with the Committee a request to extend the work to be performed by SAIC in the Este Subarea to the other adjudicated subareas following a successful pilot test and modified project approach. The Committee recommended that staff move the Professional Services Agreement expansion forward to the Board of Directors.
- <u>Board of Directors Meeting</u> May 28, 2009: The Board of Directors approved to enter into an agreement with SAIC for the Minimal Producer Pilot Study Project.
- Planning, Resources & Technology Committee March 2, 2010: Update

- <u>Board of Directors Meeting</u> March 1, 2011. Workshop and update.
- <u>Board of Directors Meeting</u> April 28, 2011. Workshop and update.
- Planning, Resources & Technology Committee August 2, 2011: Update
- <u>Planning, Resources & Technology Committee (CLOSED SESSION)</u> September 6, 2011: Discussed potential legal challenges of instituting a Minimal Producer program.
- <u>Board of Directors Meeting</u> November 3, 2011: Discussed potential legal challenges of instituting a Minimal Producer program.
- <u>Technical Advisory Committee</u> June 7, 2012: Workshop and update.

BACKGROUND

Judgment after Trial in the matter of Barstow v. Adelanto was entered on January 10, 1996 excluded Minimal Producers from the Judgment. "Minimal Producer" is defined as "any person or entity producing equal to or less than 10 acre-feet of water per year (by well, surface water diversion, or other means) within the Lucerne Valley Basin, El Mirage Basin, and the Mojave River drainage areas, who are also within the Mojave Water Agency (MWA) boundaries, and is not required to be bound by the terms of the Mojave Basin Area Judgment (Judgment)." Minimal Producers were excluded because the total amount of water estimated to have been pumped at the time of trial was a small percentage of the total Base Annual Production, and because the task of assigning a Base Annual Production right to several thousand small parties was burdensome, time consuming and expensive. Instead, the Judgment provided that MWA would prepare a report to the Court setting forth the identity and verified Base Annual Production of each Minimal Producer in each Subarea of the Mojave Basin Area, and recommend a proposed system of Minimal Producer Assessments. MWA was also ordered to prepare a report to the Court setting forth a proposed program to be undertaken by MWA to implement the proposed system of Minimal Producer assessments. The Judgment provided that MWA name all Minimal Producers as parties to the Judgment for the purpose of adjudicating their water rights if an adequate Minimal Producer program could not be developed.

It was estimated before trial that Minimal Producers produced approximately 13,000 Acre-Feet/Year (AFY). This estimate was made by physically inspecting aerial photographs to identify the location of Minimal Producers. Each identified Minimal Producer was assigned one acre-foot of annual water production, plus an estimated amount for outdoor use. These numbers were refined in mid-1990's with additional cataloging and physical inspection of Minimal Producers. The mid-1990's revised estimate of Minimal Producer production was approximately 7,100 AFY. In 2000 the MWA Board of Directors adopted Ordinance 11 to address new Minimal Producers entering into the Mojave Basin Area. Ordinance 11 states that any new Minimal Producer initiates production of groundwater within the Mojave Basin Area after March 31, 2000 will be assessed the cost one acrefoot of imported water annually at the current set MWA rate and this water will be recharged to the respective subarea it was extracted from. Ordinance 11 was submitted to the Riverside Superior Court on June 23, 2000 for consideration and to date has not been accepted by the court.

Water Production (AFY)			
Subarea	Judgment	Mid-1990's Estimate	
Alto	4,000	2,104	
Baja	3,500	2,228	
Centro	2,000	1,553	
Este	2,000	954	
Oeste	1,500	238	
Total	13,000	7,077	

ANALYSIS

In 2009, the Board authorized MWA staff to initiate a comprehensive assessment and cataloging of all Minimal Producers in Mojave Basin Area. The approach consisted of utilizing the MWA's in-house GIS resources, coupled with support from a consultant to assist with the use of sophisticated land use classification software for irrigated vegetation mapping. This process was vetted in a pilot area of the Este Subarea, calibrated to water metered "like-type" land use and was determined that the approach efficiently quantified Minimal Production on a site by site basis.

Based on the pilot test, the entire MWA service area was reviewed for the presence of Minimal Producers. The property of each Minimal Producer was analyzed and a property specific estimate for water production was developed. In addition to production, consumptive use was calculated based on the Este pilot test and detailed water use statistics generated from the Agency's 2011 Urban Water Management Plan.

Based on observed land use practices, it was determined that consumptive use as a whole for Minimal Producers was generally low due to the lack of outdoor irrigation and other water intensive uses. The median Minimal Producer was found to consume approximately 0.15 AFY. Approximately 90% of Minimal Producers were found to consume less that 1 AFY. Total Minimal production was calculated to be approximately 4,971 acre-feet with a corresponding consumptive use of 3,632 acre-feet.

	Groundwater Production (ac-ft)		Consumptive Use (ac-ft)			
Subarea	Judgment	Mid-1990 Estimates	"Current"	Judgment	Mid-1990 Estimates	"Current"
Alto	4,000	2,104	1,223	2,000	1,052	832
Baja	3,500	2,228	1,698	1,750	1,114	1,427
Centro	2,000	1,553	912	1,000	777	664
Este	2,000	954	921	1,000	477	573
Oeste	1,500	238	212	750	119	135
Total	13,000	7,077	4,971	6,500	3,539	3,632

Note:

1) Consumptive use factor of 50% was used for the original Judgment and mid-1990's Minimal Producer Estimates

2) Consumptive use factors for the "Current" study are based on work associated with the MWA's 2010 Urban Water Management Plan

Results of the Minimal Producer study demonstrated that Minimal Producers use far less water than originally estimated in the Judgment. Updated consumptive use estimates indicate that the majority (59%) of Minimal Producers consume less than 0.25 AFY and the median Minimal Producer consuming approximately 0.15 AFY. Barring material changes in land use behavior, this water use trend will continue. Based on the above, the costs associated the implementation of an Ordinance 11 "like" program to collect a fee proportionate to the de minimis amount of water consumptively used would be administratively complicated and very likely exceed the amount of revenue collected. In addition, any collection of a fee may be subject to Proposition 218 requiring voter approval.

Staff recommends that Minimal Producers entering into the basin continue to be monitored by the MWA to track incremental consumptive use and observe any changes in major land use trends. Staff recommends repeating the most recent Minimal Producer catalogue program in 2020 and once every 10 years following to reassess assumptions regarding water production/consumption and land use for Minimal Producers. Based on the results of the recent program indicating that Minimal Producer production and consumptive use is considerably less than original estimates in the Judgment, Staff recommends rescinding Ordinance 11. Ordinance 11 or some other vehicle can be reconsidered in the future as supported by the ongoing monitoring program described above.

FISCAL IMPACT

The fiscal impact for continuing to implement the ongoing monitoring program for new Minimal Producers will consist of no new additional staff time but may change if a material number of Minimal Producers begin to enter the region. Additional staff time and potentially outside resources will be needed to update and reassess Minimal Producer production/consumptive use once every ten years. These costs are not expected to be prohibitive.

<u>ACTION</u>

Motion to establish an ongoing minimal producer monitoring program and rescind Ordinance 11.

Board Action:	Approved staff's recommendation.
Conditions:	None
Date:	April 25, 2013

General Manager: _____

ATTACHMENT B

recharge from periodic storm flows which is one of the principal sources of recharge for downgradient subareas without interference from upstream diversions. It will also benefit riparian vegetation in the lower subareas. [RT 510:4-511:0]

F. IDENTIFICATION OF SUBAREAS, SUBAREA

1. It is fair and equitable to maintain certain flow requirements between subareas as part of the physical solution. Flows to downstream subareas will be maintained either by supplemental water through the river and conveyance facilities, by purchase of transferred water by the watermaster, or by reductions in consumptive use. [RT 892:9-18; 910:14-18; 911:3-913:14]

The flow requirements between subareas are as 2. a) Este to Alto 200 acre-feet average annual subsurface follows: flow as estimated in Bulletin 84; b) Oeste to Alto 800 acre-feet average annual subsurface flow as estimated in Bulletin 84; c) Alto to Centro 21,000 acre-feet average annual surface flow as measured at the lower narrows (and maintained by an immediate replacement water obligation in the transition zone to form a water bridge down to the Helendale Fault) plus a 2,000 acre-feet average annual subsurface flow as estimated in Bulletin 84; d) Centro to Baja 1,200 acre-feet average annual subsurface flow as estimated in Bulletin 84; e) Baja to the Mojave Basin 400 acre-feet average annual subsurface flow as estimated in Bulletin 84; f) these estimates and other subsurface estimates will need to be up-dated by the use of monitoring wells which will determine the water table slope at the boundaries. [RT 128:27-130:14]

The transition zone has a fairly stable water level. It is necessary to maintain that water level so that the surface flows passing the Lower Narrows and the subsurface inflow into the transition zone will reach the Helendale Fault, and hence downstream subareas; the flows at the Helendale Fault will in the future be measured using monitoring wells to insure that water levels are maintained within the transition zone. [RT 320:9-321:9]

G. ASSESSMENTS

1. The assessments imposed by the stipulated judgment are fair and equitable. It is not appropriate to require the Mojave Water Agency (MWA) to impose an ad valorem tax as part of the Physical Solution. Such a tax is not within the scope of the judgment, and is within the political prerogative of MWA.

2. Assessments apply to all production regardless of the type of use.

Page 15 of 30

contractors is available on a year-to-year basis. Permanent sale of entitlement water among state contractors is a possibility. The only restriction preventing the MWA from purchasing such excess entitlement is the inability to pay for it. [RT 550:15-555:14;947:1-25;175:4-12; 175:25-176:23]

N. BENEFITS TO THE ENVIRONMENT

1. The Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation does not dedicate water in any specific amount to the phreatophytes. The stipulated judgment will attempt to obtain the water table levels that the California Department of Fish and Game set forth as being proper to sustain those habitat areas; however, natural conditions such as fires and floods could affect the extent of riparian habitat for the purposes of safe yield calculations. [RT 783:1-9]

2. Phreatophyte (riparian vegetation) use in the Mojave River generally increases with higher groundwater levels and decreases with lower groundwater levels. Based on aerial photographs and unit water use values of 3.9 acre-feet per acre, the average annual water use for the long term 1931-1990 period was estimated at 22,100 acre-feet for the Mojave River area. During the 1986-1990 drought period, average annual phreatophyte use was estimated to be 7,500 acre-feet per year. (Exhibit 2433)

Mr. Kuykendall testified that the consumptive use of phreatophytes for the total basin is 40,000 acre-feet. (RT 1933)

The stipulated judgment relies on a basin phreatophyte consumptive use of 7,500 acre-feet. This discrepancy in the consumptive use by phreatophytes will affect the validity of any basin safe yield calculation. Therefore, the watermaster is directed to conduct a study of the basin phreatophyte consumptive use.

3. Groundwater level standards have been established at certain points in riparian areas to insure that water tables are maintained at sufficient levels to insure riparian growth.

4. There is however, in the stipulated judgment, a recognition that in some riparian areas, there might need to be extraordinary efforts to protect the habitat, and the stipulated judgment provides a mechanism which is called a Biological Resources Trust Fund which is funded by assessments on all production and which is capped at one million dollars in 1993 dollars. The fund can be used by Fish and Game to construct wells, irrigate vegetation, do studies or other projects.

Page 21 of 30

ATTACHMENT C

	0	CPY	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 William J. Brunick (State Bar No.46289) Steven K. Beckett (State Bar No. 97413) BRUNICK, McELHANEY & BECKETT 1839 Commercenter West San Bernardino, CA 92412 Telephone: (909) 889-8301 Facsimile: (909) 388-1889 Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Complainant MOJAVE WATER AGENCY SUPERIOR COURT OF T	NO FEE PER GOV'T. CODE SEC. 6103 E E E D SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA APR 0 3 2006 D. Mathews DE GE DE APR 0 6 2006	
9	IN AND FOR THE C	OUNTY OF RIVERSIDE	
10 11	CITY OF BARSTOW, et al.	CASE NO. 208568	
12	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO	
13	VS.	ALLOWANCE FOR WATER YEAR 2006- 2007: MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND	
14	CITY OF ADELANTO, et al.,	AUTHORITIES, AND DECLARATION OF ROBERT C. WAGNER IN SUPPORT	
15	Defendant.) THEREOF	
16		 Assigned for All Purposes to: Judge Michael E. Kaiser, Dept. 3 	
17		DATE: 5-12-06	
18) DEPT: 3	
20 21 22	To All Parties and their Respective Attorneys o Please take Notice that on $May 12, 2$	f Record: 006 at 8:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as counsel may	
23	be heard, in Department 3 of the above entitled court located at 4050 Main Street, Riverside, California,		
24	Defendant/Cross-Complainant, Mojave Water Agency, acting in its capacity as the Mojave Basin Area		
25	Watermaster, will respectfully move, pursuant to paragraph 24(0) and Exhibit "H" of the judgement		
26	In the above entitled case, for the court's approval of the Watermaster's recommendation in its 12 th		
27 28	Baja, Centro, Este and Oeste) of the Mojave Wa 2007.	ater Basin as set forth herein for the Water Year 2006-	
	[NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO	1 ADJUST FREE PRODUCTION ALLOWANCE	
P.003	BRUNICK BATTERSBY MCELHANEY & BE #6319	881885 806 15:03 909 3881889	

•

1	This motion is based upon this notice, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the 12 th
2	Annual Report of the Watermaster lodged with the court concurrently with this motion, the Declaration
3	of Robert Wagner, the pleadings, papers and records on file and upon such other further evidence, both
4	oral and documentary, that may be presented at the hearing on this motion.
5	Dated: March 31, 2006 BRUNICK, MCELHANEY & BECKETT
6	A Charles
7	WILLIAM J. BRUNICK, ESQ.
8	STEVEN K. BECKETT, ESQ. Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Complainant,
9	MOJAVE WATER AGENCY
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	
27	
~ V	
	2 INOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO AD HIST YOUR STORES AND
# 00	A RISSH JER LENGUETUR NOTION AND MOTION TO ADJUST FREE PRODUCTION ALLOWANCE
100	2 01024 90 905:00 909 19:01 900'S A 900'S A 900'S A 900'S A 90'S

 \bigcap

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	I.	BACKGROUND 1
2	II.	THE JUDGEMENT'S PHYSICAL SOLUTION
3	m.	NECESSITY FOR ADJUSTMENT
4	IV.	RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS TO FPA
5		FOR WATER YEAR 2006-07
6		A. ALTO SUBAREA 4
7		B. BAJA SUBAREA
8		C. CENTRO SUBAREA
9		D. ESTE SUBAREA
10		E. OESTE SUBAREA
11	v .	RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING SUBSURFACE FLOW
12		OBLIGATIONS BETWEEN SUBAREAS
13	VI.	TRANSITION ZONE WATER LEVELS 8
14	VII.	FUTURE WORK 8
15	νш.	CONCLUSION
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
900	4 8165	APR.03'2006 15:04 909 3881889 ERUNICK BATTERSEY MCELHANEY & BE

4

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I

BACKGROUND

The original complaint was filed by the City of Barstow et al. on May 30, 1990 and alleged that the cumulative water production upstream of the City of Barstow had over drafted the Mojave River System and it requested that the Mojave Water Agency (MWA) be ordered to obtain and provide supplemental water for use within the Mojave Basin Area (Basin). MWA filed its First Amended Cross-Complaint naming substantially all producers of water within the Basin, including parties downstream of the City of Barstow, and requested a determination of all of the water production from whatever source within the Basin.

After extensive negotiations, parties representing over 80% of the verified water production in
 the Basin agreed to a stipulated judgement which established a physical solution to the water supply
 problems. A trial of the claims of non-stipulating parties was held and the final judgement after trial
 adopted the physical solution set forth in the stipulated judgement.

The "Cardozo Group" of the non-stipulating parties appealed the judgement that was entered 15 16 by the Superior Court. Following opinions by the Court of Appeal and Supreme Court, the judgement 17 as to the stipulating parties was affirmed but reversed as to the Cardozo Group of non-stipulating parties. This essentially excluded the Cardozo Group from the stipulated judgement, including the 18 assessment provisions. As of 8/23/02, Jess Ranch Water Co., previously a non-stipulating party, 19 20 entered into a settlement agreement in which it stipulated to the judgement. An amendment to the 21 judgement was filed on 12/05/02 which incorporated the changes with respect to the Cardozo Group 22 and Jess Ranch Water Co.

23

1

2

3

24

THE JUDGEMENT'S PHYSICAL SOLUTION

П

On January 10, 1996 the court entered a judgement which addressed the overdraft situation existing in the Basin by the creation of a physical solution for the Basin's five distinct, but hydrologically interrelated, subareas (Alto, Baja, Centro, Este, and Oeste). The court determined that all five Subareas of the Basin had been in a state of overdraft since at least the 1950's, that the economy

____1

[NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO ADJUST FREE PRODUCTION ALLOWANCE]

and population overlying the Basin had dramatically grown in reliance upon the overdraft, and that all 1 producers had contributed to the overdraft. The court's physical solution established a limit on the 2 amount of water each Subarea could produce in one year before having to purchase replacement water. 3 This is known as the Free Production Allowance (FPA). The Judgment also established each 4 producer's Base Annual Production ("BAP"). A producer's BAP is based upon that producer's highest 5 year of water production during the base period of 1986-1990. A producer's BAP serves as the basis 6 for the producer's Base Annual Production Right ("BAPR"). BAPR is the right of each producer to a 7 percentage of the FPA within a given Subarea. 8

Although the serious nature of the overdraft warranted an immediate reduction for all water
production within the Basin, the Court approved a gradual reduction in production in order to soften
the economic impact upon producers. Therefore, the Judgment sets forth the terms for a gradual
reduction or rampdown of the FPA for all parties. After the first five years of the Judgment, the FPA
for all parties was set at eighty percent (80%) of their original BAP. The judgement also provides that
the court can review and adjust, as necessary, the FPA for each Subarea on an annual basis.

15 MWA was appointed as the initial Watermaster by the court to administer the judgement and
16 physical solution set forth therein.

m

NECESSITY FOR ADJUSTMENT

Pursuant to the gradual Rampdown required in the judgment by the Water Year 1997-98, each
producer's FPA was set at eighty percent (80%) of that producer's BAP specified by the Judgment.
Exhibit "H" of the Judgment requires Watermaster to recommend a decrease in the FPA for a Subarea
when that Subarea's FPA exceeds its estimated Production Safe Yield (PSY) by five percent (5%) or
more.

Pursuant to paragraph 24(o) of the Judgment, the Watermaster is required to make a recommendation to the Court for adjusting the FPA of each Subarea, if necessary. The Watermaster retained the Engineering firm of Albert A. Webb Associates (Webb), to conduct a consumptive water use study for the purpose of updating the elements of Table C-1 of the Judgment. The Webb study was presented to the Watermaster in February of 2000 and provided the basis for the Watermaster's

INOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO ADJUST FREE PRODUCTION ALLOWANCE

17

18

\bigcirc

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

\bigcirc

proposed adjustments for Water Years 2000-01, 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04, 2004-05, and 2005-06. Pursuant to Exhibit H of the judgment, the Watermaster has filed its motions to adjust the FPA for prior Water Years and also provided certain alternatives to rampdown at the court's request.

The table on page 26, Chapter 5 of the 12th Annual Report of the Mojave Basin Area Watermaster shows the BAP, FPA for 2005-06 as ordered by the court and the estimated PSY for each Subarea. FPA is greater than the estimated PSY by more than 5% in each of the five(5) Subareas.

On June 15, 2005, the court entered its order granting the Watermaster's motion to adjust FPA for Water Year 2005-06 but deferred any ruling on the recommendation for the Baja Subarea. On December 19, 2005, the Court issues its offer for the Baja Subarea. As a result, FPA for Water Year 2005-06 was set as follows:

11	Subarea	<u>2005-06 FPA</u>
12	Alto - Agricultural	80% of BAP
13	Alto - Municipal and Industrial	60% of BAP
14	Baja ¹	70% of BAP or
15		75% of BAP pursuant to the
16		Court Order of 12/29/05
17	Centro	80% of BAP
18	Este ²	80% of BAP
19	Oeste	80% of BAP

 The Baja Subarea Advisory Committee submitted a proposal to the Court for an alternative to the Rampdown mondated by the Judgment which includes a recommendation to set FPA at 75% (starting in 2005-2006) of Buse Annual Production for ton years pursuant to certain restrictions. The Baja SAC proposal was ordered by the Court on December 29, 2005 and a copy was mailed to all Baja parties on January 5, 2006.
 FPA to be set at 80% of Base Annual Production for the 2006-07 Water Year. The Este Subarea may be subject to future Rampdown to 65% introductely if water use conditions change.

IV

RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS TO FPA

FOR WATER YEAR 2006-07

The Watermaster adopted FPA recommendations for the five sub-areas for the 2006-07 water
 year at its March 22, 2006 meeting, as required by the Judgment and consistent with previous direction
 from the court, as follows:

1	Subarea	2006-07 FPA RECOMMENDATION	
2	Alto - Agricultural	80% of BAP	
3	Alto - Municipal and Industrial	60% of BAP	
4	Baja ^ı	70% of BAP or	
5		75% of BAP pursuant to the	
6		Court Order of 12/29/05	
7	Centro	80% of BAP	
8	Este ²	80% of BAP	
9	Oeste	80% of BAP	
10	1. The Baja Subarca Advisory Committee subr	nitted a proposal to the Court for an alternative to the Rampdown mandated by	
11	the Judgment which includes a recommendation to set FPA at 75% (starting in 2005-2006) of Base Annual Production for te years pursuant to certain restrictions. The Baja SAC proposal was ordered by the Court on December 29, 2005 and a copy was		
12	mailed to all Baja parties on January 5, 2006.		
13	 PPA to be set at 80% of Buse Annual Production for the 2006-07 Water Year. The Este Subarea may be subject to future Rampdown to 65% immediately if water use conditions change. 		
14			

A. Alto Subarea:

Rampdown in Alto will continue for Municipal and Industrial producers until FPA equals PSY, as required by the Judgment. Agricultural producers will remain at 80% of BAP. This will bring Alto to a near-balanced condition. When M&I producers transfer FPA, Carryover, or BAP from Agricultural producers, the amount of water to be credited to the transferee will be reduced to the rampdown amount existing at the time. For example, if M&I FPA is 60% of BAP then a transfer of 100 acre-feet from Agricultural to M&I will be credited as 60 acre-feet.

Due to the differential rampdown ordered by the court for the Alto subarea, a review was made of all of the Alto water producers so that they could be categorized as either agricultural or municipal and industrial producers. Agricultural producers were defined as those producing water for the irrigation pf crops, i.e. alfalfa, grains, nut trees or orchards, dairies, livestock and all other incidental uses including small domestic. A list setting forth each producer for Water Year 2005-06 is set forth on pages 5-10 and 22 of Appendix H to the Watermaster's 12th Annual Report.

1//

FPA currently exceeds PSY by greater than 5% of BAP which would normally result in a 1 Rampdown recommendation. However, further Rampdown is not warranted in Alto at this time for 2 several reasons. At the current level of FPA, the Alto Subarea is expected to purchase sufficient 3 mported water supplies to arrest its overdraft condition within the next couple of years. The out of 4 balance FPA-PSY condition in Alto is largely due to the inclusion of the minimal producer pool and the 5 unidentified pool. The Alto Subarea Advisory Committee has requested that Watermaster ask the 6 Court to eliminate the unidentified pool indicating that Alto producers would accept the risk that in the 7 future BAP might be assigned to a producer who could prove a base period (1986-1990) water 8 production right. The amount of water allocated to the minimal pool was likely larger than the actual 9 amount pumped by minimals. Taking these factors into account, the Alto FPA is much closer to the 10 estimated PSY than indicated herein (2.2% vs. 7.5%) and consequently, Rampdown is unnecessary at 11 this time. 12

13

B. Baja Subarea:

Pursuant to the Judgment additional Rampdown in Baja is warranted. Free Production Allowance greatly exceeds the PSY and current water production and consumptive use greatly exceed the average net long-term supply in Baja. The continued overdraft in Baja will cause continued depletion of water from storage thereby impacting all water users. Of particular concern is the large number of individual well owners who pump small amounts of water for domestic uses and may lack the resources to drill deeper to chase a falling water table.

In June 2004, the Court directed the Baja Subarea Advisory Committee and the Watermaster to try to develop a program that would allow Baja to remain at 80% of BAP for the near future. Watermaster met with the Baja residents on several occasions last year and a proposal was presented by the Baja SAC in December 2004. The principal components of the Baja SAC's proposal are as follows:

- 24 25
- Rampdown of all producers to 75% of BAP effective October 1, 2005 for 10 years.
- Restrictions on transfers that would limit the use of FPA to the existing land and
 for the existing uses.
- 28

• Transfers, except those specifically allowed, would result in an immediate reduction in FPA to the appropriate Rampdown amount at the time of the

transfer.

Carryover transfers are to be restricted but Carryover can be used for two years.

The Court held a separate hearing on Baja and issued an order dated December 29, 2005. The FPA for 2006-07 will be 75% for all Baja producers subject to the restrictions described in the order. A change in use or any change inconsistent with the December 29, 2005 order will result in further Rampdown to 70% for the 2006-07 Water Year. Rampdown shall then continue for all such producers in subsequent Water Years subject to court review.

C. Centro Subarea:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Watermaster recommends that FPA in Centro be left at 80% of BAP. Total water production 9 in Centro has declined significantly since entry of Judgment. There is a slight surplus in Centro as 10 measured against the long-term average water supply. Water levels in wells in areas of heavy pumping 11 around Barstow show a greater downward trend than other wells during periods of below average water 12 supply but in the past have recovered during periods of storm flow. Although FPA exceeds the PSY 13 in Centro, further Rampdown would be unnecessary given the fact that there is an apparent surplus 14 when considering the long-term average water supply and current levels of pumping. Watermaster will 15 re-evaluate conditions in Centro annually and may make appropriate recommendations for Rampdown 16 in the future. 17

18 D. Este Subarea:

Water levels are presently stable in Este in the short-term. Water production has declined 19 from its peak in the 1980's and is well below the FPA and about equal to the estimated yield of the 20 Subarea. Watermaster is also recommending that FPA remain at 80% and that the Court ordered 21 stay on Rampdown (at 65%) remain in effect. Any material increases in water production or 22 changing conditions could result in an immediate Rampdown in Este to 65% following further 23 hearings with the Court. The Mojave Water Agency completed the Este Hydrologic study last year. 24 A draft report on Este is currently being reviewed. Watermaster will provide a recommendation for 25 the future management of Este to be presented to the Court in April 2007. 26

27 E. Oeste Subarea:

28

Total pumping in Oeste is relatively small and has declined since the Judgment was implemented. The estimated deficit is relatively small. A review of available water level data indicates

a slight downward trend in recent water levels, however, the magnitude of the indicated decline is
small. Last year, part of Watermaster's recommendation to the Court on Rampdown alternatives
included a recommendation to leave Oeste unchanged at 80%. Watermaster proposed to continue
monitoring water levels and to consider further Rampdown when warranted. At this time, based on the
water level data, decline in water production and only a small indicated deficit, Watermaster
recommends that FPA remain at 80% for 2006-07. It should be noted that MWA has commenced a
hydrologic study in Oeste to better understand water supply conditions.

8

9

10

V.

RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING

SUBSURFACE FLOW OBLIGATION BETWEEN SUBAREAS

Watermaster considered and adopted a recommendation to establish subsurface flow obligations as required by the Judgment. Notification was given to all the parties that an investigation was conducted and a report was prepared to determine changes to the subsurface flow amounts specified in the Judgment. No requests were received for copies of the report or for inspection and no comments were received. Watermaster held a detailed workshop on February 22, 2006 and took formal action on March 22, 2006 to adopt the recommended subsurface flow obligations.

The Judgment requires that Watermaster evaluate the subsurface flow from Baja across the MWA administrative boundary about six miles upstream from Afton. It was estimated before trial the amount of subsurface flow was 400 acre feet. The Judgment provides that the Baja producers pay a make up assessment in the event the subsurface flow obligation is not met.

The requirement to investigate and determine the amount of subsurface flow from Baja would 21 be very difficult to meet. There is no historic data to evaluate, and the cost of drilling wells and 22 obtaining necessary data would be burdensome and would not likely yield a great deal of information. 23 Further, if Watermaster could establish the amount of subsurface flow at this point in the basin, the cost 24 of delivering water to a point in the desert outside of the MWA boundary would be extremely high, and 25 would be borne by the Baja producers. It is Watermaster's opinion that the requirement to evaluate the 26 historic and present subsurface flow at the Baja boundary near Afton would not be in the best interests 27 of the basin area producers. Watermaster approved a recommendation to request that the Court relieve 28 Watermaster of the obligation to determine the subsurface flow from Baja to Afton, and that the Baja

1		
1	producers be relieved of the obligation to provide make up water to Afton.	
2	Watermaster is still investigating the Oeste subarea and will report back to the Court prior to	
3	October 1, 2006 with a recommendation regarding the subsurface flow obligation from Oeste to Alto.	
4	The subsurface flow obligations for Este to Alto (200 acre feet), Alto to Centro (2,000 acre feet	
5	and Centro to Baja (1200 acre feet) are recommended to remain unchanged.	
6	VI.	
7	TRANSITION ZONE WATER LEVELS	
8	Mojave Water Agency is investigating the conditions in the Transition Zone in order to establish	
9	minimum water levels as required by the Judgment. Currently MWA is pursuing the installation of	
10	monitoring wells and working with Department of Fish and Game in this regard. Watermaster will	
11	report back to the Court prior to October 1, 2006 with a recommendation for minimum Transition Zone	
12	water levels.	
13	vii.	
14	FUTURE WORK	
15	Watermaster and MWA will evaluate certain issues in each subarea in the 2005-06 and 2006-07	
16	including the following:	
1 7	Alto: The continued development of a key well monitoring program and implementation of	
18	water standards in the Transition Zone. Also, MWA is currently updating a groundwater model within	
19	the Alto subarea as well as pursing multiple recharge opportunities within Alto.	
20	Baja: The continued monitoring of water levels and hydrologic issues affecting Baja.	
21	Centro: MWA and Watermaster will begin the evaluation of hydrologeologic conditions in	
22	Harper Lake and the relationship between Harper Lake and the rest of Centro.	
23	Este: Watermaster is developing a management plan that takes into account the hydrogeologic	
24	conditions particular to Este.	
25	Oeste: MWA is investigating the hydrologic conditions in Oeste in relationship to water supply	
26	and the hydrogeology of Oeste. It is expected that a draft of the investigation will be available to	
27	November 2006.	
28	MWA is also actively investigating production by minimal producers, and has continued to	

•

1	1 identify and catalogue new minimal producer wells. MWA has recently released a Request for Pr	roposal
2	to evaluate water production by minimal producers in Este and to develop a basin wide proto	col for
3	dentifying and quantifying minimal producers. The work is ongoing and is expected to contin	ue into
4	the next water year.	
5	5 VIII.	
6	5 CONCLUSION	
7	Based upon the foregoing, the Declaration of Robert Wagner, attached hereto as Exhibit	t 1, and
8	the court's prior rulings, the Watermaster recommends that the Court implement the above adjus	tments
9	o for each Subarea.	
10	Dated: March 31, 2006 BRUNICK, MCELHANEX & PECKETT	
11	WILLIAM L PRINTER PSO	
12	2 STEVEN K. BECKETT, ESQ. Attorneys for Defendent/Cross Complainent	
13	MOJAVE WATER AGENCY	
14	1	
15	5	
16	5	
17	7	
18	3	
19	Ð	
20		
21		
22	2	
23		
24	-	
40		
20 27		
28	3	
PI 0	6911995 2005 2019 3891999 BRUNICK BATTERSBY MCELHANEY & BE #6318 P.01	4 4

.
,

•

DECLARATION OF ROBERT WAGNER

٠

F

•





DECLARATION OF ROBERT C. WAGNER

I, Robert C. Wagner, declare as follows:

I am a licensed Civil Engineer in the State of California and President of the firm of Wagner and Bonsignore, Consulting Civil Engineers in Sacramento, California. A copy of my professional resume has been attached to prior declarations filed with the court in this action and is incorporated herein by reference. I serve in the capacity of Engineer for the Mojave Basin Area Watermaster. I am providing the following information in support of Watermaster's recommendations regarding Free Production Allowance (FPA), Subsurface Flow Obligations between subareas, and other matters that pertain to the continued implementation of the Judgment.

I incorporate by reference, as though fully set forth herein, my declarations and all attachments thereto that were filed with the court in this action in support of the prior Motions to Adjust Free Production Allowance.

In my capacity as Engineer for the Mojave Basin Area Watermaster, I have reviewed the Motion to Adjust Free Production Allowance for the Water Year 2006-07 and the Watermaster's 12th Annual Report. Each of the facts set forth in the Motion to Adjust Free Production Allowance for the Water Year 2006-07 are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and I could competently testify thereto.

I have reviewed the recommended adjustments to FPA for the Water Year 2006-07 set forth in the pending motion and each of the recommendations set forth therein for each of the Subareas are consistent with my opinions and recommendations as conveyed to the Watermaster.

It is recommended that FPA for each of the five subareas for the 2006-07 Water Year should remain unchanged from the FPA ordered by the Court for the 2005-2006 Water Year. Therefore, FPA for the 2006-07 Water Year should be set as follows:

Subarea	FPA Recommendation
Alto Agricultural	80% of BAP
Alto – Municipal and Industrial	60% of BAP
Baja ¹	70% of BAP or 75% of BAP pursuant to the
	Court Order of 12/29/05
Centro	80% of BAP
Este ²	80% of BAP
Oeste	80% of BAP

The Baja Subarea Advisory Committee submitted a proposal to the Court for an alternative to the Rampdown mandated by the Judgment which includes a recommendation to set FPA at 75% (starting in 2005-2006) of Base Annual Production for ten years pursuant to certain restrictions. The Baja SAC proposal was ordered by the Court on December 29, 2005 and a copy was mailed to all Baja parties on January 5, 2006.

FPA to be set at 80% of Base Annual Production for the 2006-07 Water Year. The Este Subarea may be subject to future Rampdown to 65% immediately if water use conditions change.

The Baja FPA is to remain at 75% for 10 years with certain restrictions. The conditions under which a producers FPA will remain at 75% were developed by the Baja subarea advisory

¹The Baja Subarea Advisory Committee submitted a proposal to the Court for an alternative to the Rampdown mandated by the Judgment which includes a recommendation to set FPA at 75% (starting in 2005-2006) of Base Annual Production for ten years pursuant to certain restrictions. The Baja SAC proposal was ordered by the Court on December 29, 2005 and a copy was mailed to all Baja parties on January 5, 2006.

² FPA to be set at 80% of Base Annual Production for the 2006-07 Water Year. The Este Subarca may be subject to future Rampdown to 65% immediately if water use conditions change.

committee in cooperation with the Watermaster. The Court held a hearing on September 9, 2005 and signed an order approving the agreement on December 29, 2005.

Watermaster has considered and adopted a recommendation to establish subsurface flow obligations as required by the Judgment. Notification was given to all the parties that an investigation was conducted and a report prepared to determine changes to the subsurface flow amounts specified in the Judgment. No requests were received for copies of the report or for inspection and no comments were received. Watermaster held a detailed workshop on February 22, 2006 and took formal action on March 22, 2006 to adopt the recommended subsurface flow obligations.

The Judgment requires that Watermaster evaluate the subsurface flow from Baja across the MWA administrative boundary about six miles upstream from Afton. It was estimated before trial the amount of subsurface flow was 400 acre feet. The Judgment provides that the Baja producers pay a make up assessment in the event the subsurface flow obligation is not met.

The requirement to investigate and determine the amount of subsurface flow from Baja would be very difficult to meet. There is no historic data to evaluate, and the cost of drilling wells and obtaining necessary data would be burdensome and would not likely yield a great deal of information. Further, if we could establish the amount of subsurface flow at this point in the basin, the cost of delivering water to a point in the desert outside of the MWA boundary would extremely high, and would be bourn by the Baja producers. It is my opinion that the requirement to evaluate the historic and present subsurface flow at the Baja boundary near Afton would not be in the best interests of the basin area producers. Watermaster approved a recommendation to request that the



Court relieve Watermaster of the obligation to determine the subsurface flow from Baja to Afton, and that the Baja producers be relieved of the obligation to provide make up water to Afton.

Mojave Water Agency is still investigating the Oeste subarea and will report back to Court prior to October 1, 2006 with a recommendation regarding the subsurface flow obligation from Oeste to Alto.

The subsurface flow obligations for Este to Alto (200 acre feet), Alto to Centro (2,000 acre feet) and Centro to Baja (1200 acre feet) are to remain unchanged.

Mojave Water Agency is investigating the conditions in the Transition Zone in order to establish minimum water levels as required by the Judgment. Currently MWA is pursuing the installation of monitoring wells and working with Department of Fish and Game in this regard. Watermaster will report back to Court prior to October 1, 2006 with a recommendation for minimum Transition Zone water levels.

Watermaster and MWA will evaluate certain issues in each subarea in the 2005-06 and 2006-07 water years including the following:

- Alto: The continued development of a key well monitoring program and implementation of water level standards in the Transition Zone. Also, MWA is currently updating a groundwater model within the Alto subarea as well as pursuing multiple recharge opportunities within Alto.
- Baja:The continued monitoring of water levels and hydrologic issues affectingBaja.

10.00

Cento:	MWA and Watermaster sill begin the evaluation of hydrologeologic	
	conditions in Harper Lake and the relationship between Harper Lake and	
	the rest of Centro.	
Este:	Watermaster is developing a management plan that takes into account the hydrogeologic conditions particular to Este.	

Oeste: MWA is investigating the hydrologic conditions in Oeste in relationship to water supply and the hydrogeology of Oeste. It is expected that a draft of this investigation will be available by November 2006.

MWA is also actively investigating production by minimal producers, and has continued to identify and catalogue new minimal producer wells. MWA has recently released a Request for Proposal to evaluate water production by minimal producers in Este, and to develop a basin wide protocol for identifying and quantifying minimal producers. The work is ongoing and is expected to continue into the next water year.

Date: March 31, 2006

ROBERT C. WAGNER, P.E., Declarant

MOJOZ092.PDF

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA } COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO }

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Δ

I am employed in the County of the San Bernardino, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1839 Commercenter West, San Bernardino, California.

On April 3, 2006, I served the foregoing document described as: NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO ADJUST FREE PRODUCTION ALLOWANCE FOR WATER YEAR 2006-2007; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES, AND DECLARATION OF ROBERT C. WAGNER IN SUPPORT THEREOF on the interested parties in this action as follows:

9 Patrick Flynn Kopy Kat
10 570 W. Lambert Road, Suite C Brea, CA 92621
11 Facsimile: (714) 990-6126

> <u>XX</u> (BY MAIL) I deposited such envelope in the mail at San Bernardino, California. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.

As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Bernardino, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

(BY FACSIMILE) I faxed such document to the interested parties to their telecopier numbers as stated above.

 $X_{\text{California}}$ (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on April 3, 2006, at San Bernardino, California.

Tovar, declarant

 Δ^{-}

1	William J. Brunick (State Bar No.46289)	NO FEE PER GOV'T. CODE SEC. 6103
2	BRUNICK, McELHANEY & BECKETT	
3	San Bernardino, CA 92412	
4	Facsimile: (909) 388-1889	
5	Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Complainant MOJAVE WATER AGENCY	
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	IN AND FOR THE C	COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
10		0.71/-
11	CITY OF BARSTOW, et al.) CASE NO. 208568
12	Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED]) ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
13	VS.) ADJUST FREE PRODUCTION) ALLOWANCE FOR WATER YEAR 2006-
14	CITY OF ADELANTO, et al.,) 2007
15	Defendant.	 Assigned for All Purposes to: Judge Michael E. Kaiser, Dept. 3
16	AND RELATED CROSS ACTIONS	
17		·
18	The above-entitled action came on regul	larly for hearing on $May (1-2006)$ before the
19	Honorable E. Michael Kaiser, Judge of the Sup	erior Court, on the motion of Defendant/Cross-
20	Complainant, MOJAVE WATER AGENCY, a	cting in its capacity as Watermaster, pursuant to the
21	Judgment entered January 10, 1996, Paragraph 24(0), seeking an adjustment in Free Production	
22	Allowance (FPA). The court having reviewed and considered the moving, opposing, and reply	
23	papers, and the arguments of counsel, and good	cause appearing, hereby GRANTS the motion on
24	the following terms as to the Subareas defined i	in the Judgment of January 10, 1996 for the Water
25	Year 2006-2007:	
26	<u>ALTO SUBAREA</u>	
27	1. FPA shall remain at 60% of Bas	se Annual Production (BAP) for Municipal and
28	Industrial (M&I) water producers.	
		1
	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO ADJUST	FREE PRODUCTION ALLOWANCE (2005-06)]

-25 🛩

	Θ Θ	
1	2 EPA shall remain at 20% of PAP for Agricultural producers	
2	BAJA SUBAREA	
3	FPA shall remain at 75% of BAP for both M & I and Agricultural producers pursuant to the	
4	court's order of December 29, 2005. If there is a change in purpose or place of use or other change	
5	inconsistent with the court's order of December 29, 2005, then rampdown shall occur reducing the	
6	FPA affected by the change to 70% of BAP at the time of the change	
7	CENTRO SUBAREA	
8	FPA shall remain at 80% of BAP for both M & I and Agricultural producers.	
9	ESTE SUBAREA	
10	Rampdown is deferred and FPA shall continue to remain at 80% of BAP for both M & I and	
11	Agricultural producers. Watermaster shall provide a recommendation to the Court on future	
12	management of the Subarea in April 2007. Deferred rampdown may be implemented upon further	
13	order of the court following the Watermaster's report and motion seeking court approval which	
14	could result in FPA being reduced to the level required by the Judgment at the time the Motion is	
15	made.	
16	OESTE SUBAREA	
17	FPA shall remain at 80% of BAP for both M & I and Agricultural producers.	
18	It is further ORDERED that Watermaster shall be relieved of the obligation to determine the	
19	subsurface flow from Baja to Afton, and that the Baja Subarea producers shall be relieved of the	
20	obligation to provide make up water to Afton.	
21		
22	Date:, 2006	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	
	[ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO ADJUST FREE PRODUCTION ALLOWANCE (2005-06)]	

مر بد به

~	
l	<u>PROOF OF SERVICE</u>
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA } COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO }
3	I am employed in the County of the San Bernardino, State of California, I am
4	over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1839 Commercenter West, San Bernardino, California.
ر م	On April 3, 2006, I served the foregoing document described as:
ь 7	ALLOWANCE FOR WATER YEAR 2006-2007on the interested parties in this action as follows:
8	Patrick Flynn
و	Kopy Kat 570 W. Lambert Road, Suite C Brea CA 92621
10	Facsimile: (714) 990-6126
11	XX (DX MAIL) I demonstrate such anywalance in the most of San Demonstrate California
12	The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.
13	As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with
14	U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Bernardino, California in the ordinary course of business. Lam aware that on motion of
15	the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
16	(BY FACSIMILE) I faxed such document to the interested parties to their
17	telecopier numbers as stated above.
18 19	X (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
20	Executed on April 3, 2006, at San Bernardino, California.
21	
22	Soo M. M.
23	Lisa M. Tovar, declarant
24	
25	
26	
27	
2.8	
	APR.03'2006 15:09 909 3881889 ERUNICK BATTERSEY MCELHANEY & BE #6318 P.023

ľ		
1	William J. Brunick, (Bar No. 46289) BRUNICK MCELHANEV & BECKETT	Exempt from filing fees
2	1839 Commercenter West P.O. Box 6425	FILED
3	San Bernardino, California 92412-6425 Telephone: (909) 889-8301	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
4	Facsimile: (909) 388-1889	APR 0 3 2006
5	MOJAVE WATER AGENCY	D, Mathews)
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	IN AND FOR THE COUN	VTY OF RIVERSIDE
10		NIV-
11	CITY OF BARSTOW, et al	CASE NO.: 208568
12	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF LODGING OF
13		TWELFTH ANNUAL REPORT OF THE MOJAVE BASIN AREA
14	Defendant	YEAR 2004-2005
15 16		
 17	AND RELATED CROSS ACTIONS	Assigned for All Purposes to: Judge E. Michael Kaiser
18		0
19	ΒΙ ΓΑϚΓ ΤΑΚΓ ΝΟΤΙ ΟΓ ΤΠΑΤ +ba	Watermaster has lodged it's Annual
20	Watermaster Report (hereinafter "Annual Report") with the Riverside County	
21	Superior Court pursuant to paragraph 24(k) of the Judgment. All provisions of page	
22	30, paragraph 24, section k(1) and (2) have been complied with, and notice was given	
23	to all parties that a draft was available for review.	
24		
26		
27		
28		
	NOTICE OF L	ODGING
 54	DRUNICK BATTERSEY MCELHANEY & BE #6318 P.0.	APR.03'2006 15:10 909 3881889

٢_

Those parties seeking to obtain copies of the Annual Report may do so at the
offices of the Mojave Water Agency located at 22450 Headquarters, Apple Valley,
California 92307. Any party objecting to the filing or contents of the Annual Reprot
must, pursuant to Paragraph 36 of the Judgment, file a noticed motion with the court.
Dated: April 3, 2006BRUNICK, MCELHANEY & BECKETT

NOTICE OF LODGING

By: lliam J. Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-complainant, MOJAVE WATER AGENCY

BRUNICK BATTERSEY MCELHANEY & BE #6318 P.025

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO }

I am employed in the County of the San Bernardino, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1839 Commercenter West, San Bernardino, California.

On April 3, 2006, I served the foregoing document described as: NOTICE OF LODGING OF TWELFTH ANNUAL REPORT OF THE MOJAVE BASIN AREA WATERMASTER, WATER YEAR 2004-2005 on the interested parties in this action as follows:

9 Patrick Flynn Kopy Kat
10 570 W. Lambert Road, Suite C Brea, CA 92621
11 Facsimile No.: (714) 990-6126

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(BY MAIL) I deposited such envelope in the mail at San Bernardino, California. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.

As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Bernardino, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

XX____ (BY FACSIMILE) I faxed such document to the interested parties to their telecopier numbers as stated above.

 \underline{X} (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on April 3, 2006, at San Bernardino, California.

Ouingi Jo /

1	
2 3	PROOF OF SERVICE (BY MAIL) (C.C.P. Section 1013a(3))
4	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE
5 6 7	I am over the age of 18 and I am not a party to the within action. I am employed by KOPY KAT, INC., in the County of Orange, at 570 W. Lambert Road, Suite C, Brea, CA. 92621. I served the attached documents:
8	1. NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO ADJUST FREE PRODUCTION ALLOWANCE FOR WATER YEAR 2006-2007;
9	2. NOTICE OF LODGING;
10	3. (PROPOSED) ORDER.
11	4. DECLARATION OF ROBERT C. WAGNER
12	on the interested parties in this action by placing true copies in a sealed envelope(s), addressed as follows:
13	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on <u>APRIL 4, 2006</u> , I placed said envelope(s) for collection and mailing, following ordinary business practices at the offices of KOPY KAT, INC. at the address set forth above, for deposit in the United States Postal Service. I am readily familiar with the practice of KOPY KAT, INC. for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and said envelope(s) will be deposited with the United States Postal Service on said date in
21 22	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
23 24 25 26 27 28	Executed on <u>APRIL 4, 2006</u> at Brea, California.

Abbond, Edward 11394 Cottontail Lane Apple Valley, CA 92308

Adelanto, City Of P. O. Box 10 Adelanto, CA 92301 Attn: Jack Stonesifer

Aerochem, Inc. HCR Box 80A, 4001 El Mirage Road Adelanto, CA 92301-0040 Attn: Kent T. Christenson

Ake, Charles J. & Marjorie M. 2301 Muriel Drive, Apt. 67 Barstow, CA 92311-6757

Apple Valley Country Club 15200 Rancherias Road Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Kris Waagen

Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company P. O. Box 7005 Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Jack L. Clarke

Arguelles, Alfredo 48001 Silver Valley Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Atchison, Topeka, Santa Fe Railway Company 200 North Avenue H Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Dean Forshee

Baker, Gloría L. P.O. Box 2928 Running Springs, CA 92382

Bar H Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 844 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Paul K. Nelson

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

Abbott Family Trust Box 77 Star Route Oro Grande, CA 92368 Attn: Buck Abbott

Adelanto, City Of - Gcorge A F B P. O. Box 10 Adelanto, CA 92301 Attn: Jack Stonesifer

Agcon, Inc. 17671 Bear Valley Road Hesperia, CA 92345 Attn: Lori Clifton

American States Water Company 2143 Convention Center Way. Suite 110 Ontario, CA 91764-5492 Attn: Gladys Rosendo

Apple Valley Foothill County Water District P. O. Box 914 Apple Valley, CA 92308-0914 Attn: Brenda Bitonti

Apple Valley View Mutual Water Company P.O. Box 3680 Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Emely Saltmeris

Artinyan, Ludvik & Lucy 5300 Santa Monica Blvd. Suite 200 Los Angeles, CA 90029-1258

Avila, Angel & Evalia 1523 S. Visalia Avenue Compton, CA 90220-

Baldy Mesa Water District 10313 Duncan Victorville, CA 92392-0830 Attn: Don Bartz

Barak, Richard 38140 Calle Campo Rd. Temecula, CA 92592 Abshire, David V. P.O. Box # 2071 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: John McCallum

Ades, John & Devon 13830 Choco Road Apple Valley, CA 92307

Aguayo, Jeanette L. 22619 Thompson Road Hinkley, CA 92347

Anderson, Ross C. & Betty J. 13853 Oakmont Dr. Victorville, CA 92395-4832

Apple Valley Heights County Water District 9429 Cena Vista Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: Gail Hunter

Apple Valley, Town Of 14955 Dale Evans Parkway Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Rodger Lopez

Artz, Richard & Gloria P. O. Box 340 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Bagley, Roy 33483 Dune Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Ball, David P. 46849 Rodeo Drive Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Barber, James B. 43774 Cottonwood Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Bar-Len Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 77 Barstow, CA 92312-0077 Attn: James Moore

Bass Trust, Newton T. 14924 Chamber Lane Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Barbara Davison

Bedingfield, Lyndell & Charlene 43434 Cottonwood Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Jeffrey P. McDonnell

Beinschroth, A. J. 18794 Sentenac Road Apple Valley, CA 92307

Benton, Philip G. P. O. Box 279 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Borja, Leonil T. & Tital L. 20784 Iris Canyon Road Riverside, CA 92508-

Brommer Family Trust 13129 S. Baker Avenue Ontario, CA 91761 Attn: Marvin & Carroll Brommer

Brown, Jennifer 10001 Choiceana Ave. Hesperia, CA 92345

Bruins, Nicholas 48525 Cheltham Drive Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Joleen Szynkowski

Burns, Annie L. 516 St. John Place Inglewood, CA 90301-1318 Attn: Paul H. Johnson Baron, Susan & Palmer, Curtis 42354 Valley Center Rd. Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Curtis Palmer

Bastianon, Remo E. 9484 Iroquois Rd. Apple Valley, CA 92308

Beebe, Robert W. & Dorothy K. 10111 Choicana Hesperia, CA 92345

Bell, Chuck P. O. Box 193 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356

Best, Byron L. 26338 US Highway 58 Barstow, CA 92311-9696

Bowman, Edwin L. 10691 Deerfield Drive Cherry Valley, CA 92223-5599

Brooklier, Nancy L. P.O. Box 254 Helendale, CA 92342

Brown, Ronald A. 12031 Philadelphia Street Whittier, CA 90601-3926

Bruneau, Karen 19575 Bear Valley Rd. Apple Valey, CA 92308-5104

Calico Junction P. O. Box 1923 Barstow, CA 92312-1923 Attn: Harvey J. Walker Jr. Barstow Calico K O A P. O. Box 967 Yermo, CA 92398 Attn: Robert Moore

Basura Family Trust 9776 Zepher Court Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: Russell Cooper

Beinschroth Family Trust 18794 Sentenac Road Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: A. J. Beinschroth

Bender, Marlene 23852 Pebble Beach Laguna Niguel, CA 92677

Borgogno Revocable Living Trust 1400 E. Ocean Blvd. Unit # 2311 Long Beach, CA 90802-6943 Attn: Steve Borgogno

Bracht, William F. & Alexander, Alicia M. 12439 Addison Street N. Hollywood, CA 91607 Attn: W. Bracht & A. Alexander

Brown, Bobby G. & Valeria R. 26776 Vista Road Helendale, CA 92342

Brown, Sue & Doug 2414 El Mirage Road El Mirage, CA 92301

Bunnell, Dick 6882 Rook Drive Huntington Beach, CA 92647-

Calico Lakes Homeowners Association c/o Haven Management Inc. 2151 Conventi Ontario, CA 91764 Attn: Sally Tashman

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

California Department Of Transportation 1800 Dill Road Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Gerald Brown

Camanga, Tony & Marietta 48924 Bedford Rd. Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Campbell, M. A. & Dianne P. O. Box 451163 Houston, TX 77245-1163

Casa Colina Foundation 11981 Midway Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Rod Peek

CDFG - Mojave River Fish Hatchery 12550 Jacaranda Avenue Victorville, CA 92395-5183 Attn: Gary L. Williams

Chamisal Mutual Water Company 1442 El Mirage Rd. El Mirage, CA 92301 Attn: Dwayne Ross

Cheyenne Lake, Inc. 6255 E. Quartz Anaheim, CA 92807 Attn: Carl Pugh

Choi, Yong II & Joung Ae 34424 Mountain View Road Hinkley, CA 92347

Clark, Arthur 11919 Bayless St. Moreno Valley, CA 92557

Conner, William H. 47994 Palma Vista Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Callahan, Bernerd J. 23502 Coso Rd Mission Viejo, CA 92692

Campbell Family Trust 22229 Brone Court Canyon Lake, CA 92587 Attn: Eugene Campbell

Candlewood Investment Properties P. O. Box 373 Victorville, CA 92393-0373 Attn: Lance G. Taylor

CDFG - Camp Cady 109429 Highway 395 Coleville, CA 96107-Attn: William Holtz

Cemex, Inc. 16888 North E. Street Victorville, CA 92394 Attn: Jackelin Simmons

Chang, Timothy & Jane 5121 Burnett Street Long Beach, CA 90815-1906

Chiao Mei Development 8808 Mission Drive, Suite #208 Rosemead, CA 91770 Attn: Peter Chao

Christison, Joel 6302 Trinette Garden Grove, CA 92645

Cline, Vivian D. 45835 Twin Lakes Drive Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Contratto, Ersula 21814 Hinkley Road Barstow, CA 92311 CalMat Company 405 N. Indian Hill Blvd. Claremont, CA 91711 Attn: Robert W. Bowcock

Campbell, Bryan M. 431 Barstow Road Barstow, CA 92311-

Carlton, Susan 2273 248th Street Lomita, CA 90717-1507

CDFG - Mojave Narrows Regional Park 777 East Rialto Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0763 Attn: Phillip J. Krause

Center Water Company P. O. Box 616 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Donna Chandler

Channell, Dale E. 186 Verde Ridge Ct. Henderson, NV 89012-2452

Cho Brothers Ranch P. O. Box 397 Five Points, CA 93624 Attn: Chung Cho Gong

Chuang, Marshal 740 Woodward Blvd. Pasadena, CA 91107-5720

Club View Partners 9903 Santa Monica Blvd., PMB #541 Beverly Hills, CA 90212-1671 Attn: Manoucher Sarbaz

Cool Water Ranch 22749 US Highway 18 #A42 Apple Valley, CA 92307-4303 Attn: Paul Henderson Cramer, Margaret Muir 15030 Genesee Road Apple Valley, CA 92307

Cross, Sharon I. P. O. Box 922 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356

Daggett Community Services District P. O. Box 308 Daggett, CA 92327 Attn: Lawrence Alf

Davis Family Trust 19685 Gray Mountain Rd. El Mirage, CA 92301 Attn: Paul W. Davis Jr.

Dennison, Quentin D. - Clegg, Frizell & Joke 44579 Temescal Street Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Randy Wagner

Desert View Dairy 37501 Mountain View Rd. Hinkley, CA 92347 Attn: Paul Ryken

Dick Van Dam Dairy 3180 Cottonwood Avenue San Jacinto, CA 92582 Attn: Glenn Van Dam

Docimo, Donald P. & Patricia J. 53280 Avenue Madero La Quinta, CA 92253

Dorman, Dudley D. & Billie B. 41100 Elkhorn St. Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: David McQuinn

Dowse, Philip P. O. Box 400-847 Hesperia, CA 92340-0847

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

Crandall, Esther 2196 Kendall Drive, Apt. 215 San Bernardino, CA 92407

Crystal Hills Water Company P. O. Box 650 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Raymond Razo

Dahlquist, George R. 3056 N. Buena Vista Burbank, CA 91504

De Jong Family Trust 46561 Fairview Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Alan L. De Jong

Desert Dawn Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 392 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Peggy Roork

Desert Wind LLC 7503 SVL Box Victorville, CA 92392-Attn: Mee Song

DJC Corporation 35300 Old Woman Springs Road Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-7706 Attn: Magdalena Jones

Dolch, Robert & Judy 15760 Stoddard Wells Road Victorville, CA 92395-2831

Dossey, D. A. 137 Woodhill Drive Morehead, KY 40351-7860

D'Silva, Melanie 1037 N. Grand Ave #196 Covina, CA 91724-2048 Cross, Francis & Beverly Paradise Valley Box 16 Barstow, CA 92311

Crystal Lakes Property Owners Association P. O. Box 351 Yermo, CA 92398 Attn: Bob Buck

Darr, James S. Star Route, Kramer Junction Boron, CA 93516

Delano Enterprises, Inc. 4616 Emerald Bay Drive Arvin, CA 93203 Attn: Gary Delano

Desert Springs Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 396 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-0396 Attn: Denise Courtney

Dexter Family Trust 12600 Apple Valley Road Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: J.P. Dexter

Docimo, Allen & Kathryn 48275 Silver Valley Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365-

Donaldson, Jerry & Beverly 58556 Amber Road Olathe, CO 81425-9568

Dowell, Leonard 5125 2nd Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90043-1948

Elisabella, LLC P.O. Box 488 Helendale, CA 92342 Attn: Jesus Enriquez Evenson, Edwin H. & Joycelaine C. P. O. Box 66 Oro Grande, CA 92368

Fahim, Ashraf & Mikhail, Mervat W. 1268 Flemington Road Riverside, CA 92506-Attn: Ashraf Fahim

First CPA LLC 3043 Prospect Avenue Rosemead, CA 91770-2244 Attn: Jerrica Liu

Fisher, Jerome 7603 Hazeltine Van Nuys, CA 91405

Fundamental Christian Endeavors, Inc. 49191 Cherokee Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Mark Asay

Gaines, Jack & Mary 36280 Soapmine Road Barstow, CA 92311

Gayjikian, Samuel & Hazel P. O. Box 684 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356

Gordon Acres Water Company P. O. Box 1607 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-1035 Attn: Tom Warnock

Green Acres Estates P. O. Box 1754 Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Susan Zutavern

Grill, Nicholas P. & Millie D. P. O. Box 901 Helendale, CA 92342 Evkhanian, James H. & Phyllis P. O. Box 77 Glendale, CA 91209-0077

Farley, Greggory J. 7787 Lakeside Dr. Riverside, CA 92509-5324

Fischer, Elmer G. & Beverly C. 5051 Hawk Ridge Road Summit Valley, CA 92345-9203

Friend, Joseph & Deborah P. O. Box 253 Barstow, CA 92312

Gabrych, Eugene 2006 Old Highway 395 Fallbrook, CA 92028

Garcia, Daniel 9899 Summerhill Rd. Alta Loma, CA 91737

Gesiriech, Wayne D. 23677 Community Blvd. Hinkley, CA 92347

Graves, Chester B. 500 N. San Dimas Canyon Road San Dimas, CA 91773-2200

Greenhouse Family Trust 25322 Aster Road Oro Grande, CA 92368-Attn: Sheryl Greenhouse

Gubler, Hans P. O. Box 3100 Landers, CA 92285 Eygnor, Robert E. & Patsy C. 23032 Bryman Road Oro Grande, CA 92368-

Ferro, Dennis & Norma 1311 1st Avenue N. Jacksonville Beach, FL 32250-3512

Fisher, Dolores 3185 Valencia Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92404

Friends of Harper Lake, Inc. 7151 Coriander Trail Oak Hills, CA 92345-9029 Attn: Henry Orlosky

Gaeta, Trinidad 10551 Dallas Avenue Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Jay Storer

Gardena Mission Church, Inc. P. O. Box 304 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-0304 Attn: Sang Hwal Kim

Gold, Harold 1037 N. Primrose Avenue Rialto, CA 92376

Gray, George F. & Betty E. 975 Bryant Calimesa, CA 92320

Grieder, Raymond H. & Dorisanne 41234 Harper Lake Road Hinkley, CA 92347

Gulbranson, Merlin 4410 N. Arizona St. Kingman, AZ 86401-2738 Attn: Tamara McKenzie

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

Gutierrez, Jose & Gloria 24116 Santa Fe Hinkley, CA 92347

Halanna Equities III 233 Sansome Street, Suite 928 San Francisco, CA 94104-2315 Attn: Alexandra Lioanag

Hamilton, Doug & Cheryl 19945 Round Up Way Apple Valley, CA 92308-8338

Handrinos, Nicole A. 1140 Parkdale Rd. Adelanto, CA 92301 Attn: William Handrinos

Haralik, Bess & Robert 32990 Harvard Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Harmsen, James & Ruth Ann 23920 Community Blvd. Hinkley, CA 92347-9721

Harrison, Connie & Harold 22230 National Trails Hwy. Oro Grande, CA 92368

Harvey, Frank P. O. Box 753 Yermo, CA 92398

Helendale School District P. O. Box 249 Helendale, CA 92342 Attn: Peter Czamota

Hesperia Golf And Country Club 17970 Bangor Avenue Hesperia, CA 92345 Attn: Jerry McCrory

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

Hackbarth, Edward E. P.O. Box 1089 Corona, CA 92878-1089

Hai-Dor Ltd. 35300 Old Woman Springs Road Luceme Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Magdalena Jones

Hamilton, et al. 9312 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308-8319 Attn: Don & Ruth M. Hamilton

Hanify, Michael D., dba - White Bear Ranch 36511 Lenwood Road Hinkley, CA 92347 Attn: Donald F. Hanify

Hare, Thomas R. & Helen P. 35729 Dixie Road Hinkley, CA 92347-9631

Harper Lake Company VIII 43880 Harper Lake Road Hinkley, CA 92347 Attn: Glen King

Hart, Merrill W. 12636 Lincoln Street Lucerne Valley, CA 92356

Harvey, Jeffrey W. & Lisa M. 13760 Wells Fargo Rd. Oak Hills, CA 92345

Hendley, Rick & Barbara P. O. Box 905 Yermo, CA 92398-0905 Attn: Jim Martin

Hesperia Water District 15776 Main Street Hesperia, CA 92345-3454 Attn: Mike Podegracz Hainje, Kenneth Edward P. O. Box 413 Helendale, CA 92342-0413

Hamilton Trust, Don & Ruth M. 9312 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308-8319 Attn: Don & Ruth M. Hamilton

Handley, Don R. & Mary Ann 29611 Excter Street Lucerne Valley, CA 92356

Hanson Aggregates WRP, Inc. P. O. Box 190 Newberry Springs, CA 92365-0190 Attn: Marie Lachica

Hareson, Nicholas & Mary 1737 Anza Avenue Vista, CA 92084

Harper Lake, LLC 7151 Coriander Trail Oak Hills, CA 92345-9029 Attn: Henry Orlosky

Harter, Joe & Sue 34530 Minneola Road Daggett, CA 92327

Hass, Pauline L. P.O. Box 1004 Barstow, CA 92312

Hert, Scott P. O. Box 590 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356

Hettinga, Hein & Ellen 17094 Cucamonga Avenue Corona, CA 92880 Attn: Patricia Mobr Hi Desert Mutual Water Company 32766 Sylvan Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Denis Pafundi

Hi-Grade Materials Company 17671 Bear Valley Road Hesperia, CA 92345-4902 Attn: Lori Clifton

Hill, Melvin 25749 Community Blvd. Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Sylvia Pile

Hodge, Stanley W. 15124 Riverside Drive Apple Valley, CA 92307

Hong, Paul B. & May P.O. Box # 1432 Covina, CA 91722

Howard, Norman N. 27871 Beryl Avenue Barstow, CA 92311

Hubbard, Ester & Mizuno, Arlean 2225 7th Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90018-1146 Attn: Ester Hubbard

Huntbach, David J. P. O. Box 641 Barstow, CA 92312-0641

Irvin, Bertrand W. 3224 W. 111th Street Inglewood, CA 90303-2313

Jess Ranch Water Company 11401 Apple Valley Road Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: Gary A. Ledford Hickman, Alex & Debe 48550 Riverside Drive Newberry Springs, CA 92365-9017

Hilarides, Frank 37404 Harvard Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Hitchin Lucerne, Inc. P. O. Box 749 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Mary Thomas

Hollister, Robert H. & Ruth M. P. O. Box 2 Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Chuck Hollister

Horton, John 47716 Fairview Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365-9258

Howser, Huell B. 450 North Rossmore Los Angeles, CA 90004-

Huerta, Hector 25684 Community Blvd. Barstow, CA 92311

Hutchison, William O. P. O. Box 97 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Jackson, Ray P. O. Box 333 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Jo, Myung Hyun P. O. Box 1844 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-1844 Hiett, Harry J. & Clarice M. P. O. Box 265 Daggett, CA 92327-0265

Hileman, Katherine 22150 W. Main Street Barstow, CA 92311

Ho, Ting-Seng & Ah-Git 1994 Allenby Road Germantown, TN 38138-4346

Holway, C. Robert 73 Sunflower Lane Oro Grande, CA 92368

Horton's Children's Trust 47716 Fairview Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365-9258 Attn: John Horton

Hrubik, Thomas A. P. O. Box 2611 Apple Valley, CA 92307-0049

Hunt, Ralph M. & Lillian F. P. O. Box 603 Yermo, CA 92398

Hyatt, James & Brenda P. O. Box 85 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Jamboree Housing Corporation 15940 Stoddard Wells Road - Office Victorville, CA 92395-2800 Attn: Jim Vasquez

Johnson, Carlean 8626 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

Johnson, James R. & Ellen 48084 Fairview Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Jones, Joette 28485 Highway 58 Barstow, CA 92311

Juniper Riviera County Water District P. O. Box 386 Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Michael W. Mines

Kasner Family Limited Partnership 1101 S. East End Avenue Pomona, CA 91766-3842 Attn: Robert R. Kasner

Keel, Al J. & Barbara 7165 Kenyon Ave Hesperia, CA 92345

Kemper, Walter R. & Jonna S. 1770 N. Arrowhead Ave. San Bernardino, CA 92405

Kim, Jin S. & Hyun H. 53 Bluecoat Irvine, CA 92620

Kosharek, John & Joann P. O. Box 357 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Lake Waikiki 230 Hillcrest Drive La Puente, CA 91744 Attn: Nancy Lan

Lawrence, William W. 44940 Silver Valley Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

Johnson, Ronald 19919 Poppy Road Apple Valley, CA 92308

Jordan Family Trust 31325 Clay River Road Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Raymond Jordan

Kaplan, Abraham M. 340 N. Newport Blvd., Penthouse 723 Orange, CA 92869-8505 Attn: Joice Kaplan

Kasner, Robert 1101 S. East End Avenue Pomona, CA 91766

Kemp, Robert & Rose 48441 National Trails Highway Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Kernek, Keith & Priscilla 31801 Soapmine Rd. Barstow Rd., CA 92311 Attn: Kernek

Kim, Joon Ho 46561 Fairview Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Alan De Jong

Eake Arrowhead Community Services District P. O. Box 700 Lake Arrowhead, CA 92352 Attn: Patti McGonigle

Lake Wainani Owners Association 4725 McKinnon Drive Anaheim, CA 92807 Attn: Ron Basbas

Lawson, Ernest & Barbara 20277 Rock Springs Road Apple Valley, CA 92308 Johnston, Harriet & Johnston, Lawrence W. P. O. Box 1472 Hesperia, CA 92340-1472 Attn: Lawrence W. Johnston

Jubilee Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 1016 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Richard Selby

Karimi, Hooshang 1254 Holmby Ave Los Angeles, CA 90024-

Katcher, August M. & Marceline 47887 Palo Verde Lane Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Kemper Campbell Ranch 10 Kemper Campbell Ranch Road Victorville, CA 92395-3357 Attn: Jean De Blasis

Kiel, Mary 42224 1/2 Valley Center Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Betty Mobbs

Kim, Ju Sang 2250 Simon Street Fullerton, CA 92833-

Lake Jodie Property Owners Association 20650 Geronimo Road Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: Robert Angerer

Langley, Michael R. & Sharon 13426 Ramona Parkway Baldwin Park, CA 91706

Lee, Chun Hwa & Myung Sook 5808 N. Primrose Ave Apt A Temple City, CA 91780 Attn: Chun Lee Lee, Doo Hwan P. O. Box 556 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-0556

Lee, Vin Jang T. 41717 Silver Valley Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Eric Archibek

Levine, Leslie 34530 Minneola Road Daggett, CA 92327 Attn: Joe Harter

Little, Don & Mustafa, Ed P. O. Box 1748 Victorville, CA 92393-1748 Attn: Don Little

Lopez, Baltazar 1351 S. San Antonio Pomona, CA 91766

Low, Dean 1031 Briarcliff Road Monrovia, CA 91016-1703

Lucerne Valley Partners 9903 Santa Monica Blvd., PMB #541 Beverly Hills, CA 90212-1671 Attn: Manoucher Sarbaz

Luth, Ken 22680 Bryman Road Oro Grande, CA 92368

Mahjoubi, Afsar S. 46622 Fairview Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Robert Saidi

Manning, Sharon S. 19332 Balan Road Rowland Heights, CA 91748-4017 Attn: Jimmy Berry

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

Lee, et al., Sepoong & Woo Poong #6 Ensueno East Irvine, CA 92714 Attn: Sepoong & Woo Poong Lee

Lem, Hoy 3904 W. 182 Street Torrance, CA 90504-4839

LHC Alligator, LLC P. O. Box 670 Upland, CA 91785-0670 Attn: John M. Goodinan

Lo. Peter C. N. & Debbie D. J. 5303 Temple City Blvd. Temple City, CA 91780-3149

Lounsbury, J. Peter & Carolyn 14499 Robinson Ranch Road Oro Grande, CA 92368

Lua, Michael T. & Donna S. 18838 Aldridge Place Rowland Heights, CA 91748

Lucerne Vista Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 677 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-0677 Attn: Judy Felsch

M Bird Construction 1613 State Street, Ste. 10 Barstow, CA 92311-4162 Attn: Eugene R. & Vickie R. Bird

Malin, Andy & Solomon, Paula 2420 N. David Street Pahrump, NV 89048-3244 Attn: Andy Malin

Marcroft, James A. & Joan P. O. Box 519 Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Lee, Moonyoung & Okhea 400 S. Lafayette Park Pl. # 207 Los Angeles, CA 90057-1626

Lenbert, Ronald & Toni P.O. Box 1688 Victorville, CA 92393-1688

Liang, Yuan - 1 & Tzu - Mei Chen 416 Alamosa Drive Claremont, CA 91711 Attn: Patrick Liang

Longman, Jack P. O. Box 358 Cave Junction, OR 97523

Love, Charles & Deanna P.O. Box 1476 Helendale, CA 92342 Attn: Chuck Love

Lucerne Valley Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 1311 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Donna Chandler

Luckey, Manley J. 8531 Glendale Road Hesperia, CA 92345

M.B. Landscaping & Nursery, Inc. 20824 Jamison Avenue Carson, CA 90745-1212 Attn: Maria Martinez

Maloney, Janice 42525 Silver Valley Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Mariana Ranchos County Water District 9600 Manzanita Street Apple Valley, CA 92308-8605 Attn: Mike Bush Marshall, Charles 32455 Lakeview Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

McCall, Vivian E. 14445 Melrose Avenue Oro Grande, CA 92368

Mead, G. C. (Buck) 31314 Clay River Road Barstow, CA 92311

Milbrat, Irving H. 20202 Blue Jay Drive Redding, CA 96002 Attn: David I. Milbrat

Mitchell, Robin & Judith 2808 Arizona Avenue, Apt. 1 Santa Monica, CA 90404-1571

Monaco Investment Company 9903 Santa Monica Blvd., PMB #541 Beverly Hills, CA 90212-1671 Attn: Manoucher Sarbaz

Moss, Lawrence W. & Helen J. 12154 Pine Street Norwalk, CA 90650-4259

Mulligan, Robert & Inez 325 Hankins Drive St. Helena, OR 97051 Attn: Dennis Hills

Murphy, Jean 46126 Old National Trails Highway Newberry Springs, CA 92365-9025

New Springs Limited Partnership 416 Alamosa Drive Claremont, CA 91711 Attn: Yuan-I Liang

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

Martin, Michael D. & Arlene D. 32942 Paseo Mira Flores San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

McCollum, Charles L. 13846 Sagassum Ct. Hesperia, CA 92345 Attn: Rod Sexton

Mcadowbrook Dairy P.O. Box 294370 Phelan, CA 92329-4370 Attn: Edward Imsand

Mitchell, Charles Thomas P.O. Box 783 Yermo, CA 92398

Mitsubishi Cement Corporation 5808 State Highway 18 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Douglas Shumway

Morck, Gregory M. & Lisa A. P. O. Box 669 Helendale, CA 92342-0669

Most, Milton & Jennie 25119 108th Avenue E Graham, WA 98338

Munn and Thurston Family Trust 1105 W. Quince Street San Diego, CA 92103-Attn: Sharon Thurston

Navajo Mutual Water Company 21933 Otoe Road Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: James Hanson

Newberry Community Services District P. O. Box 206 Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Ellen Johnson Mayberry, Donald J. & Sandra D. 34788 Sandi Lane Newberry Springs, CA 92365

McInnis, William S. 8758 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308

Meyers, Lonnie 35523 Mountain View Rd. Hinkley, CA 92347-9613

Mitchell, Charlotte 35533 Minneola Road, P. O. Box 61 Yermo, CA 92398

Mizrahie, et al. 4105 W. Jefferson Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90048-Attn: Philip Mizrahie

Morris Trust, Julia V. 43744 Silver Valley Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Billie Elliot

Mountain View, L.L.C. 821 W. Main Street Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Denise Flores

Murphy, Bernard H. P.O. Box 400132 Hesperia, CA 92340

Nelson, Mildred L. 36975 Mountain View Road Hinkley, CA 92347

Nunn, Donald & Pearl 23450 Esaws Road Apple Valley, CA 92307 O. F. D. L., Inc. 16796 Bushard St. Fountain Valley, CA 92708 Attn: William G. Swift

Omya California, Inc. P. O. Box 825 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Manfred Keil

Pathfinder Investors
 41717 Silver Valley Road
 Newberry Springs, CA 92365
 Attn: Eric Archibek

Pearce, Craig L. 30137 Fort Cady Rd. Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Perez, Eva 14817 Chandler Street Corona, CA 91720

Petelski, Richard A. 19450 Seneca Rd. Apple Valley, CA 92307

Pettis Family Trust 4740 Devonshire Lane Paso Robles, CA 93446-7410 Attn: Robert Pettis

Polich, Lee & Donna 11640 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308

Purcio, Thomas R. & Patricia A. 15456 Little Beaver Victorville, CA 92395-9695

Rancho Las Flores, LLC 33971 Selva Road, Suite 250 Dana Point, CA 92629-3734 Attn: Clifford C. Hood

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

Odessa Water District 220 E. Mountain View Street, Suite A Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Jeannette Hayhurst

P & H Engineering & Development Corporation
8948 Reseda Blvd., Suite 217
Northridge, CA 91324-3914
Attn: M. T. Shoraka

Patino, José 3914 W. 105th Street Inglewood, CA 90303-1815

Pearl, Alice 5860 Rose Ave. Long Beach, CA 90805-4308 Attn: Barbara Riley

Perko, Bert K. 42258 Navajo Road Yermo, CA 92398-0762

Pettigrew, Dan 3157 Olive Hill Road Fallbrook, CA 92028

Pittman, Leroy W. 1056 N. Western Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90029-2310

Price, Donald & Ruth 701 Montara Road Spc 213 Barstow, CA 92311-

Ramirez, Jaime & Alicia 35828 Field Road Barstow, CA 92311

Reddy, Bommi V. & Karuna V. 35190 Marks Rd. Barstow, CA 92311 Ohai, Reynolds & Dorothy 8135 Pinositas Road Whittier, CA 90605-1329

P G & E 35863 Fairview Road Hinkley, CA 92311 Attn: Johnny Baca

Payan, Paul & Felima 6202 Vinevale Avenue Bell, CA 90201-1327

Pearson, Deryl B. P. O. Box 401601 Hesperia, CA 92345

Perry, Thomas A. 14807 Kinai Road Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Paul H. Johnson

Pettigrew, Howard L. 13324 Locust Avenue Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-8817

Poland, John R. & Kathleen A. 744 N. Magnolia Avenue Upland, CA 91786 Attn: Kathy Gillmore

Pruett, Andrea P.O. Box 37 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Rancheritos Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 348 Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Elizabeth Murena

Reed, Delbert E. & Linda 10291 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308 Reed, Mike 9864 Donaldson Road Lucerne Valley, CA 92356

Reliant Energy Coolwater L.L.C. P. O. Box 337 Daggett, CA 92327 Attn: Steve Swift

Riggs, John H. & Millicent L. P.O. Box 612 Beaumont, CA 92223

Riverside Cement Company - Oro Grande Plant P. O. Box 146 Oro Grande, CA 92368-0146 Attn: Gregory Knapp

Rubsch Family Trust, G. A. & M. A. P.O. Box 281 Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Mildred Anderson Rubsch

Ruisch Trust, Dale W. & Nellie H. 23925 Waalew Road Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Dale W. Ruisch

San Bernardino County Service Area 29 P. O. Box 459 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-0459 Attn: Gerard O'Reilly

San Bernardino County Service Area 70C P. O. Box 5004 Victorville, CA 92393-5004 Attn: Thomas L. Sutton

Santucci, Antonio & Wilsa 47975 Lake Irene Drive Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Seals, William & Tibbett, Vicki Seals 22664 Litle Beaver Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: Vicki Seals Tibbett

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

Rees, Michael J. & Sue A 35000 Indian Trails Helendale, CA 92342

Rice, Henry C. & Diana 1015 15th Place Hermosa Beach, CA 90254-

Rim Properties, A General Partnership 15434 Sequoia Road Hesperia, CA 92345-1667 Attn: Ian Bryant

Rossi, James L. & Naomi I. 8412 Alta Vista Rd. Ataseadero, CA 93422

Rudman, Robert T. P. O. Box 26 Cove, OR 97824

Ryken, Paul, et al. 37501 Mountain View Rd. Hinkley, CA 92347 Attn: Paul Ryken

San Bernardino County Service Area 42 P. O. Box 5004 Victorville, CA 92393-5004 Attn: Thomas L. Sutton

San Bernardino County Service Area 70J P. O. Box 5004 Victorville, CA 92393-5004 Attn: Thomas L. Sutton

Sawyers Motor Sports Group 3649 S. 4th Avenue Yuma, AZ 85365-4536 Attn: Gerald Sawyers

Service Rock Products Corporation P. O. Box 1146 Victorville, CA 92393 Attn: Bob Kelley Reeves, Richard 140 East Stetson Ave. Hemet, CA 92543~

Rieger Family Trust, Walter M. P.O. Box 27 Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Audrey Rieger

Rios, Mariano V. P. O. Box 1864 Barstow, CA 92312-1864

Rowland, James & Helen 129 Del Norte Way San Louis Obispo, CA 93405 Attn: Wesley Rowland

Rue Ranch 3041 Cloverbrook St Las Vegas, NV 89117-0180 Attn: Albert Lancianese

San Bernardino Co Barstow - Daggett Airport 825 E. Third Street, Suite # 203 San Bernardino, CA 92415-0835 Attn: Suzanne Pckar

San Bernardino County Service Area 64 P. O. Box 5004 Victorville, CA 92393-5004 Attn: Thomas L. Sutton

San Bernardino County Service Area 70L P. O. Box 5004 Victorville, CA 92393-5004 Attn: Thomas L. Sutton

Scoggins, Ronald & Kimberly 20811D Bear Valley Road, #165 Apple Valley, CA 92308-6978

Service Rock Products Corporation P.O. Box 1146 Victorville, CA 92393 Attn: Robert Kelley Sexton, Rodney A. & Sexton, Derek R. 13846 Sagassum Ct. Hesperia, CA 92345-Attn: Rod Sexton

Sheppard, Thomas & Gloria 33571 Fremont Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Short, Charles & Margaret P. O. Box 315 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Simmons, Jack H. & Ethun, Claudia 18834 National Trails Highway Oro Grande, CA 92368 Attn: Jack H. Simmons

Smith, William E. & Patricia A. 48788 Silver Valley Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Son's Ranch P. O. Box 1767 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Chan Kyun Son

Southern California Water Company 2143 Convention Center Way, Suite 110 Ontario, CA 91764-5492 Attn: Gladys Rosendo

Spillman, James R. & Nancy J. 12132 Wilshire Lucerene Valley, CA 92356

St. Antony Coptic Orthodox Monastery P. O. Box 100 Barstow, CA 92311-0100 Attn: Sabry S. Milik

Sudmeier, Glenn W. 14253 Highway 138 Hesperia, CA 92345 Shaw, Robert M. & Lori A. Slater-Shaw 8502 E. Chapman Ave #312 Orange, CA 92869-Attn: Robert M. Shaw

Shintaku, Richard & Cheryl 32 Foxtrace Court Henderson, NV 89074-6283

Short, Jerome E. P. O. Box 495 Newberry Springs, CA 92365-0495

SL Investment Group, LLC 347 S. Stimson Ave. City Of Industry, CA 91744-5423 Attn: Shen Shan

Snyder, Kryl K. & Routh, Richard J. P.O. Box # 986 Yermo, CA 92398 Attn: Richard Routh

Soppeland, Wayne P. O. Box 667 Barstow, CA 92312-0667

Specialty Minerals, Inc. P. O. Box 558 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Larry Ashby

Spring Valley Lake Association SVL Box 7001 Victorville, CA 92395-5107 Attn: Jim Stilwell

Steimle Family Trust, A.B. & Y.O. P.O. Box 15189 Long Beach, CA 90815-0189 Attn: Douglas Steimle

Summit Valley Ranch 13689 Highway 138 Summit Valley, CA 92345 Attn: Mark G. Eagleton Sheng, Jen 46200 Twin Lakes Drive Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Shirkey, Alan G. & Mary E. 4001 S. Mission Rd. Fallbrook, CA 92028-9456

Silver Lakes Association P. O. Box 179 Helendale, CA 92342-0179 Attn: Steven Schoenbaum

Smith, Robert A. 24543 Community Blvd. Hinkley, CA 92347

Son of Caduceus 16209 Kamana Road, Suite 210 Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Greg Holtz

Southern California Edison Company 1351 E. Francis Street Ontario, CA 91761-5796 Attn: Jeannette Rivera

Sperry, Wesley P. O. Box 303 Newberry Springs, CA 92365-0303

Spring Valley Lake Country Club 7070 SVL Box Victorville, CA 92395-5152 Attn: Gregory Day

Storm, Randall 10080 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308

Sundown Lakes, Inc. 25672 Aurora Way Mission Viejo, CA 92691 Attn: Matthew Merwin

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

Sunray Energy, Inc. P. O. Box 338 Daggett, CA 92327-0338 Attn: Eric Wills

Thayer, Sharon 955 N. 7th Street Colton, CA 92394

Thompson Living Trust, James A. & Sula B. 22815 Del Oro Road Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: James A. Thompson

Thrasher, Gary 14024 Sunflower Lane Oro Grande, CA 92368

Trekell, Brian P. O. Box 1626 Apple Valley, CA 92307-0031

Union Pacific Railroad Company 1400 Douglas Street, Stop #1690 Omaha, NE 68179-1690 Attn: Rod Carroll

Van Berg, Jack C. P. O. Box 1137 Hesperia, CA 92340-1137 Attn: Rick Hoegerl

Van Diest, Cornelius 5907 E. Allington Lakewood, CA 90713-1103

Vanhoy, Luther C. & Roberta L. 40400 Hinkley Road Hinkley, CA 92347

Victor Valley Memorial Park 17150 C Street Victorville, CA 92395-3330 Attn: Timothy M. Kurtz

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

Tallakson, William V. & Elizabeth A. 11100 Alto Drive Oak View, CA 93022

The 160 Newberry Ranch California, Ltd. P. O. Box 131 Glendale, CA 91209-0131 Attn: Ralph Engh

Thompson Living Trust, R.L. & R.A. 9141 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: Rodger Thompson

Thunderbird County Water District P. O. Box 1105 Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Roy Shull

Triple H Partnership 33700 Wildwood Canyon Road Yucaipa, CA 92399 Attn: Jim Hoover

Vail, Joseph B. & Paula E. 16993 Abbey Lane Victorville, CA 92394-1601

Van Dam Brothers 9753 East Avenue F-8 Lancaster, CA 93535 Attn: Craig Van Dam

Van Leeuwen Family Trust 22680 Bryman Road Oro Grande, CA 92368 Attn: Ken Luth

Vemola, Pat 12080 Bellegrave Mira Loma, CA 91752

Victor Valley Water District 17185 Yuma Street Victorville, CA 92395-5886 Attn: Steven Ashton Tapie, Raymond L. 48919 Valley Center Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

The Cushenbury Trust, c/o Specialty Minerals, Inc. P. O. Box 558 Luceme Valley, CA 92356 Attn: James Daley

Thoreson, Robert F. & Kathleen 9768 Trail Rider Drive Las Vegas, NV 89117-6627 Attn: Robert Thoreson

Transamerica Fin'l Svc - Spears, Larry B. & Erlinda 100 W. Broadway, Suite #1150 Glendale, CA 91210-1223 Attu: James Medrano

Troeger Family Trust, Richard H. P. O. Box 24 Wrightwood, CA 92397 Attn: Richard H. Troeger

Van Bastelaar, Alphonse 45449 Martin Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Van Dam, Eldert & Susan 26599 Community Blvd. Barstow, CA 92311-9779

Van Leeuwen, John 1241 West Cedar Count Ontario, CA 91762

Victor Valley Community College District 18422 Bear Valley Road Victorville, CA 92393 Attn: Stephen Garcia

Victorville, City Of 14343 Civic Drive Victorville, CA 92392-2303 Attn: Kimberly Cox Virosteck, Steve & Julie 32307 Foothill Rd. Lucerne Valley, CA 92356

Wackeen, Caesar 16902 Smithson Road Helendale, CA 92342 Attn: Mary Douner

Ward, et al. 16541 Greenview Lane Huntington Beach, CA 92649 Attn: Robert W. Halprin

Weeraisinghe, Maithri N. P.O. Box 487 Barstow, CA 92312-0487

West, Howard & Suzy 21242 Jericho Road Oro Grande, CA 92368

Western Horizon Associates, Inc. P. O. Box 397 Five Points, CA 93624-0397 Attn: Chung Cho Gong

Wet Set, Inc. 3737 Birch Street, Suite 400 Newport Beach, CA 92660 Attn: Thomas G. Ferruzzo

Willow Wells Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 1732 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Richard A. Joh

WLSR, Inc. 924 E. Newton Lane Placentia, CA 92670 Attn: Gary Adams

Yang, Young Mo 301 Elmhurst Place Fullerton, CA 92835

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

Visosky, Estate of Joseph F., Sr. 2694 E. Garvey Ave S., PMB 130 West Covina, CA 91791-2113 Attn: Thomas and Richard Visosky

Wakuła, John & Helen 22595 Bryman Road Oro Grande, CA 92368

Ward, Ken & Barbara 14141 Highway 138 Hesperia, CA 92345

Weidknecht, Arthur J. & Peggy A. P.O. Box 34 Amargosa Valley, NV 89020-0034

West, Jimmie E. & Nancy D. P. O. Box 218 Oro Grande, CA 92368-0218

Western Water Company 102 Washington Avenue Point Richmond, CA 94801-3947 Attn: Wilma Silveria

Whittingham, Richard V. 11220 Joshua St. Hesperia, CA 92345-0471

Wilshire Road Partners 9903 Santa Monica Blvd., PMB #541 Beverly Hills, CA 90212-1671 Attn: Manoucher Sarbaz

Worsey, Joseph A. & Revae P. O. Box 422 Newberry Springs, CA 92365-0422 Attn: David A. Worsey

Yard, William & Betty P. O. Box 843 Yermo, CA 92398 Vogler, et al. 10456 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: Paul H. Johnson

Ward, Ernest & Laura 45534 Hacienda Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Ward, Raymond P. O. Box 358 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Weiser, Sidney & Raquel 11346 White Cloud Dr. Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91701-

Western Heritage, Inc. P. O. Box 250808 Glendale, CA 91225-0808 Attn: Elizabeth Lara

Westland Industries, Inc. 22838 Bear Valley Rd. Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: Betty Jewell

Wiener, Melvin & Mariam S. 1626 N. Wilcox Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90028-6234

Witte, E. Daniel & Marcia 31911 Martino Drive Daggett, CA 92327

Wyatt Family Trust 13790 Chateau Court Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Denny Wyatt

Yeager Construction Company, Inc., E. L. P. O. Box 87 Riverside, CA 92502-0087 Attn: James L. Moore

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

Yermo Water Company P.O. Box 2559 Victorville, CA 92393 Attn: Maureen Thompson

Atkinson, Andelson, Loya-Ruud & Romo 3612 Mission Inn Avenue, Upper Level Riverside, CA 92501 Attn: W.W. Miller, Esq.

Brunick, McElhaney & Beckett 1839 Commercenter West P.O. Box 6425 San Bernardino, CA 92412 Attn: William J. Brunick, Esq.

California Farm Bureau Federation 2300 River Plaza Drive Sacramento, CA 95833 Attn: Nancy McDonough

Cox, Castle & Nicholson 2049 Century Park East, 28th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Attn: Ed Dygert, Esq.

Downey, Brand, Seymour & Rohwer 555 Capital Mall, 10th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Attn: David Aladjem, Esq.

Felger & Associates 726 West Barstow Avenue, # 106 Fresno, CA 93704 Attn: Warren P. Felger, Esq.

Gutierrez, Preciado & House 251 S. Lake Avenue, Suite 520 Pasadena, CA 91101-3003 Attn: Calvin R. House, Esq.

Kasdan, Simonds & Epstein, LLP 2600 Michelson Drive, Tenth Floor Irvine, CA 92612-6510 Attn: Barry C. Vaughan, Esq.

Law Offices of Hagner & Hearne 910 E. Parr Blvd., Suite 8 Reno, NV 89512 Attn: Treva J. Hearne, Esq. Ykema Trust 23920 Community Blvd. Hinkley, CA 92347-9721 Attn: Ruth Ann Hərmsen

Baker, Manock & Jensen 5260 N. Palm Avenue, 4th Floor Fresno, CA 93704-2209 Attn: Christopher L. Campbell, Esq.

Caldwell & Kennedy 15476 West Sand Street Victorville, CA 92392 Attn: Terry Caldwell, Esq.

Colantuono, Levin & Rozell, APC 555 W. 5th Street, 30th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90013 Attn: Michael G. Colantuono, Esq.

Department of Justice 300 S. Spring Street, Suite 5212 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Attn: Peter E. Von Haam, Dep

Ducommun, Inc. 23301 S. Wilmington Avenue Carson, CA 90745 Attn: James S. Heiser, Esq.

Ferruzzo & Worthe, LLP 3737 Birch Street, Suite 400 Newport Beach, CA 92660 Attn: Thomas G. Ferruzzo, Esq.

Hatch & Parent 21 E. Carrillo Street P. O. Drawer 720 Santa Barbara, CA 93102-0720 Attn: Russell M. McGlothlin, Esq.

Lagerlof, Scnecal, Bradley, Gosney & Kruse, LLP 301 N. Lake Avenue, 10th Floor Pasadena, CA 91101-4108 Attn: Thomas S. Bunn, Esq.

Law Offices of Robert C. Hawkins 110 Newport Center Drive, Suite 200 Newport, CA 92660 Attn: Robert C. Hawkins, Esq. American AgCredit 5005 Canyon Crest Drive Riverside, CA 92507-Attn: Gary McNeely

Best, Best & Krieger P.O. Box 1028 Riverside, CA 92502 Attn: Eric Gamer, Esq.

California Department of Transportation 100 South Main Street, Suite 1300 Los Angeles, CA 90012-3702 Attn: Alexander Devorkin, Esq.

Covington & Crowe 1131 West 6th Street Suite 300 Ontario, CA 91762 Attn: Robert E. Dougherty, Esq.

Department of Justice 300 S. Spring Street, Suite 5212 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Attn: Marilyn Levin, Dep

Elbert W. Muncy, Attorney at Law 420 Barstow Road Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Elbert W. Muncy, Jr., Esq.

Gresham, Savage, Nolan & Tilden, LLP 3750 University Avenue, Suite 250 Riverside, CA 92501-3335 Attn: Michael D. Davis, Esq.

Hill, Farrer & Burrill 300 S. Grand Avenue, 37th Floor 1 California Plaza Los Angeles, CA 90071 Attn: Curtis Ballantyne, Esq.

Law Offices of Fred J. Knez P.O. Box 70090 Riverside, CA 92513 Attn: Fred J. Knez, Esq.

McCormick, Kidman & Behrens 695 Town Center Drive, Suite 1400 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Attn: Arthur G. Kidman, Esq. McDonough, Holland & Allen 555 Capitol Mall, 9th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Attn: Virginia Cahill, Esq.

Mojave Water Agency 22450 Headquarters Drive Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Kirby Brill

Nossam, Guthner, Knox & Elliott 445 S. Figueroa Street, 31st Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1062 Attn: Frederic A. Fudacz, Esq.

Richards, Watson & Gershon I Civic Center Circle P.O. Box 1059 Brea, CA 92822-1059 Attn: James L. Markman, Esq.

Sempra Energy Law Department 101 Ash Street San Diego, CA 92101 Attn: Woodrow D. Smith, Esq.

Southern California Gas Company Transmission Environmental Consultant P. O. Box 2300, ML9314 Los Angeles, CA 91313-2300 Attn: Mary Howard

The Hegner Law Firm 14350 Civc Drive Victorville, CA 92392 Attn: Rick Ewaniszyk, Esq.

Victor Valley Watewater Reclamation Authority 20111 Shay Road Victorville, CA 92392 Attn: Dan Gallagher

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of May 18, 2005

McNamara, Van Blarcom, McClendon & Leibold 307 E. Chapman Avenue Orange, CA 92666 Attn: Ronald A. Van Blarcom, Esq.

Montelcone, & McCrory 725 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 3200 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Attn: Thomas P. McGuire, Esq.

Office of the Attorney General 1515 Clay Street - 20th Floor Oakland, CA 94612 Attn: Joseph Barbierí, Dep.

Rutan & Tucker P.O. Box 1950 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Attn: Elizabeth Hanna, Esq.

Somach, Simons & Dunn Hall Of Justice Building 813 Sixth Street, Third Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Attn: Sandra K. Dunn, Esq.

Stephen Tyler, APLC 7223 Church Street Highland, CA 92346 Attn: Stephen Tyler, Esq.

Therese Exline Parker, Esq. Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1318 Upłand, CA 91785 Attn: Therese Exline Parker, Esq.

Wagner & Bonsignore Consulting Civil Engineers 444 N. Third Street, Suite 325 Sacramento, CA 95814 Attn: Robert C. Wagner, P.E. Mojave Basin Area Watermaster 22450 Headquarters Drive P.O. Box 2016 Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Valerie L. Wiegenstein

Morgan & Newman 308 E. Williams Street Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Paul Henderson, Esq.

Redwine & Sherrill 1950 Market Street Riverside, CA 92501 Attn: Steven B. Abbott, Esq.

San Bernardino County Counsel 385 N. Arrowhead Avenue, 4th Floor San Bernardino, CA 92415-0140 Attn: Tom Krahelski, Esq.

Southern California Edison Company Legal Department P.O. Box 800 Rosemcad, CA 91770 Attn: Nino Moscolo, Esq.

Stetson Engineers, Inc. P.O. Box 1257 San Juan Capistrano, CA 92693-1257 Attn: Tom Stetson, P.E.

Vander Dussen Trust, Agnes & Edward P.O. Box 5338 Blue Jay, CA 92317-Attn: Agnes Vander Dussen Koetsier

Weston, Benshoof, Rochefort, Rubalcava & MacCuish, LLP 333 South Hope Street, 16th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Attn: Peter A. Nyquist, Esq.

ATTACHMENT D

1	William J. Brunick (State Bar No.46289) Steven K. Beckett (State Bar No. 97413)	NO FEE PER GOV'T. CODE SEC. 6103
2	BRUNICK, MCELHANEY & BECKETT	
3	San Bernardino, CA 92412 Telephone: (909) 889-8301	FILED
4	Facsimile: (909) 388-1889	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
5	Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Complainant	APR 02 2007
6	MOJAVE WATER AGENCI	
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF 1	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE	
10		
11	CITY OF BARSTOW, et al.) CASE NO. 208568
12	Plaintiff,) NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO
13	vs.	 ADJUST FREE FRODUCTION ALLOWANCE FOR WATER YEAR 2007- 2008: MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
14	CITY OF ADELANTO, et al.,) AUTHORITIES, AND DECLARATIONS) OF ROBERT C. WACNER, VALERIE I
15	Defendant.	 WIEGENSTEIN AND STEVEN K. BECKETT IN SUPPORT THEREOF
16) Assigned for All Purposes to:
17) Judge Gloria Connor Trask) Dept 4
18		DATE: $5/1/07$
19	AND RELATED CROSS ACTIONS) TIME: 8:30 a.m.) DEPT: 4
20		,
21		
22	To All Parties and their Respective Attorneys of Record:	
23	Please take Notice that on	_, 2007 at 8:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as counsel
24	may be heard, in Department 4 of the above entitled court located at 4050 Main Street, Riverside	
25	California, Defendant/Cross-Complainant, Mojave Water Agency, acting in its capacity as the Mojave	
26	Basin Area Watermaster, will respectfully move, pursuant to paragraph 24(0) and Exhibit "H" of the	
27	judgement in the above entitled case, for the co	urt's approval of the Watermaster's recommendation
28	in its 13 th Annual Report to adjust the Free Pr	roduction Allowance (FPA) for each of the five (5)

•

[MOTION TO ADJUST FREE PRODUCTION ALLOWANCE FOR WATER YEAR 2007-2008]

1	subareas (Alto, Baja, Centro, Este and Oeste) of the Mojave Water Basin as set forth herein for the	
2	Water Year 2007-2008.	
3	This motion is based upon this notice, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the 13 th	
4	Annual Report of the Watermaster lodged with the court concurrently with this motion, the	
5	Declarations of Robert Wagner, Valerie L. Wiegenstein and Steven K. Beckett, the pleadings, papers	
6	and records on file and upon such other further evidence, both oral and documentary, that may be	
7	presented at the hearing on this motion.	
8	Dated: April 2, 2007 BRUNICK, MCELHANEY & BECKETT	
9	A Cold	
10	WILLIAM J. BRUNICK, ESQ. STEVEN K. BECKETT, ESO.	
11	Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Complainant,	
12	MOJAVE WATER AGENCI	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	
	[MOTION TO ADJUST FREE PRODUCTION ALLOWANCE FOR WATER YEAR 2007-2008]	

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I

BACKGROUND

The original complaint was filed by the City of Barstow et al. on May 30, 1990 and alleged that the cumulative water production upstream of the City of Barstow had over drafted the Mojave River System and it requested that the Mojave Water Agency (MWA) be ordered to obtain and provide supplemental water for use within the Mojave Basin Area (Basin). MWA filed its First Amended Cross-Complaint naming substantially all producers of water within the Basin, including parties downstream of the City of Barstow, and requested a determination of all of the water production from whatever source within the Basin.

After extensive negotiations, parties representing over 80% of the verified water production in the Basin agreed to a stipulated judgement which established a physical solution to the water supply problems. A trial of the claims of non-stipulating parties was held and the final judgement after trial adopted the physical solution set forth in the stipulated judgement.

The "Cardozo Group" of the non-stipulating parties appealed the judgement that was entered by the Superior Court. Following opinions by the Court of Appeal and Supreme Court, the judgement as to the stipulating parties was affirmed but reversed as to the Cardozo Group of non-stipulating parties. This essentially excluded the Cardozo Group from the stipulated judgement, including the assessment provisions. As of 8/23/02, Jess Ranch Water Co., previously a non-stipulating party, entered into a settlement agreement in which it stipulated to the judgement. An amendment to the judgement was filed on 12/05/02 which incorporated the changes with respect to the Cardozo Group and Jess Ranch Water Co.

Π

THE JUDGEMENT'S PHYSICAL SOLUTION

On January 10, 1996 the court entered a judgement which addressed the overdraft situation existing in the Basin by the creation of a physical solution for the Basin's five distinct, but hydrologically interrelated, subareas (Alto, Baja, Centro, Este, and Oeste). The court determined that all five Subareas of the Basin had been in a state of overdraft since at least the 1950's, that the economy and population overlying the Basin had dramatically grown in reliance upon the overdraft, and that all producers had contributed to the overdraft. The court's physical solution established a limit on the amount of water each Subarea could produce in one year before having to purchase replacement water. This is known as the Free Production Allowance (FPA). The Judgment also established each producer's Base Annual Production ("BAP"). A producer's BAP is based upon that producer's highest year of water production during the base period of 1986-1990. A producer's BAP serves as the basis for the producer's Base Annual Production Right ("BAPR"). BAPR is the right of each producer to a percentage of the FPA within a given Subarea.

Although the serious nature of the overdraft warranted an immediate reduction for all water production within the Basin, the Court approved a gradual reduction in production in order to soften the economic impact upon producers. Therefore, the Judgment sets forth the terms for a gradual reduction or rampdown of the FPA for all parties. After the first five years of the Judgment, the FPA for all parties was set at eighty percent (80%) of their original BAP. The judgement also provides that the court can review and adjust, as necessary, the FPA for each Subarea on an annual basis.

MWA was appointed as the initial Watermaster by the court to administer the judgement and physical solution set forth therein.

III

NECESSITY FOR ADJUSTMENT

Pursuant to the gradual Rampdown required in the judgment by the Water Year 1997-98, each producer's FPA was set at eighty percent (80%) of that producer's BAP specified by the Judgment. Exhibit "H" of the Judgment <u>requires</u> Watermaster to recommend a decrease in the FPA for a Subarea when that Subarea's FPA exceeds its estimated Production Safe Yield (PSY) by five percent (5%) or more.

Pursuant to paragraph 24(o) of the Judgment, the Watermaster is required to make a recommendation to the Court for adjusting the FPA of each Subarea, if necessary. The Watermaster retained the Engineering firm of Albert A. Webb Associates (Webb), to conduct a consumptive water use study for the purpose of updating the elements of Table C-1 of the Judgment. The Webb study was presented to the Watermaster in February of 2000 and provided the basis for the Watermaster's

[MOTION TO ADJUST FREE PRODUCTION ALLOWANCE FOR WATER YEAR 2007-2008]
proposed adjustments for Water Years 2000-01, 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04, 2004-05, 2005-06 and 2006-07. Pursuant to Exhibit H of the judgment, the Watermaster has filed its motions to adjust the FPA for prior Water Years and also provided certain alternatives to rampdown at the court's request.

On June 27, 2006, the court entered its order granting the Watermaster's motion to adjust FPA for Water Year 2006-07. After considering the objections of the Baja Lake Owners to the order, the court entered an amended order on October 25, 2006.(See Exhibit "2" to Declaration of Valerie L. Wiegenstein attached hereto as Exhibit "B") As a result, FPA for Water Year 2006-07 was set as follows:

Subarea	<u>2006-07 FPA</u>
Alto - Agricultural	80% of BAP
Alto - Municipal and Industrial	60% of BAP
Baja ¹	70% of BAP or
	75% of BAP pursuant to the
	Court Order of 12/29/05
Centro	80% of BAP
Este ²	80% of BAP
Oeste	80% of BAP

1. The Baja Subarea Advisory Committee submitted a proposal to the Court for an alternative to the Rampdown mandated by the Judgment which includes a recommendation to set FPA at 75% (starting in 2005-2006) of Base Annual Production for ten years pursuant to certain restrictions. The Baja SAC proposal was ordered by the Court on December 29, 2005 and a copy was mailed to all Baja parties on January 5, 2006. Change in use or any change inconsistent with the December 29, 2005 order may result in rampdown to the recommended adjustment at that time pursuant to the terms of the Judgment.

2. FPA to be set at 80% of Base Annual Production for the 2007-08 Water Year. The Este Subarea may be subject to future Rampdown to 65% immediately if water use conditions change.

The table on page 30, Chapter 5 of the 13th Annual Report of the Mojave Basin Area Watermaster shows the BAP, FPA for 2006-07 as ordered by the court and the estimated PSY for each Subarea. FPA is greater than the estimated PSY by more than 5% of BAP in each of the five(5) Subareas.

However, as previously reported to the Court, Watermaster reduced the aggregate BAP in Alto and Oeste by removing the unidentified pool and reducing the minimal pool to the current MWA estimate. The unidentified pool was established in 1993 to account for the possibility that an

1	unidentified producer could prove up a BAP right. The	e Alto Subarea Advisory Committee requested	
2	that the pool be removed. Watermaster proposed remo	ving the Oeste unidentified pool because it was	
3	unlikely that any water in the pool could be claimed.	For consistency we are recommending that we	
4	do the same in the remaining Subareas. The overall ef	fect of reducing the pools is insignificant since	
5	the Centro unidentified pool is exhausted and there is little unidentified BAP in Baja and Este.		
6	5 The table on page 30, Ch. 5 of the 13 th Annual Report of the Mojave Basin Area Watermast		
7	shows the BAP with the current modifications as proposed, the FPA for 2006-07, the estimated PS		
8	the difference between them as a percentage of BAP as well as the 2005-06 Verified Production from		
9	each area. FPA is greater than PSY by more than 5% of BAP in each of the Subareas except for Alto.		
10	IV		
11	RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS TO FPA		
12	FOR WATER YEAR 2007-08		
13	The Watermaster adopted FPA recommendatio	The Watermaster adopted FPA recommendations for the five sub-areas for the 2007-08 Water	
14	Year at its March 28, 2007 meeting, as required by the Ju	udgment and consistent with previous direction	
15	from the court, as follows:		
16	5 <u>Subarea</u> <u>2007-08 F</u>	PA RECOMMENDATION	
17	7 Alto - Agricultural	80% of BAP	
18	Alto - Municipal and Industrial	60% of BAP	
19	Baja'	65% of BAP or	
20		75% of BAP pursuant to the	
21		Court Order of 12/29/05	
22	2 Centro	80% of BAP	
23	B Este ²	80% of BAP	
24	l Oeste	80% of BAP	
25	1. The Baja Subarea Advisory Committee submitted a proposal to the Co	urt for an alternative to the Rampdown mandated by the	
26 to certain restrictions. The Baja SAC proposal was ordered by the Court on December 29, 2005 and a copy was mailed		ecember 29, 2005 and a copy was mailed to all Baja parties	
27	recommended adjustment at that time pursuant to the terms of the Judgmen	Le The Este Subgreg may be subject to future Demodour	
28	to 65% immediately if water use conditions change.	241. The Lote Subarca may be subject to future Rampuown	

A. Alto Subarea:

1

FPA in Alto will remain unchanged at 60% of BAP for Municipal and Industrial producers. Agricultural producers will remain at 80% of BAP. When M&I producers transfer FPA, Carryover, or BAP from Agricultural producers, the amount of water to be credited to the transferee will be reduced to the rampdown amount existing at the time. For example, if M&I FPA is 60% of BAP then a transfer of 100 acre-feet from Agricultural to M&I will be credited as 60 acre-feet.

Due to the differential rampdown ordered by the court for the Alto subarea, a review was made of all of the Alto water producers so that they could be categorized as either agricultural or municipal and industrial producers. Agricultural producers were defined as those producing water for the irrigation of crops, i.e. alfalfa, grains, nut trees or orchards, dairies, livestock and all other incidental uses including small domestic. A list setting forth each producer for Water Year 2006-07 is set forth on pages 5-10 and 23 of Appendix H to the Watermaster's 13th Annual Report.

FPA (currently at 60% of BAP) exceeds PSY by about 4.1% of BAP indicating that further Rampdown is not warranted in Alto at this time. Other considerations that could result in a continuation of Rampdown in Alto include increasing water production, falling water levels and water quality problems. The importation of supplemental water is expected to be sufficient to offset overproduction within two years. In 2006, Watermaster purchased about 8,200 acre-feet for Replacement Obligations incurred in 2005. Watermaster expects that Replacement Water purchases in 2007 could be as high as 16,500 acre-feet. At the current Rampdown amount and pumping projections, Replacement Water Obligations and thus supplemental water purchases could be as much as 30,000 acre-feet by 2009 or 2010.

B. Baja Subarea:

Pursuant to the Judgment additional Rampdown in Baja is warranted. FPA exceeds PSY and current water production and consumptive use exceed the average net long-term supply in Baja. The continued overdraft in Baja will cause continued depletion of water from storage thereby impacting Baja water users.

The court held a separate hearing on the Baja Subarea in 2005 and issued its order dated December 29, 2005. (See Exhibit "1" to Declaration of Valerie L. Wiegenstein attached hereto as Exhibit "B") The principal components of the order, which were proposed by the Baja Subarea Advisory
 Committee, are as follows:

Rampdown of all producers to 75% of BAP effective October 1, 2005 for 10 years.

• Restrictions on transfers that would limit the use of FPA to the existing land and for the existing uses.

• Transfers, except those specifically allowed, would result in an immediate reduction in FPA to the appropriate Rampdown amount at the time of the transfer.

Carryover transfers are to be restricted but Carryover can be used for two years.

The FPA for 2007-08 will be 75% for all Baja producers subject to the restrictions described in the order. A change in use or any change inconsistent with the order may result in further Rampdown to 65% for Water Year 2007-08. Rampdown shall then continue for all such producers in subsequent Water Years subject to court review.

The Baja Subarea Advisory Committee (BSAC) submitted a request to Watermaster dated November 28, 2006 to "formulate a recommendation to the court which, if adopted, would protect those still covered by the moratorium on ramp downs at the end of 10 years from a sudden and total ramp down from 75% to whatever the court has imposed on the entire Subarea at that time".(See Exhibit "1" to Declaration of Robert Wagner attached hereto as Exhibit "A")

Watermaster's recommendations herein are consistent with the December 29, 2005 order, the
elements of which were proposed by the BSAC. While the BSAC may ask the court to revisit its order,
Watermaster is not making such a request. Additionally, the California Department of Fish and Game
has submitted a letter to Watermaster in support of Watermaster's recommendation and opposing the
request of the BSAC. (See Exhibit "1" to Declaration of Steven K. Beckett attached hereto as Exhibit
"C")

24 C. Centro Subarea:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Watermaster recommends that FPA in Centro be left at 80% of BAP. Total water production in
 Centro has declined significantly since entry of Judgment. There is a slight surplus in Centro as
 measured against the long-term average water supply. Water levels in wells in areas of heavy pumping
 around Barstow show a greater downward trend than other wells during periods of below average water

supply but in the past have recovered during periods of storm flow. Although FPA exceeds the PSY in
 Centro, further Rampdown would be unnecessary at this time given the fact that there is an apparent
 surplus when considering the long-term average water supply and current levels of pumping.
 Watermaster will re-evaluate conditions in Centro annually and may make appropriate recommendations
 for Rampdown in the future.

D. Este Subarea:

6

7

8

9

10

11

Water levels have been relatively stable in Este. Water production, which has declined from its peak in the 1980's, is far less than the FPA. Watermaster is continuing data collection and analysis and recommends that FPA remain at 80% and that the Court ordered stay on Rampdown (at 65%) remain in effect. Any material increases in water production or changing conditions could result in an immediate Rampdown in Este to 65% or lower following further hearings with the Court.

Among the findings of the investigations in Este is that there exists a significant water level difference across the Helendale Fault that would prevent water movement from Lucerne to Fifteen Mile Valley. In recognition of this condition it may be necessary to treat Este differently under the Judgment. Watermaster is currently working with the Este Subarea Advisory Committee to establish a management plan recognizing the effects of the Helendale Fault on water movement and water supply to Este.

18 E. Oeste Subarea:

MWA commenced a hydrologic study in Oeste to better understand the water supply conditions.
The study's findings confirm water levels failing at a slow rate. MWA is presently preparing a regional
groundwater model that will use data developed from the hydrologic study to predict the basin's
response to various pumping stresses. At this time, Watermaster recommends that FPA remain at 80%.
Additional rampdown in Oeste may be warranted in the 2008-09 Water Year.

V

SUBSURFACE FLOW OBLIGATIONS BETWEEN SUBAREAS

Watermaster considered and adopted a recommendation, presented to the Court in April 2006, to establish subsurface flow obligations as required by the Judgment, except for the Oeste to Alto

28

24

25

26

obligation. During the 2006-07 Water Year, Watermaster formally adopted the subsurface flow
 obligation from Oeste to Alto that is set forth in the Judgment as 800 acre-feet.

The Judgment requires that Watermaster evaluate the subsurface flow from Baja across the MWA administrative boundary about six miles upstream from Afton. It was estimated before trial the amount of subsurface flow was 400 acre-feet. The Judgment provides that the Baja producers pay a make-up assessment in the event the subsurface flow obligation is not met. The Court asked Watermaster to provide an explanation as to the difficulty and impracticality of requiring the Baja residents to comply with this part of the Judgment.

9 The requirement to investigate and determine the amount of subsurface flow from Baja would be very difficult to meet for several reasons including: a lack of historic data to evaluate, the high cost 10 of drilling wells and obtaining necessary data for future evaluation and the financial burden to the Baja 11 12 residents. Further, if the amount of subsurface flow that had occurred across the boundary could be 13 established, it would be necessary to construct a pipeline that would extend several miles to a point in 14the desert outside of the MWA boundary. The requirement to evaluate the historic and present subsurface flow at the Baja boundary near Afton would not be in the best interests of the basin area 15 16 producers. The Court previously relieved Watermaster of the obligation to determine the subsurface 17 flow from Baja to Afton and it is Watermaster's recommendation that this waiver continue.

VI

TRANSITION ZONE WATER LEVELS

MWA investigated the conditions in the Transition Zone in order to establish minimum water levels as required by the Judgment. Currently MWA is pursuing the installation of monitoring wells and working with Department of Fish and Game in this regard. The purpose of establishing minimum water levels is to ensure that the Transition Zone does not interfere with storm flow in a way that deviates from the base period hydrology. The available data for Transition Zone water levels indicates that the Transition Zone is not interfering with storm flows.

26 ///

18

19

3

4

5

6

7

- 27 ///
- 28 ///

MWA continues to investigat producer wells. A contract to develop using aerial photography was appro- program, if successful, will be expan- Based upon the foregoing, the Steven K. Beckett, attached hereto rulings, Watermaster recommends	MINIMAL PRODUCERS ate minimal producers and identify and catalogue new minimal p and implement a pilot program for minimal producer evaluation ved in 2006 and is expected to be completed in 2007. The pilot nded for use throughout the Basin Area. VIII CONCLUSION he Declarations of Robert Wagner, Valerie L. Wiegenstein and as Exhibits "A", "B" and "C"respectively, and the court's prior
MWA continues to investigat producer wells. A contract to develop using aerial photography was approve program, if successful, will be expand Based upon the foregoing, the Steven K. Beckett , attached hereto rulings, Watermaster recommends	ate minimal producers and identify and catalogue new minimal p and implement a pilot program for minimal producer evaluation wed in 2006 and is expected to be completed in 2007. The pilot nded for use throughout the Basin Area. VIII CONCLUSION he Declarations of Robert Wagner, Valerie L. Wiegenstein and as Exhibits "A", "B" and "C"respectively, and the court's prior
producer wells. A contract to develop using aerial photography was approv program, if successful, will be expan Based upon the foregoing, the Steven K. Beckett, attached hereto rulings, Watermaster recommends	p and implement a pilot program for minimal producer evaluation ved in 2006 and is expected to be completed in 2007. The pilot ided for use throughout the Basin Area. VIII CONCLUSION he Declarations of Robert Wagner, Valerie L. Wiegenstein and as Exhibits "A", "B" and "C"respectively, and the court's prior
using aerial photography was approv program, if successful, will be expan Based upon the foregoing, the Steven K. Beckett, attached hereto rulings, Watermaster recommends	ved in 2006 and is expected to be completed in 2007. The pilot inded for use throughout the Basin Area. VIII CONCLUSION he Declarations of Robert Wagner, Valerie L. Wiegenstein and as Exhibits "A", "B" and "C"respectively, and the court's prior
program, if successful, will be expan Based upon the foregoing, the Steven K. Beckett, attached hereto rulings, Watermaster recommends	nded for use throughout the Basin Area. VIII CONCLUSION he Declarations of Robert Wagner, Valerie L. Wiegenstein and as Exhibits "A", "B" and "C"respectively, and the court's prior
Based upon the foregoing, the Steven K. Beckett, attached hereto rulings, Watermaster recommends	VIII CONCLUSION he Declarations of Robert Wagner, Valerie L. Wiegenstein and as Exhibits "A", "B" and "C"respectively, and the court's prior
Based upon the foregoing, the Steven K. Beckett, attached hereto rulings, Watermaster recommends	CONCLUSION he Declarations of Robert Wagner, Valerie L. Wiegenstein and as Exhibits "A", "B" and "C"respectively, and the court's prior
Based upon the foregoing, the Steven K. Beckett, attached hereto rulings, Watermaster recommends	he Declarations of Robert Wagner, Valerie L. Wiegenstein and as Exhibits "A", "B" and "C"respectively, and the court's prior
Steven K. Beckett, attached hereto rulings, Watermaster recommends	as Exhibits "A", "B" and "C"respectively, and the court's prior
rulings, Watermaster recommends	
	that the Court grant this motion and implement the above
adjustments for each Subarea.	
Dated: April 2, 2007	BRUNK, MCELHANEY SPECKETT
	WILLIAM L BRUNICK ESO
	STEVEN K. BECKETT, ESQ. Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Complainant.
	MOJAVE WATER AGENCY
	9
	Dated: April 2, 2007

ATTACHMENT E



2. Free Production Allowance shall remain at 80% of Base Annual Production for
 Agricultural producers, i.e., those who produce water for the irrigation of the crops, or as
 otherwise determined by Watermaster.

4 || <u>BAJA SUBAREA</u>

FPA shall remain at 75% for all Producers pursuant to the Court's Order of December 5 6 29, 2005. A change in use or any change inconsistent with the December 29, 2005 Order may 7 result in further rampdown to 65% for the 2007-2008 water year. Pursuant to the Court's December 29, 2005 Order, if Watermaster believes that any Producer has changed its type of use 8 or place of use, Watermaster shall place the name of such Producer on a list of Producers which 9 10 are removed from the ten (10) year rampdown moratorium and present the names of any such Producers to the Court at the next rampdown hearing, if any, for approval by the Court for 11 12 further rampdown, if appropriate, for such Producers. Pursuant to the December 29, 2005 13 Order, Watermaster shall continue to maintain and publish the list of producers subject to the ten (10) year rampdown moratorium. 14

15 CENTRO SUBAREA

16

FPA shall remain at 80% of BAP for both M&I and Agricultural Producers.

17 ESTE SUBAREA

Rampdown is deferred and FPA shall continue to remain at 80% of BAP for both M&I
and Agricultural Producers. However, deferred rampdown could be implemented upon further
order of the court following the Watermaster's request and motion seeking court approval which
could result in FPA being reduced to the level required by the Judgment at the time the Motion
is made.

23 OESTE SUBAREA

24

FPA shall remain at 80% of BAP for both M&I and Agricultural Producers.

25 TRANSITION ZONE WATER LEVELS

Watermaster considered data and information related to setting water levels in the Transition Zone as required by the Judgment. The purpose for setting minimum water levels is to prioritize recharge in the Alto Subarea between Transition Zone and the upper part of Alto. The water level recommendations and monitoring well locations were determined in cooperation
 with the California Department of Fish and Game and were the subject of a hearing before the
 Watermaster prior to adoption. The monitoring location and water level criteria are set for in
 the Thirteenth Annual Watermaster Report to the Court. Watermaster shall report annually on
 the conditions in the Transition Zone.

6 SUBSURFACE FLOW OBLIGATION TO AFTON

7 Watermaster is relieved of the obligation to determine the flow from Baja across the
8 MWA boundary to Afton.

ELIMINATION OF UNIDENTIFIED AND MINIMAL POOLS

Watermaster has previously recommended to the Court that the unidentified and minimal
producer pools in Alto and Oeste be removed from consideration when Watermaster establishes
FPA for each Subarea. Watermaster is recommending that the pools in the remaining Subareas
be removed from consideration as well. Consequently, upon this Order, the aggregate BAP for
each Subarea, as shown on Exhibit B, Table B-1 of the Judgment will be as follows:

15	<u>Subarea</u>	BAP
16	Alto	116,412
17	Baja	66,157
18	Centro	56,269
19	Este	21,205
20	Oeste	7,095

The estimated consumptive use by minimal producers is included in the calculation of
 Production Safe Yield (Table 5-1, 5-2, 13th Annual Report) and therefore is already included in
 the considerations of FPA, consistent with previous Watermaster actions.

25 \\\\ 26 \\\\

24

///

|||

9

27

28

/ `` \



۰` <i>،</i>	
1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA } COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE }
3	I am employed in the County of the San Bernardino, State of California, I am over the
4	age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 22450 Headquarters Dr., Apple Valley, California 92307.
5	On June 18, 2007, I served the foregoing document described as: [Proposed] ORDER
6 7	GRANTING MOTION TO ADJUST FREE PRODUCTION ALLOWANCE FOR WATER YEAR 2007-2008 on the interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows:
8	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE MAILING LIST
9	
10	XX (BY MAIL) I deposited such envelope in the mail at San Bernardino, California. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.
11	As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and
12	postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Bernardino, California in the ordinary course of business. Lam aware that on motion of the next genued
13	service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
14 15	(BY OVERNIGHT COURIER SERVICE): I caused such envelopes to be delivered via overnight courier service to the addressee(s) described above.
16 17	(BY FACSIMILE) On this date, the aforesaid document was transmitted by facsimile transmission number (909) 388-1889, to the person(s) whose name(s) and facsimile number(s) are referenced. The transmissions were reported without error.
18	\underline{X} (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
19	California that the above is true and correct.
20	Executed on June 18, 2007, at Apple Valley, California.
21	plant.
22	Valerie Wiegentrein
23	v alorie w legopateni
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

State of conversion of the basis function

Abbond, Edward 11394 Cottontail Lane Apple Valley, CA 92308

Adelanto, City Of P. O. Box 10 Adelanto, CA 92301 Attn: John Sponsler

Aerochem, Inc. HCR Box 80A, 4001 El Mirage Road Adelanto, CA 92301-0040 Attn: Kent T. Christenson

Ake, Charles J. & Marjorie M. 2301 Muriel Drive, Apt. 67 Barstow, CA 92311-6757

Apple Valley Country Club 15200 Rancherias Road Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Terry Carroll

Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company P. O. Box 7005 Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Jack L. Clarke

Aqua Capital Management, LP 444 Regency Parkway Dr. Suite 300 Ornaha, NE 68114-3779 Attn: David L. Penrice

Atchison, Topeka, Santa Fe Railway Company 200 North Avenue H Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Dean Forshee

Baldy Mesa Water District 10313 Duncan Road Victorville, CA 92392-0830 Attn: Guy Patterson

Bar-Len Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 77 Barstow, CA 92312-0077 Attn: Bill Davis Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of June 18, 2007

Abbott Family Trust Box 77 Star Route Oro Grande, CA 92368 Attn: Buck Abbott

Adelanto, City Of - George A F B P. O. Box 10 Adelanto, CA 92301 Attn: John Sponsler

Agcon, Inc. 17671 Bear Valley Road Hesperia, CA 92345 Attn: Lori Clifton

American States Water Company 2143 Convention Center Way, Suite 110 Ontario, CA 91764-5492 Attn: Gladys Rosendo

Apple Valley Foothill County Water District P. O. Box 914 Apple Valley, CA 92308-0914 Attn: Brenda Bitonti

Apple Valley View Mutual Water Company P.O. Box 3680 Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Emely Saltmeris

Arguelles, Alfredo 48001 Silver Valley Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Avila, Angel & Evalia P.O. Box 1723 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-

Bar H Mutual Water Company 11159 Medanos Ave. Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Paul K. Nelson

Baron, Susan & Palmer, Curtis 17618 Osbourne Ave Chino, CA 91709-3216 Attn: Curtis Palmer Abshire, David V. P.O. Box # 2057 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: John McCallum

Ades, John & Devon 13830 Choco Road Apple Valley, CA 92307

Aguayo, Jeanette L. 22619 Thompson Road Hinkley, CA 92347

Anderson, Ross C. & Betty J. 13853 Oakmont Dr. Victorville, CA 92395-4832

Apple Valley Heights County Water District 9429 Cerra Vista Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: Gail Hunter

Apple Valley, Town Of 14955 Dale Evans Parkway Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Rodger Lopez

Artz, Richard & Gloria P. O. Box 340 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Bagley, Roy 33483 Dune Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Barber, James B. 43774 Cottonwood Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Bass Trust, Newton T. 14924 Chamber Lane Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Barbara Davison Bastianon, Remo E. 9484 Iroquois Rd. Apple Valley, CA 92308

Beebe, Robert W. & Dorothy K. 10111 Choicana Hesperia, CA 92345

Bell, Chuck P. O. Box 193 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356

Benton, Philip G. P. O. Box 279 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Borja, Leonil T. & Tital L. 20784 Iris Canyon Road Riverside, CA 92508-

Brommer Family Trust 13129 S. Baker Avenue Ontario, CA 91761 Attn: Marvin & Carroll Brommer

Brown, Jennifer 10001 Choiceana Ave. Hesperia, CA 92345

Bruins, Nicholas 48525 Cheltham Drive Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Ruth Szynkowski

Calico Junction P. O. Box 1923 Barstow, CA 92312-1923 Attn: Harvey J. Walker Jr.

CalMat Company 405 N. Indian Hill Blvd. Claremont, CA 91711 Attn: Robert W. Bowcock Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of June 18, 2007

Basura Family Trust 9776 Zepher Court Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: Russell Cooper

Beinschroth Family Trust 18794 Sentenac Road Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: A. J. Beinschroth

Bell, Thomas P. O. Box 1813 Studio City, CA 91614-0813

Best, Byron L. 26338 Lassen Street Barstow, CA 92311-9696

Bowman, Edwin L. 10691 Deerfield Drive Cherry Valley, CA 92223-5599

Brooklier, Nancy L. P.O. Box 254 Helendale, CA 92342

Brown, Ronald A. 12031 Philadelphia Street Whittier, CA 90601-3926

Bruneau, Karen 19575 Bear Valley Rd. Apple Valey, CA 92308-5104

Calico Lakes Homeowners Association c/o Haven Management Inc. 2151 Conventi Ontario, CA 91764 Attn: Dan Saldania

Camanga, Tony & Marietta 48924 Bedford Rd. Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Bedingfield, Lyndell & Charlene 43434 Cottonwood Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Jeffrey P. McDonnell

Beinschroth, A. J. 18794 Sentenac Road Apple Valley, CA 92307

Bender, Marlene 23852 Pebble Beach Laguna Niguel, CA 92677

Borgogno Revocable Living Trust 34690 Yarrow Court Winchester, CA 92596-Attn: Roberta B. Travers

Bracht, William F. & Alexander, Alicia M. 12439 Addison Street N. Hollywood, CA 91607 Attn: Alicia Alexander

Brown, Bobby G. & Valeria R. 26776 Vista Road Helendale, CA 92342

Brown, Sue & Doug 2414 El Mirage Road El Mirage, CA 92301

Bunnell, Dick 8589 Volga River Circle Fountain Valley, CA 92708-

California Department Of Transportation 1800 Dill Road Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Jeff Renfro

Campbell Family Trust 22229 Brone Court Canyon Lake, CA 92587 Attn: Eugene Campbell Campbell, Bryan M. 431 Barstow Road Barstow, CA 92311-

Casa Colina Foundation 11981 Midway Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Rod Peek

CDFG - Mojave River Fish Hatchery 12550 Jacaranda Avenue Victorville, CA 92395-5183 Attn: Gary L. Williams

CF Properties, LLC 3300 Via Lido Newport Beach, CA 92663-3931 Attn: Mike English

Channell, Dale E. PMB 138, 1450 W Horizon Ridge Parkway St Henderson, NV 89012-4480

Choi, Yong Il & Joung Ae 34424 Mountain View Road Hinkley, CA 92347

Cline, Vivian D. 45835 Twin Lakes Drive Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Contratto, Ersula 21814 Hinkley Road Barstow, CA 92311

Crandall, Esther 2196 Kendall Drive, Apt. 215 San Bernardino, CA 92407

Crystal Lakes Property Owners Association P. O. Box 351 Yermo, CA 92398 Attn: Bob Buck

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of June 18, 2007

Campbell, M. A. & Dianne P. O. Box 451163 Houston, TX 77245-1163

CDFG - Camp Cady 109429 Highway 395 Coleville, CA 96107-Attn: William Holtz

Cemex, Inc. 16888 North E. Street Victorville, CA 92394 Attn: Jackelin Simmons

Chamisal Mutual Water Company 1442 El Mirage Rd. El Mirage, CA 92301 Attn: Dwayne Ross

Cheyenne Lake, Inc. 6255 E. Quartz Anaheim, CA 92807 Attn: Carl Pugh

Christison, Joel 6302 Trinette Garden Grove, CA 92645

Club View Partners 9903 Santa Monica Blvd., PMB #541 Beverly Hills, CA 90212-1671 Attn: Manoucher Sarbaz

Corbridge, Linda S. 10744 Eton Avenue Chatsworth, CA 91311-

Cross, Francis & Beverly Paradise Valley Box 16 Barstow, CA 92311

Daggett Community Services District P. O. Box 308 Daggett, CA 92327 Attn: Lawrence Alf Carlton, Susan 2273 248th Street Lomita, CA 90717-1507

CDFG - Mojave Narrows Regional Park 777 East Rialto Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0763 Attn: Phillip J. Krause

Center Water Company P. O. Box 616 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Donna Chandler

Chang, Timothy & Jane 5121 Burnett Street Long Beach, CA 90815-1906

Cho Brothers Ranch P. O. Box 397 Five Points, CA 93624 Attn: Chung Cho Gong

Clark, Arthur 11919 Bayless St. Moreno Valley, CA 92557

Conner, William H. 11535 Mint Canyon Rd. Agua Dulce, CA 91390-4577

Cramer, Margaret Muir 15030 Genesee Road Apple Valley, CA 92307

Cross, Sharon I. P. O. Box 922 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356

Dahlquist, George R. 3056 N. Buena Vista Burbank, CA 91504

Darr, James S. 40716 Highway 395 Boron, CA 93516

Dennison, Quentin D. - Clegg, Frizell & Joke 44579 Temescal Street Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Randy Wagner

Desert View Dairy 37501 Mountain View Rd. Hinkiey, CA 92347 Attn: Paul Ryken

DJC Corporation 35300 Old Woman Springs Road Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-7706 Attn: Magdalena Jones

Dolch, Robert & Judy 15760 Stoddard Wells Road Victorville, CA 92395-2831

Dorrance, David W. & Tamela L. 2027 Valleria Court Sugar Land, TX 77479-

Dowse, Philip P. O. Box 400-847 Hesperia, CA 92340-0847

Evenson, Edwin H. & Joycelaine C. P. O. Box 66 Oro Grande, CA 92368

Farley, Greggory J. 7787 Lakeside Dr. Riverside, CA 92509-5324

Fischer, Elmer G. & Beverly C. 1372 W. 26th Street San Bernardino, CA 92405-Attn: Carl Fischer Davis Family Trust 19685 Gray Mountain Rd. El Mirage, CA 92301 Attn: Paul W. Davis Jr.

Desert Dawn Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 392 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Peggy Roork

Dexter Family Trust 12600 Apple Valley Road Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: J.P. Dexter

Docimo, Allen & Kathryn 48275 Silver Valley Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365-

Donaldson, Jerry & Beverly 16736 B Road Delta, CO 81416-8501

Dossey, D. A. 137 Woodhill Drive Morehead, KY 40351-7860

D'Silva, Melanie Three Ravina Drive Ste. #1450 Atlanta, GA 30346-2117

Evkhanian, James H. & Phyllis P. O. Box 77 Glendale, CA 91209-0077

Ferro, Dennis & Norma 1311 1st Avenue N. Jacksonville Beach, FL 32250-3512

Fisher, Dolores 3185 Valencia Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92404 De Jong Family Trust 46561 Fairview Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Alan L. De Jong

Ì

Desert Springs Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 396 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-0396 Attn: Denise Courtney

Dick Van Dam Dairy 3180 Cottonwood Avenue San Jacinto, CA 92582 Attn: Glenn Van Dam

Docimo, Donald P. & Patricia J. 1176 Lee Ann Circle San Jacinto, CA 92582-3087

Dora Land, Inc. P.O. Box 931 Westminster, CA 92684-Attn: Jeffery Lidman

Dowell, Leonard 5125 2nd Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90043-1948

Elisabella, LLC P.O. Box 488 Helendale, CA 92342 Attn: Jesus Enriquez

Eygnor, Robert E. & Patsy C. 23032 Bryman Road Oro Grande, CA 92368-

First CPA LLC 3043 Prospect Avenue Rosemead, CA 91770-2244 Attn: Jerrica Liu

Fisher, Jerome 7603 Hazeltine Van Nuys, CA 91405 Frates, D. Cole 5700 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 330 Los Angeles, CA 90036-

Fundamental Christian Endeavors, Inc. 49191 Cherokee Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Mark Asay

Gaeta, Trinidad 10551 Dallas Avenue Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Jay Storer

Gayjikian, Samuel & Hazel P. O. Box 684 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356

Gordon Acres Water Company P. O. Box 1607 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-1035 Attn: Tom Warnock

Green Acres Estates P. O. Box 1754 Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Susan Zutavern

Gubler, Hans P. O. Box 3100 Landers, CA 92285

Haas, Bryan C. and Hinkle, Mary H. 14730 Tigertail Road Apple Valley, CA 92307-

Halanna Equities III 233 Sansome Street, Suite 928 San Francisco, CA 94104-2315 Attn: Alexandra Lioanag

Hamilton, Doug & Cheryl 19945 Round Up Way Apple Valley, CA 92308-8338 Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of June 18, 2007

Frazier, et al 8707 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308-Attn: Della Frazier

Gabrych, Eugene 2006 Old Highway 395 Fallbrook, CA 92028

Gaines, Jack & Mary 36280 Soapmine Road Barstow, CA 92311

Gold, Harold 1037 N. Primrose Avenue Rialto, CA 92376

Graves, Chester B. 500 N. San Dimas Canyon Road San Dimas, CA 91773-2200

Greenhouse Family Trust 25322 Aster Road Oro Grande, CA 92368-Attn: Sheryl Greenhouse

Gulbranson, Merlin P.O. Box 482 Helendale, CA 92342-Attn: Tamara McKenzie

Hackbarth, Edward E. P.O. Box 1089 Corona, CA 92878-1089

Hal-Dor Ltd. 35300 Old Woman Springs Road Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Magdalena Jones

Hamilton, et al. 9312 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308-8319 Attn: Don & Ruth M. Hamilton Friend, Joseph & Deborah P. O. Box 253 Barstow, CA 92312

Gaeta, Miguel & Maria 9366 Joshua Avenue Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-Attn: Miguel Gaeta

Garcia, Daniel 9899 Summerhill Rd. Alta Loma, CA 91737

Golden State Water Company 2143 Convention Center Way, Suite 110 Ontario, CA 91764-5492 Attn: Gladys Rosendo

Gray, George F. & Betty E. 975 Bryant Calimesa, CA 92320

Grieder, Raymond H. & Dorisanne 41234 Harper Lake Road Hinkley, CA 92347

Gutierrez, Jose & Gloria 24116 Santa Fe Hinkley, CA 92347

Hainje, Kenneth Edward P. O. Box 413 Helendale, CA 92342-0413

Hamilton Trust, Don & Ruth M. 9312 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308-8319 Attn: Don & Ruth M. Hamilton

Handley, Don R. & Mary Ann 29611 Exeter Street Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Mary Ann Hendley-Norris Handrinos, Nicole A. 1140 Parkdale Rd. Adelanto, CA 92301 Attn: William Handrinos

Haralik, Bess & Robert 32990 Harvard Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Harmsen, James & Ruth Ann 23920 Community Blvd. Hinkley, CA 92347-9721

Harrison, Connie & Harold 22230 National Trails Hwy. Oro Grande, CA 92368

Harvey, Jeffrey W. & Lisa M. P.O. Box 268 San Bernardino, CA 92402-

Helendale School District P. O. Box 249 Helendale, CA 92342 Attn: Peter Czarnota

Hert, Scott P. O. Box 590 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356

Hettinga, Hein & Ellen 17094 Cucamonga Avenue Corona, CA 92880 Attn: Patricia Mohr

Hiett, Harry J. & Clarice M. P. O. Box 272 Daggett, CA 92327-0265

Hilarides 1998 Revocable Family Trust 37404 Harvard Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Frank Hilarides

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of June 18, 2007

Hanify, Michael D., dba - White Bear Ranch 36511 Lenwood Road Hinkley, CA 92347 Attn: Donald F. Hanify

Hare, Thomas R. & Helen P. 35729 Dixie Road Hinkley, CA 92347-9631

Harper Lake Company VIII 43880 Harper Lake Road Hinkley, CA 92347 Attn: Glen King

Harter, Joe & Sue 34530 Minneola Road Daggett, CA 92327

Hass, Pauline L. P.O. Box 1004 Barstow, CA 92312

Hendley, Rick & Barbara P. O. Box 905 Yermo, CA 92398-0905 Attn: Jim Martin

Hesperia Golf & Country Club 17970 Bangor Avenue Hesperia, CA 92345-6933 Attn: Drew Taylor

Hi Desert Mutual Water Company 32722 Amarylis Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Walt Young

High Desert Associates, Inc. 405 North Indian Hill Blvd. Claremont, CA 91711-4614 Attn: Robert W. Bowcock

Hileman, Katherine 2743 Apollo Drive Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Lee Hileman Hanson Aggregates WRP, Inc. P. O. Box 190 Newberry Springs, CA 92365-0190 Attn: Marie Lachica

Hareson, Nicholas & Mary 1737 Anza Avenue Vista, CA 92084

A

Harper Lake, LLC 7151 Coriander Trail Oak Hills, CA 92344-9029 Attn: Henry Orlosky

Harvey, Frank P. O. Box 753 Yermo, CA 92398

Helendale Community Services District P. O. Box 2608 Helendale, CA 92342-2608 Attn: Kimberly Cox

Hermanas Misioneras Servidoras de la Palabra 3980 Opal Steet Riverside, CA 92509-Attn: Marina Tapia

Hesperia Water District 9700 7th Avenue Hesperia, CA 92345-3493 Attn: Mike Podegracz

Hickman, Alex & Debe 48550 Riverside Drive Newberry Springs, CA 92365-9017

Hi-Grade Materials Company 17671 Bear Valley Road Hesperia, CA 92345-4902 Attn: Lori Clifton

Hill, Melvin 25749 Community Blvd. Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Sylvia Pile

Hitchin Lucerne, Inc. P. O. Box 749 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Mary Thomas

Hollister, Robert H. & Ruth M. P. O. Box 2 Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Christine Stevens

Horton, John 47716 Fairview Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365-9258

Hrubik, Thomas A. P. O. Box 2611 Apple Valley, CA 92307-0049

Hunt, Ralph M. & Lillian F. P. O. Box 603 Yermo, CA 92398

Irvin, Bertrand W. 3224 W. 111th Street Inglewood, CA 90303-2313

Jamboree Housing Corporation 15940 Stoddard Wells Road - Office Victorville, CA 92395-2800 Attn: Joseph E. Brooks

Johnson, Carlean 8626 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308

Johnston, Harriet & Johnston, Lawrence W. P. O. Box 1472 Hesperia, CA 92340-1472 Attn: Lawrence W. Johnston

Jubilee Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 1016 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Richard Selby Ho, Ting-Seng & Ah-Git 1994 Allenby Road Germantown, TN 38138-4346

Holway, C. Robert 38365 Birch Hill Ct Murrieta, CA 92563-4724

Horton's Children's Trust 47716 Fairview Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365-9258 Attn: John Horton

Hubbard, Ester & Mizuno, Arlean 2225 7th Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90018-1146 Attn: Ester Hubbard

Hutchison Trust, William O. P. O. Box 97 Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: William O. Hutchison

Jackson, James N. Jr Revocable Living Trust 1245 S. Arlington Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90019-3517 Attn: James Jackson Jr.

Jess Ranch Water Company 906 Old Ranch Road Florissant, CO 80816-Attn: Gary A. Ledford

Johnson, James R. & Ellen 48084 Fairview Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Jones, Joette 46618 Madison Street, #101 Indio, CA 92201-

Juniper Riviera County Water District P. O. Box 386 Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Michael W. Mines Hodge, Stanley W. 15124 Riverside Drive Apple Valley, CA 92307

Hong, Paul B. & May P.O. Box # 1432 Covina, CA 91722

Howard, Norman N. 27871 Beryl Avenue Barstow, CA 92311

Huerta, Hector 25684 Community Blvd. Barstow, CA 92311

Hyatt, James & Brenda P. O. Box 85 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Jackson, Ray P. O. Box 333 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Jo, Myung Hyun P. O. Box 1844 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-1844

Johnson, Ronald 3447 East Stoneview Circle Washington, UT 84780-

Jordan Family Trust 31325 Clay River Road Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Raymond Jordan

Karimi, Hooshang 1254 Holmby Ave Los Angeles, CA 90024-

Kasner Family Limited Partnership 11584 East End Avenue Chino, CA 91710-Attn: Robert R. Kasner

Kemp, Robert & Rose 48441 National Trails Highway Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Kiel, Mary 42224 1/2 Valley Center Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Betty Mobbs

Kim, Joon Ho 46561 Fairview Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Alan De Jong

Kladstrup, Kitty L. 35850 Ord Street Newberry Springs, CA 92365-

Kosharek, John & Joann P. O. Box 357 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Lake Waikiki 230 Hillcrest Drive La Puente, CA 91744 Attn: Nancy Lan

Lawrence, William W. P.O. Box 98 Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Robert Lawrence Jr.

Lee, et al., Sepoong & Woo Poong #6 Ensueno East Irvine, CA 92620-Attn: Sepoong & Woo Poong Lee

Lenhert, Ronald & Toni P.O. Box 1688 Victorville, CA 92393-1688 Kasner, Robert 11584 East End Avenue Chino, CA 91710-

Kemper Campbell Ranch 10 Kemper Campbell Ranch Road Victorville, CA 92395-3357 Attn: Jean De Blasis

Kiewit Pacific Company PO Box 339 Newberry Springs, CA 92365-0339 Attn: John Welch

Kim, Ju Sang 2250 Simon Street Fullerton, CA 92833-

Koegler, Ronald R. & Carolyn V. 1001 Tremonto Road Santa Barbara, CA 93103-

Lake Arrowhead Community Services District P. O. Box 700 Lake Arrowhead, CA 92352 Attn: Patti McGonigle

Lake Wainani Owners Association 4725 McKinnon Drive Anaheim, CA 92807 Attn: Ron Basbas

Lawson, Ernest & Barbara 20277 Rock Springs Road Apple Valley, CA 92308

Lee, Vin Jang T. 41717 Silver Valley Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Eric Archibek

Levine, David & Margaret 12549 Kling Street Studio City, CA 91604-1109 Katcher, August M. & Marceline 47887 Palo Verde Lane Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Kemper, Walter R. & Jonna S. 1770 N. Arrowhead Ave. San Bernardino, CA 92405

Kim, Jin S. & Hyun H. 53 Bluecoat Irvine, CA 92620

Kim, Seon Ja P. O. Box 1137 Yermo, CA 92398-1137

Koroghlian, Ted & Najwa 1549 Valencia Avenue Pasadena, CA 91104-

Lake Jodie Property Owners Association 20331 Pima Road Apple Valley, CA 92308-5124 Attn: Robert Angerer

Langley, Michael R. & Sharon 13426 Ramona Parkway Baldwin Park, CA 91706

Lee, Doo Hwan P. O. Box 556 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-0556

Lem, Hoy 3904 W. 182 Street Torrance, CA 90504-4839

Levine, Leslie 34530 Minneola Road Daggett, CA 92327 Attn: Joe Harter LHC Alligator, LLC P. O. Box 670 Upland, CA 91785-0670 Attn: John M. Goodman

Lo, Peter C. N. & Debbie D. J. 5303 Temple City Blvd. Temple City, CA 91780-3149

Love, Charles & Deanna P.O. Box 1476 Helendale, CA 92342 Attn: Chuck Love

Lucerne Valley Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 1311 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Donna Chandler

Luckey, Manley J. 8531 Glendale Road Hesperia, CA 92345

Mahjoubi, Afsar S. 46622 Fairview Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Robert Saidi

Marcroft, James A. & Joan P. O. Box 519 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Martin, Michael D. & Arlene D. 32942 Paseo Mira Flores San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

McInnis, William S. 8758 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308

Meyers, Lonnie 35523 Mountain View Rd. Hinkley, CA 92347-9613

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of June 18, 2007

Liang, Yuan - I & Tzu - Mei Chen 416 Alamosa Drive Claremont, CA 91711 Attn: Patrick Liang

Lopez, Baltazar 12318 Post Office Rd Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-

Low, Dean 1031 Briarcliff Road Monrovia, CA 91016-1703

Lucerne Valley Partners 9903 Santa Monica Blvd., PMB #541 Beverly Hills, CA 90212-1671 Attn: Manoucher Sarbaz

M Bird Construction 1613 State Street, Ste. 10 Barstow, CA 92311-4162 Attn: Eugene R. & Vickie R. Bird

Maloney, Janice 42525 Silver Valley Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Mariana Ranchos County Water District 9600 Manzanita Street Apple Valley, CA 92308-8605 Attn: Mike Bush

Mayberry, Donald J. & Sandra D. 34555 Sandi Lane Newberry Springs, CA 92365-9448

Mead, G. C. (Buck) 31314 Clay River Road Barstow, CA 92311

Milbrat, Irving H. 20202 Blue Jay Drive Redding, CA 96002 Attn: David I. Milbrat Lin, Kuan Jung & Chung, Der-Bing 2026 Turnball Canyon Hacienda Heights, CA 91745-Attn: James Lin

Lounsbury, J. Peter & Carolyn 14499 Robinson Ranch Road Oro Grande, CA 92368

Lua, Michael T. & Donna S. 18838 Aldridge Place Rowland Heights, CA 91748

Lucerne Vista Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 677 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-0677 Attn: John Felsch

M.B. Landscaping & Nursery, Inc. 20300 Figueroa Street Carson, CA 90745-1212 Attn: Maria Martinez

Manning, Sharon S. 19332 Balan Road Rowland Heights, CA 91748-4017 Attn: Jimmy Berry

Marshall, Charles 32455 Lakeview Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

McCollum, Charles L. 13846 Sagassum Ct. Hesperia, CA 92345 Attn: Rod Sexton

Meadowbrook Dairy P.O. Box 294370 Phelan, CA 92329-4370 Attn: Edward Imsand

Mitchell, Charles Thomas P.O. Box 783 Yermo, CA 92398 Mitchell, Charlotte P.O. Box 783 Yermo, CA 92398-0783

Monaco Investment Company 9903 Santa Monica Blvd., PMB #541 Beverly Hills, CA 90212-1671 Attn: Manoucher Sarbaz

Most, Milton & Jennie 23780 Cuyama Road Apple Valley, CA 92307-Attn: Chuck Most

Murphy, Bernard H. P.O. Box 400132 Hesperia, CA 92340

Nelson, Mildred L. 36975 Mountain View Road Hinkley, CA 92347

Newberry Corporation P.O. Box 8547 Calabasas, CA 91372-Attn: Faye Wazzan

Odessa Water District 220 E. Mountain View Street, Suite A Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Jeannette Hayhurst

Omya California, Inc. P. O. Box 825 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Manfred Keil

Pak, Edwin H. 12337 Caravel Cr Cerritos, CA 90703-8412

Pearce, Craig L. 30137 Fort Cady Rd. Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of June 18, 2007

Mitsubishi Cement Corporation 5808 State Highway 18 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: David Rib

Morris Trust, Julia V. 43744 Silver Valley Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Billie Elliot

Mountain View, L.L.C. 821 W. Main Street Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Denise Flores

Murphy, Jean 46126 Old National Trails Highway Newberry Springs, CA 92365-9025

New Springs Limited Partnership 416 Alamosa Drive Claremont, CA 91711 Attn: Yuan-I Liang

Nunn, Donald & Pearl 23450 Esaws Road Apple Valley, CA 92307

Ohai, Reynolds & Dorothy 8135 Pinositas Road Whittier, CA 90605-1329

P & H Engineering & Development Corporation
1423 South Beverly Glen Blvd. Apt. A Los Angeles, CA 90024-6171
Attn: M. T. Shoraka

Pathfinder Investors 41717 Silver Valley Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Eric Archibek

Pearl, Alice 5860 Rose Ave. Long Beach, CA 90805-4308 Attn: Barbara Riley Mizrahie, et al. 4105 W. Jefferson Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90048-Attn: Philip Mizrahie

Moss, Lawrence W. & Helen J. 38338 Old Woman Springs Road Spc# 72 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-8116

Mulligan, Robert & Inez 325 Hankins Drive St. Helena, OR 97051 Attn: Dennis Hills

Navajo Mutual Water Company 21933 Otoe Road Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: James Hanson

Newberry Community Services District P. O. Box 206 Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Ellen Johnson

O. F. D. L., Inc. 16796 Bushard St. Fountain Valley, CA 92708 Attn: William G. Swift

Old Grove Properties, LLC P. O. Box 967 Yermo, CA 92398-0967 Attn: Fred Dornon

P G & E 35863 Fairview Road Hinkley, CA 92311 Attn: Johnny Baca

Patino, José 3914 W. 105th Street Inglewood, CA 90303-1815

Pearson, Deryl B. P. O. Box 401601 Hesperia, CA 92345

Perez, Eva 14817 Chandler Street Corona, CA 91720

Petelski, Richard A. 19450 Seneca Rd. Apple Valley, CA 92307

Pittman, Leroy W. 1056 N. Western Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90029-2310

Porter, Timothy M. 34673 Little Dirt Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365-9646

Quigg and Company, Inc. 1927 Harbor Blvd. #201 Costa Mesa, CA 92627-Attn: James Quigg

Rancho Las Flores, LLC 33971 Selva Road, Suite 250 Dana Point, CA 92629-3734 Attn: Clifford C. Hood

Reed, Mike 9864 Donaldson Road Lucerne Valley, CA 92356

Reliant Energy Coolwater L.L.C. P. O. Box 337 Daggett, CA 92327 Attn: Steve Swift

Riggs, John H. & Millicent L. P.O. Box 612 Beaumont, CA 92223

Rivero, Fidel V. P.O. Box 845 Barstow, CA 92312Perko, Bert K. 42258 Navajo Road Yermo, CA 92398-0762

Pettigrew, Dan 3157 Olive Hill Road Fallbrook, CA 92028

Poland, John R. & Kathleen A. 744 N. Magnolia Avenue Upland, CA 91786 Attn: Kathy Gillmore

Price, Donald & Ruth 701 Montara Road Spc 213 Barstow, CA 92311-

Quiros, Fransisco J. & Hermann, Ronald 960 Western Ave. Colton, CA 92324-2656 Attn: Fransisco J. Quiros

Reddy, Bommi V. & Karuna V. 35190 Marks Rd. Barstow, CA 92311

Rees, Michael J. & Sue A 35000 Indian Trails Helendale, CA 92342

Rice, Henry C. & Diana 1015 15th Place Hermosa Beach, CA 90254-

Rim Properties, A General Partnership 15434 Sequoia Road Hesperia, CA 92345-1667 Attn: Ian Bryant

Riverside Cement Company - Oro Grande Plant P. O. Box 146 Oro Grande, CA 92368-0146 Attn: Gregory Knapp Perry, Thomas A. 14807 Kinai Road Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Paul H. Johnson

Pettigrew, James and Cherlyn 8000 Deep Creek Rd. Apple Valley, CA 92308-Attn: James Pettigrew

Polich, Lee & Donna 11640 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308

Pruett, Andrea P.O. Box 37 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Rancheritos Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 348 Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Elizabeth Murena

Reed, Delbert E. & Linda 10291 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308

Reeves, Richard 140 East Stetson Ave, PMB #128 Hemet, CA 92543-

Rieger Family Trust, Walter M. P.O. Box 27 Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Audrey Rieger

Rios, Mariano V. P. O. Box 1864 Barstow, CA 92312-1864

Robertson's Ready Mix 1200 South Main Street, Suite 200 Corona, CA 92882-Attn: Dennis Troesch

Rock Foundation, LLC 13911 Park Avenue, Suite# 106 Victorville, CA 92392-Attn: Mee Song

Royai Way 1231 22nd Street Santa Monica, CA 90404-1346 Attn: Sharon Nader Sloan

Rudman, Robert T. P. O. Box 26 Cove, OR 97824

Russavage, Paul and Sandra L 15697 Fallen Oak Lane Chino Hills, CA 91709-4233

San Bernardino Co Barstow - Daggett Airport 825 E. Third Street, Suite # 203 San Bernardino, CA 92415-0835 Attn: Suzanne Pekar

San Bernardino County Service Area 64 P. O. Box 5004 Victorville, CA 92393-5004 Attn: Manuel M. Benitez

Santucci, Antonio & Wilsa 47975 Lake Irene Drive Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Service Rock Products Corporation P. O. Box 1146 Victorville, CA 92393-1146 Attn: Bob Kelley

Sheng, Jen 46200 Twin Lakes Drive Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Shirkey, Alan G. & Mary E. 4001 S. Mission Rd. Falibrook, CA 92028-9456

Rossi, James L. & Naomi I. 8412 Alta Vista Rd. Atascadero, CA 93422

Rubendall Living Trust, Gerald L. & Judith A. P. O. Box 403141 Hesperia, CA 92340-3141 Attn: Gerald & Judith Rubendall

Rue Ranch 5724 North Grand Canyon Drive Las Vegas, NV 89149-1410 Attn: Albert Lancianese

Ryken, Paul, et al. 37501 Mountain View Rd. Hinkley, CA 92347 Attn: Paul Ryken

San Bernardino County Service Area 29 P. O. Box 459 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356-0459 Attn: Gerard O'Reilly

San Bernardino County Service Area 70J P. O. Box 5004 Victorville, CA 92393-5004 Attn: Manuel M. Benitez

Sapp, Robert D. & Lee, Teresa J. 9924 Mockingbird Avenue Apple Vailey, CA 92308-

Sexton, Rodney A. & Sexton, Derek R. 13846 Sagassum Ct. Hesperia, CA 92345-Attn: Rod Sexton

Sheppard, Thomas & Gloria 33571 Fremont Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Short, Charles & Margaret P. O. Box 315 Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Rowland, James & Helen 129 Del Norte Way San Louis Obispo, CA 93405 Attn: Wesley Rowland

Rubsch Family Trust, G. A. & M. A. P.O. Box 281 Newberry Springs, CA 92365 Attn: Mildred Anderson Rubsch

Ruisch Trust, Dale W. & Nellie H. 24333 Community Blvd Hinkley, CA 92307 Attn: Dale W. Ruisch

S&B Brothers, LLC 24 Auvergne Newport Coast, CA 92657-1028 Attn: Sherwin Shoraka

San Bernardino County Service Area 42 P. O. Box 5004 Victorville, CA 92393-5004 Attn: Manuel M. Benitez

San Bernardino County Service Area 70L P. O. Box 5004 Victorville, CA 92393-5004 Attn: Manuel M. Benitez

Seals, William & Tibbett, Vicki Seals 22664 Litle Beaver Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: Vicki Seals Tibbett

Shaw, Robert M. & Lori A. Slater-Shaw 8502 E. Chapman Ave #312 Orange, CA 92869-Attn: Robert M. Shaw

Shintaku, Richard & Cheryl 32 Foxtrace Court Henderson, NV 89074-6283

Short, Jerome E. P. O. Box 495 Newberry Springs, CA 92365-0495

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster's Service List as of June 18, 2007

Silver Lakes Association P. O. Box 179 Helendale, CA 92342-0179 Attn: Steven Schoenbaum

Smith, Porter and Anita P.O. Box 232 Newberry Springs, CA 92365-0232

Son's Ranch P. O. Box 1767 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Chan Kyun Son

Specialty Minerals, Inc. P. O. Box 558 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Larry Ashby

Spring Valley Lake Association SVL Box 7001 Victorville, CA 92395-5107 Attn: Gordon Ryan

Steimle Family Trust, A.B. & Y.O. 2312 Steamlee Ave Long Beach, CA 90815-1935 Attn: Douglas Steimle

Summers Family Trust 1193 Hummingbird Lane Corona, CA 92882-Attn: Jimmy & Dotti Summers

Sunray Energy, Inc. P. O. Box 338 Daggett, CA 92327-0338 Attn: Eric Wills

Teisan, Jerry 111 Sierra Street El Segundo, CA 90245-4118

Thompson Living Trust, James A. & Sula B. 22815 Del Oro Road Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: James A. Thompson Simmons, Jack H. & Ethun, Claudia 18834 National Trails Highway Oro Grande, CA 92368 Attn: Jack H. Simmons

Smith, Robert A. 24543 Community Blvd. Hinkley, CA 92347

Soppeland, Wayne P. O. Box 667 Barstow, CA 92312-0667

Sperry, Wesley P. O. Box 303 Newberry Springs, CA 92365-0303

Spring Valley Lake Country Club 7070 SVL Box Victorville, CA 92395-5152 Attn: Mitch Brown

Storm, Randail 10080 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308

Summit Valley Ranch 13689 Highway 138 Summit Valley, CA 92345 Attn: Mark G. Eagleton

Tallakson, William V. & Elizabeth A. 11100 Alto Drive Oak View, CA 93022

Thayer, Sharon 955 N. 7th Street Colton, CA 92394

Thompson Living Trust, R.L. & R.A. 9141 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: Rodger Thompson SL Investment Group, LLC 347 S. Stimson Ave. City Of Industry, CA 91744-5423 Attn: Shen Shan

> Smith, William E. & Patricia A. 48788 Silver Valley Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Southern California Edison Company 14799 Chestnut Street Westminster, CA 92683-Attn: Cyndi Windell

Spillman, James R. & Nancy J. 12132 Wilshire Lucerene Valley, CA 92356

St. Antony Coptic Orthodox Monastery P. O. Box 100 Barstow, CA 92311-0100 Attn: Sabry S. Milik

Sudmeier, Glenn W. 14253 Highway 138 Hesperia, CA 92345

Sundown Lakes, Inc. 25672 Aurora Way Mission Viejo, CA 92691 Attn: Matthew Merwin

Tapie, Raymond L. 48919 Valley Center Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Thomas, Stephen & Lori 22144 Vanowen Street Canoga Park, CA 91303-

Thoreson, Robert F. & Kathleen 9768 Trail Rider Drive Las Vegas, NV 89117-6627 Attn: Robert Thoreson

Thunderbird County Water District P. O. Box 1105 Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Roy Shull

Trekell, Brian P. O. Box 1626 Apple Valley, CA 92307-0031

Udderly Gold Farms, LLC P.O. Box 729 McFarland, CA 93250-Attn: Ken Greenfield

Van Bastelaar, Alphonse 45449 Martin Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Van Dam, Eldert & Susan 26599 Community Blvd. Barstow, CA 92311-9779

Vernola Trust, Pat and Mary Ann P.O. Box 217 Upland, CA 91875-0217 Attn: Anthony Vernola

Victor Valley Water District 17185 Yuma Street Victorville, CA 92395-5886 Attn: Steven Borrowman

Visosky, Estate of Joseph F., Sr. 2694 E. Garvey Ave S., PMB 130 West Covina, CA 91791-2113 Attn: Thomas and Richard Visosky

Wagner Family Trust 16209 Kamana Road, Suite 210 Apple Valley, CA 92307-1394 Attn: Greg Holtz

Wang, Larry T. & Low, Jannette Y. 2551 Paljay Avenue Rosemead, CA 91770-Attn: Jannette Low Trahan, et al. 8024 Spring Valley Lake Victorville, CA 92395-Attn: Elaine Trahan

Triple H Partnership 33700 Wildwood Canyon Road Yucaipa, CA 92399 Attn: Jim Hoover

Union Pacific Railroad Company 1400 Douglas Street, Stop #1690 Omaha, NE 68179-1690 Attn: Rod Carroll

Van Berg, Jack C. 15400 Hwy 173 Hesperia, CA 92345-Attn: Rick Hoegerl

Van Leeuwen, John 1241 West Cedar Court Ontario, CA 91762

Victor Valley Community College District 18422 Bear Valley Road Victorville, CA 92393 Attn: Chris Hylton

Victorville, City Of 14343 Civic Drive Victorville, CA 92392-2303 Attn: Reginald A. Lamson

Vogler, et al. 10456 Deep Creek Road Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: Paul H. Johnson

Wakula, John & Helen 22595 Bryman Road Oro Grande, CA 92368

Ward, Ken & Barbara 14141 Highway 138 Hesperia, CA 92345

Thrasher, Gary 14024 Sunflower Lane Oro Grande, CA 92368

Transamerica Fin'l Svc - Spears, Larry B. & Erlinda 100 W. Broadway, Suite #1150 Glendale, CA 91210-1223 Attn: James Medrano

Troeger Family Trust, Richard H. P. O. Box 24 Wrightwood, CA 92397 Attn: Richard H. Troeger

Valentine, Vito 31801 Soapmine Road Barstow, CA 92311-

Van Dam Brothers 7316 West Ave D-8 Lancaster, CA 93536-Attn: Craig Van Dam

Vanhoy, Luther C. & Roberta L. 40400 Hinkley Road Hinkley, CA 92347

Victor Valley Memorial Park 17150 C Street Victorville, CA 92395-3330 Attn: Timothy M. Kurtz

Virosteck, Steve & Julie 32307 Foothill Rd. Lucerne Valley, CA 92356

Wackeen, Caesar 5615 Seaside Heights Drive Rancho Palos Verde, CA 90275-4934

Wala & Wala Company, LLC 3550 Lexington Ave North, Suite 208 Shoreview, MN 55126-Attn: Fazal Wala

Ward, Raymond P. O. Box 358 Newberry Springs, CA 92365

Weeraisinghe, Maithri N. P.O. Box 487 Barstow, CA 92312-0487

Werner, Andrew J. 1140 S. Hayworth Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90035-

Western Heritage, Inc. P. O. Box 250808 Glendale, CA 91225-0808 Attn: Elizabeth Lara

Westland Industries, Inc. 22838 Bear Valley Rd. #50 Apple Valley, CA 92308 Attn: Betty Jewell

Wiener, Melvin & Mariam S. 1626 N. Wilcox Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90028-6234

Witte, E. Daniel & Marcia 31911 Martino Drive Daggett, CA 92327

Wyatt Family Trust 13790 Chateau Court Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Denny Wyatt

Ykema Trust 23920 Community Blvd. Hinkley, CA 92347-9721 Attn: Ruth Ann Harmsen

Baker, Manock & Jensen 5260 N. Palm Avenue, 4th Floor Fresno, CA 93704-2209 Attn: Christopher L. Campbell, Esq. Wazzan, et al. 3838 Paseo Primario Calabasas, CA 91302-Attn: Faye Wazzan

Weidknecht, Arthur J. & Peggy A. P.O. Box 34 Amargosa Valley, NV 89020-0034

West, Howard & Suzy 21242 Jericho Road Oro Grande, CA 92368

Western Horizon Associates, Inc. P. O. Box 397 Five Points, CA 93624-0397 Attn: Chung Cho Gong

Wet Set, Inc. 3737 Birch Street, Suite 400 Newport Beach, CA 92660 Attn: Thomas G. Ferruzzo

Willow Wells Mutual Water Company P. O. Box 1732 Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 Attn: Kate Sacks

WLSR, Inc. 924 E. Newton Lane Placentia, CA 92670 Attn: Gary Adams

Yang, Young Mo 301 Elmhurst Place Fullerton, CA 92835

American AgCredit 5005 Canyon Crest Drive Riverside, CA 92507-Attn: Gary McNeely

Best, Best & Krieger P.O. Box 1028 Riverside, CA 92502 Attn: Eric Garner, Esq. Weems, Lizzie 41100 Elkhorn Street Newberry Springs, CA 92365-

Weiser, Sidney & Raquel 11346 White Cloud Dr. Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91701-

West, Jimmie E. & Nancy D. P. O. Box 218 Oro Grande, CA 92368-0218

Western Water Company 102 Washington Avenue Point Richmond, CA 94801-3947 Attn: Wilma Silveria

Whittingham, Richard V. 11220 Joshua St. Hesperia, CA 92345-0471

Wilshire Road Partners 9903 Santa Monica Blvd., PMB #541 Beverly Hills, CA 90212-1671 Attn: Manoucher Sarbaz

Worsey, Joseph A. & Revae P. O. Box 422 Newberry Springs, CA 92365-0422 Attn: David A. Worsey

Yermo Water Company P.O. Box 2559 Victorville, CA 92393 Attn: Jeff Bentow

Atkinson, Andelson, Loya-Ruud & Romo 3612 Mission Inn Avenue, Upper Level Riverside, CA 92501 Attn: W.W. Miller, Esq.

Brunick, McElhaney & Beckett 1839 Commercenter West P.O. Box 6425 San Bernardino, CA 92412 Attn: William J. Brunick, Esq.

Caldwell & Kennedy 15476 West Sand Street Victorville, CA 92392 Attn: Terry Caldwell, Esq.

Colantuono, Levin & Rozell, APC 555 W. 5th Street, 30th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90013 Attn: Michael G. Colantuono, Esq.

Department of Justice 300 S. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Attn: Marilyn Levin, Dep

Felger & Associates 726 West Barstow Avenue, # 106 Fresno, CA 93704 Attn: Warren P. Felger, Esq.

Gresham, Savage, Nolan & Tilden, LLP 3750 University Avenue, Suite 250 Riverside, CA 92501-3335 Attn: Michael D. Davis, Esq.

Hill, Farrer & Burrill 300 S. Grand Avenue, 37th Floor 1 California Plaza Los Angeles, CA 90071 Attn: Curtis Ballantyne, Esq.

Law Offices of Fred J. Knez P.O. Box 70090 Riverside, CA 92513 Attn: Fred J. Knez, Esq.

McCormick, Kidman & Behrens 695 Town Center Drive, Suite 1400 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Attn: Arthur G. Kidman, Esq.

Morgan & Newman 308 E. Williams Street Barstow, CA 92311 Attn: Paul Henderson, Esq.

Redwine & Sherrill 1950 Market Street Riverside, CA 92501 Attn: Steven B. Abbott, Esq. California Department of Transportation 100 South Main Street, Suite 1300 Los Angeles, CA 90012-3702 Attn: Alexander Devorkin, Esq.

Covington & Crowe 1131 West 6th Street Suite 300 Ontario, CA 91762 Attn: Robert E. Dougherty, Esq.

Downey, Brand, Seymour & Rohwer 555 Capital Mall, 10th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Attn: David Aladjem, Esq.

Ferruzzo & Worthe, LLP 3737 Birch Street, Suite 400 Newport Beach, CA 92660 Attn: Thomas G. Ferruzzo, Esq.

Gutierrez, Preciado & House 251 S. Lake Avenue, Suite 520 Pasadena, CA 91101-3003 Attn: Calvin R. House, Esq.

Kasdan, Simonds & Epstein, LLP 2600 Michelson Drive, Tenth Floor Irvine, CA 92612-6510 Attn: Barry C. Vaughan, Esq.

Law Offices of Hagner & Hearne 910 E. Parr Blvd., Suite 8 Reno, NV 89512 Attn: Treva J. Hearne, Esq.

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster 22450 Headquarters Drive P.O. Box 2016 Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Valerie L. Wiegenstein

Nossam, Guthner, Knox & Elliott 445 S. Figueroa Street, 31st Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1062 Attn: Frederic A. Fudacz, Esq.

Richards, Watson & Gershon I Civic Center Circle P.O. Box 1059 Brea, CA 92822-1059 Attn: James L. Markman, Esq. California Farm Bureau Federation 2300 River Plaza Drive Sacramento, CA 95833 Attn: Nancy McDonough

Cox, Castle & Nicholson 2049 Century Park East, 28th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Attn: Ed Dygert, Esq.

Ducommun, Inc. 23301 S. Wilmington Avenue Carson, CA 90745 Attn: James S. Heiser, Esq.

Green, de Bortnowsky & Quintanilla, LLP 23801 Calabasas Road, Suite 1015 Calabasas, CA 91302-Attn: William P Medlen, Esq.

Hatch & Parent 21 E. Carrillo Street P. O. Drawer 720 Santa Barbara, CA 93102-0720 Attn: Russell M. McGlothlin, Esq.

Lagerlof, Senecal, Bradley, Gosney & Kruse, LLP 301 N. Lake Avenue, 10th Floor Pasadena, CA 91101-4108 Attn: Thomas S. Bunn, Esq.

Law Offices of Robert C. Hawkins 110 Newport Center Drive, Suite 200 Newport, CA 92660 Attn: Robert C. Hawkins, Esq.

Mojave Water Agency 22450 Headquarters Drive Apple Valley, CA 92307 Attn: Kirby Brill

Office of the Attorney General 1515 Clay Street - 20th Floor Oakland, CA 94612 Attn: Joseph Barbieri, Dep.

Rutan & Tucker P.O. Box 1950 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Attn: Elizabeth Hanna, Esq.

San Bernardino County Counsel 385 N. Arrowhead Avenue, 4th Floor San Bernardino, CA 92415-0140 Attn: Tom Krahelski, Esq.

Southern California Edison Company Legal Department P.O. Box 800 Rosemead, CA 91770 Attn: Nino Moscolo, Esq.

The Hegner Law Firm 14350 Civc Drive Victorville, CA 92392 Attn: Rick Ewaniszyk, Esq.

Vander Dussen Trust, Agnes & Edward P.O. Box 5338 Blue Jay, CA 92317-Attn: Agnes Vander Dussen Koetsier

Weston, Benshoof, Rochefort, Rubalcava & MacCuish, LLP 333 South Hope Street, 16th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Attn: Peter A. Nyquist, Esq. Sempra Energy Law Department 101 Ash Street San Diego, CA 92101 Attn: Woodrow D. Smith, Esq.

Southern California Gas Company Transmission Environmental Consultant P. O. Box 2300, ML9314 Los Angeles, CA 91313-2300 Attn: Mary Howard

Therese Exline Parker, Esq. Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1318 Upland, CA 91785 Attn: Therese Exline Parker, Esq.

Victor Valley Watewater Reclamation Authority 20111 Shay Road Victorville, CA 92392 Attn: Dan Gallagher Somach, Simons & Dunn Hall Of Justice Building 813 Sixth Street, Third Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Attn: Sandra K. Dunn, Esq.

Stetson Engineers, Inc. P.O. Box 1257 San Juan Capistrano, CA 92693-1257 Attn: Tom Stetson, P.E.

Van Blarcom, Leibold, McClendon & Mann, PC 23422 Mill Creek Dr., Ste 105 Laguna Hills, CA 92653-7920 Attn: Ronald A. Van Blarcom, Esq.

Wagner & Bonsignore Consulting Civil Engineers 444 N. Third Street, Suite 325 Sacramento, CA 95814 Attn: Robert C. Wagner, P.E.

ATTACHMENT F

WATERMASTER

CONSUMPTIVE WATER USE STUDY AND PRODUCTION SAFE YIELD UPDATE

2017-18 WATER YEAR

May 1, 2019

Prepared by

Robert C. Wagner, Watermaster Engineer Wagner & Bonsignore Consulting Civil Engineers, A Corporation Mojave Basin Area Watermaster



Nicholas F. Bonsignore, P.E. Robert C. Wagner, P.E. Paula J. Whealen David H. Peterson, CEG, CHG David P. Lounsbury, P.E. Vincent Maples, P.E. Patrick W. Ervin, P.E. Martin Berber, P.E. Ryan E. Stolfus

James C. Hanson, P.E. Henry S. Matsunaga

May 1, 2019

Tom McCarthy, Executive Officer Mojave Basin Area Watermaster 13846 Conference Center Drive Apple Valley, CA 92307-4377

Dear Mr. McCarthy,

This letter transmits the report titled "Consumptive Water User Study and Production Safe Yield Update, 2017-18 Water Year." The report provides a detailed evaluation of the consumptive use of water in each of the five subareas and establishes the Production Safe Yield for determining adjustments to Free Production Allowance.

The report was completed pursuant to the Courts request at the hearing of July 6, 2018. Status reports were given to the Court regarding the progress on completion on October 12, 2018 and January 31, 2019.

The following individuals provided information, analysis and support in preparation of this document. Their efforts were essential to the report's timely preparation and completion.

Valerie Wiegenstein, Watermaster Services Manager Jeffrey D. Ruesch, Database Program Administrator, Watermaster Emmett Campbell, Senior Watermaster Specialist David Seielstad, Senior Watermaster Specialist Jesse Gebauer, Geoscientist, Wagner & Bonsignore Consulting Engineers

Very truly yours,

Robert C. Wagner, P.E., Watermaster Engineer WAGNER & BONSIGNORE CONSULTING CIVIL ENGINEERS

Table of Contents

Consumptive Water Use Study and Production Safe Yield Update	1
Background and Extent of Investigation	1
Water Supply	3
Surface Water Inflow, Gaged and Ungaged	3
Subsurface Inflow	3
Deep Percolation of Precipitation	4
Total Estimated Production	4
Consumptive Water Use and Outflow	4
Water Supply Surplus/Deficit	5
Production Safe Yield	5
Results	5
Imported Water Supply	6
References	7

Appendices

- A. Evaluation of Subsurface Flows Between Subareas
- B. Consumptive Water Use Analysis for 2017-18
- C. Consumptive Water Use for Individual Producers, 2017-18
- D. Mojave River Discharge at Various Points, 1931-2018

Attachments

- 1. Table 5-1 from Watermaster Annual Report, Production Safe Yield Update
- 2. Table C-1 of Judgment, Sample Calculation, Subarea Hydrological Inventory
- Table 1 from "Consumptive Water Use Study and Update of Production Safe Yield Calculations for the Mojave Basin Area", Albert A. Webb Associates, February 16, 2000
- 4. Figure 3-10 from Watermaster Annual Report, Transition Zone Water Balance



Consumptive Water Use Study and Production Safe Yield Update

Background and Extent of Investigation

The Judgment After Trial, January 1996 (Judgment) requires that Watermaster annually review conditions in the five Subareas of the Mojave Basin Area (MBA) for the purpose of establishing Free Production Allowance (FPA) for the next water year (water year commences October 1 of each year). Watermaster staff and engineer compile water production information for each party to the Judgment, evaluate land uses, sequential water uses and make estimates of consumptive use. In addition, elements of water supply, obligations under the Judgment and water levels in various wells throughout the Basin Area are evaluated.

The Judgment required that Production Safe Yield (PSY) be re-evaluated after 5 years to account for land use changes and possible changes in water supply conditions. In February 2000, Albert A. Webb Associates (Webb) prepared a report and update of the consumptive uses and estimates of the PSY for the 5 subareas established in the Judgement. The report presented herein is an update to the estimates by Webb.

The PSY calculation is defined in the Judgment and generally includes the 60-year average water supply (1931-1990) based on the published records of the USGS at West Fork, Mojave River and Deep Creek Mojave River, gaging stations (Appendix D). It is assumed that the water supply that occurred during the 60-year hydrologic base period will repeat in the future. The calculation assumes that the cultural conditions (pumping, patterns of water use, land uses, riparian water demands) for a given year are consistent throughout the 60-year hydrologic period.

The current investigation is primarily focused on changes in use by producers, changes in consumptive use, and return flow. In general water supply assumptions, for long term supply, made by Webb and established at trial are mostly unchanged. Specific changes to the elements of water supply use and disposal as reported by Webb and as estimated by Watermaster Engineer are shown on Table 1 (and shown in final form as Table 5-1 of the Watermaster Annual Report, included herein as Attachment 1).

Details of water supply and the estimated consumptive use for each producer in each subarea are discussed in more detail under various sections of this report. An evaluation of the long-term changes in groundwater gradients at subarea boundary locations is provided in the section on subsurface flow.
TABLE 1

Production Safe Yield Update Based on Long-Term Average Natural Water Supply and Outflow, and Imports, Consumptive Use, and Production for 2018

W	ATER SUPPLY	Este	Oeste	Alto	Centro	Baja	Basin Totals
		1 700	1 500	69,100	34,700	14,400	72,700
	Surface Water Inflow	1,700	1,500	68,500 ¹	33,600 ²	17,358 ³	72,652 4
	Subsurface Inflow	0	0	1,000	2,000	1,200 1,581 ⁵	0 6
	Deep Percolation of Precipitation ¹	0	0	3,500	0	100	3,600
	Turrente	2,630	0	1,620	θ	0	4,250
	Imports	2,000	0	2,234	2,262 8	0	6,496
	TOTAL	3,700	1,500	75,234	37,862	19,039	82,748
C A	ONSUMPTIVE USE ND OUTFLOW	Este	Oeste	Alto	Centro	Baja	Basin Totals
	Surface Water	0	0	34,700	14,000	8,200	8,200
	Outflow	0	0	33,600 ²	16,406 8	5,372 ⁹	5,372
	Subaurfaas Outflaw	825	350	2 000	1,200	0	0
	Subsurface Outflow	200	800	2,000	1,581 5	0	0
	Consumptive use						
	A grigulturg10	3,900	2,300	7,900	13,000	20,800	47,900
	Agriculture	2,327	1,208	1,311	8,895	17,664	31,405
	Urban ^{10,11}	2,200	1,300	40,700	8,500	7,900	60,600
	Ulbali "	1,500	1,724	40,603	7,557	6,338	57,722
	Phreatophytes ¹³	0	0	11,000	3,000	2,000	16,000
	TOTAL	4,027	3,732	88,514	37,439	31,374	110,499
n		(2,595)	(2,450)	(20,905)	(3,000)	(23,200)	(52,150)
51	irplus / (Deficit)	(327)	(2,232)	(13,280)	423	(12,335)	(27,751)
Te	otal Estimated	9,751	6,502	90,767	36,375	43,879	187,274
Pı	oduction ¹⁴	5,055	3,944	77,686	20,665	24,524	131,874
P	RODUCTION SAFE	7,156	4,052	69,862	33,375	20,679	135,12 4
Y	IELD ¹⁵	4,728	1,712	64,406	21,088	12,189	104,123

(all amounts in acre-feet)

See Attachment 1 for final Production Safe Yield table with footnotes.

Water Supply

As indicated on Table 1, water supply includes gaged and ungaged inflow, subsurface flow, deep percolation of precipitation, and certain imports. Return flow is also an element of supply and is included as water production less consumptive use.

Surface Water Inflow, Gaged and Ungaged

Surface water inflow to the Basin Area is the measured flow of the Mojave River at the Forks and is the sum of the reported discharge of Deep Creek and West Fork Mojave River as recorded by the USGS stream gages (Appendix D). Surface water inflow to Este and Oeste is estimated based on information developed before trial and by Webb. Surface inflow to Este and Oeste is ungaged. Surface water supply to Oeste is from the Sheep Creek watershed. Surface water supply to Centro is estimated at the Helendale fault from the USGS gaging station records at Lower Narrows and adjustments for consumptive uses in the Transition Zone and contributions from discharges by Victor Valley Wastewater Treatment Plant (VVWRA). Surface water supply to Baja is estimated from USGS gaging station records at Barstow and adjustments for losses between the Barstow gage and Waterman fault.

Ungaged inflow to Alto is estimated from Webb and from the Judgment. Webb estimated ungaged inflow to Alto to be 3,500 acre-feet; the estimate in the Judgment is 3,000 acre-feet. For this report, ungaged inflow to Alto is estimated to be 3,000 acre-feet. USGS (Stamos 2001) estimated ungaged inflow to Alto for the 60-year period (1931-1990) and for the 69 years 1931-1968) to be about 2,400 acre-feet. The ungaged inflow to Alto is subject to further evaluation but we believe 3,000 acre-feet is more representative than the estimate by Webb and may overstate the actual amount.

Subsurface Inflow

Subsurface inflow to the Basin Area is estimated based on long term average water levels at subarea boundaries. Estimates of subsurface flow as indicated in the Judgment (Exhibit G), are considered to be representative of the current subsurface flows except for the Centro to Baja subarea. USGS modeling (Stamos 2001) estimated the total subsurface inflow to Baja including subsurface flow from Centro to be 1,581 acre-feet. Additional investigations in 2006 and 2019 by Watermaster Engineer (Subsurface Flows between Subareas, Appendix A) substantiated the estimates in Exhibit G of the Judgment. Subsurface inflow to Alto from Este (200 acre-feet) and from Oeste (800 acre-feet) is assumed to be unchanged from the estimates made for the Judgment. The subsurface flow from Alto to Centro is assumed to be 2,000 acre-feet, unchanged from the Judgment.

The basic methodology to estimate subsurface flow is to calculate a groundwater gradient at the subarea boundaries. Generally, the hydraulic properties of the soil medium are unchanged over time and the saturated thickness of the water bearing material is also relatively unchanged. Therefore, if the water levels measured in the same wells over different time periods do not change, the estimated subsurface flow will also not change.

Deep Percolation of Precipitation

As reported by USGS and by California Department of Water Resources (DWR) precipitation falling on the desert floor is 100% consumed by native vegetation or soil evaporation and therefore does not contribute to a subarea's water supply. DWR assumed that all precipitation less than 8 inches would be consumed and therefore estimates the deep percolation of precipitation only for areas exceeding 8 inches of average annual rainfall (DWR, Bulletin 84, 1967). DWR estimated the deep percolation of precipitation to be 3,500 acre-feet per year. Unless there are significant changes in land use in the upper watershed area where average annual precipitation exceeding 8 inches occurs, we will continue to include 3,500 acre-feet per year as part of supply. However, further study would be required to refine this value. In general precipitation throughout the Basin Area is less than 8 inches, averaging 6 to 4 inches or less in most areas. With the exception of the amount reported by DWR, there is very little supply from precipitation falling on the desert floor. Long term average annual precipitation is reported in the Watermaster Annual Report (WMAR) for Lake Arrowhead (upper Alto watershed), Victorville and Barstow (Annual Report, Figures 3-1 and 3-2). Average precipitation at Victorville is 5.42 inches and 4.54 inches at Barstow.

Total Estimated Production

Total water production is compiled annually for each producer and is the basis for estimating consumptive use of production. The total estimated production in the Mojave Basin Area for the 2017-18 water year was 131,874 acre-feet. This is down from 187,300 acre-feet of total production in the 1996-97 water year. Verified water production by individual producers is reported in the WMAR on Appendix B. During 2017-18, water production within the 5 subareas, excluding minimal producers was:

Este	4,101 acre-feet
Oeste	3,706 acre-feet
Alto	74,317 acre-feet
Centro	19,111 acre-feet
Baja	22,296 acre-feet

Minimal producers pumped an estimated 7,077 acre-feet. Consumptive use of minimal producers is included the PSY calculation.

Consumptive Water Use and Outflow

Outflow from each subarea is shown on Table 1. Total outflow from the Basin Area is measured at the USGS gage at Afton about 6 miles downstream from the Mojave Basin Area (MBA) boundary in Baja. Outflow from Alto to Centro is determined by a separate water balance calculation for the Transition Zone (TZ), (Definition of Transition Zone, see Judgment page 13). The water balance for the TZ is described in the WMAR on pages 23 and 24, and includes Figures 3-6 through 3-9. Figure 3-10 of the WMAR shows the result of this water balance analysis since 1991 (see Attachment 4).

The methodology for determining consumptive use and the total amount by type of use and by Subarea is included in Appendix B. Detailed evaluation of the consumptive water use for each producer is listed in Appendix C.

Water Supply Surplus/Deficit

The difference between the elements of water supply (inflow), outflow and consumptive results in either a surplus, or a deficit. The surplus/deficit for each subarea is shown on Table 5-1 of the WMAR (Attachment 1).

Production Safe Yield

The production safe yield for water year 2017-18 for all subareas was 104,123 acre-feet compared to 135,124 acre-feet in the 1996-97 water year. PSY is calculated as the difference between total pumping in a subarea and the deficit between total water supply and consumptive use and outflow. The results and recommendation for PSY are shown on Table 5-1 (Attachment 1).

Elements of supply included in PSY include certain imports that have been long term reliable supplies but could be interrupted. Wastewater effluent discharged to the MBA in Alto by Lake Arrowhead Community Services District (LACSD), and wastewater effluent discharged to Este by Big Bear Area Wastewater Reclamation Authority (BBAWRA), is included in the PSY calculation for those subareas. The amounts of discharge are reported in the WMAR page 20. PSY for 2018 is considered representative for future planning. Changes that occur in the annual amount discharged by these entities are evaluated annually and reported in the WMAR.

<u>Results</u>

The results of this investigation including changes to supply and consumptive uses are show below. The updated PSY as indicated on Table 5-1 (Attachment 1) for each subarea is as follows:

Este	4,728 acre-feet
Oeste	1,712 acre-feet
Alto	64,406 acre-feet
Centro	21,088 acre-feet
Baja	12,189 acre-feet

Imported Water Supply

In the 2017-18 water year, the Mojave Water Agency purchased and released 14,998 acre-feet of State Water Project Water into the Mojave River within the Alto Subarea, 165 acre-feet in the Centro Subarea, and 86 acre-feet in Baja. Water imported by MWA, or for certain storage accounts is not included in the PSY calculation, except that water imported for High Desert Power Plant is included to the extent of the consumptive use for HDPP (considered 100% for cooling for power generation).

References

- Department of Water Resources (DWR). (1967). Mojave River Ground Water Basins Investigation. Bulletin No. 84.
- State of California Superior Court. (1996). Mojave Basin Area Adjudication. Judgment After Trial. City of Barstow, et al. v. City of Adelanto, et al. Riverside County Superior Court Case No. 208568. January 10.
- Stamos, C. L., Martin, P., Nishikawa, T., & Cox, B. F. (2001). Simulation of ground-water flow in the Mojave River basin, California. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report, 01-4002, version 3. doi:10.3133/wri014002
- Webb, Albert A. Associates (2000). Consumptive Water Use Study and Update of Production Safe Yield Calculations for the Mojave Basin Area.

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster (2019). Twenty-fifth Annual Report for Water Year 2017-18.

APPENDIX A

Evaluation of Subsurface Flows Between Subareas Mojave Basin Production Safe Yield Update

Evaluation of Subsurface Flows Between Subareas Mojave Basin Production Safe Yield Update

Introduction

As part of a Production Safe Yield update for the Mojave Basin, an evaluation of the subsurface (groundwater) flows between subareas was performed. An evaluation of subsurface flows was previously performed by Ernest M. Weber as part of the Albert A. Webb Associates (Webb) *Consumptive Use Water Study and Update of Production Safe Yield Calculations for the Mojave Basin Area* in 2000. The purpose of the Webb study was to evaluate, and if applicable, update Table C-1 of the Judgement after Trial (1996) to reflect cultural conditions on the long-term subsurface flow between subareas. The five subareas evaluated in the Webb report relied on 1998 groundwater elevation data obtained from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) as well as aquifer hydraulic parameters (transmissivity) developed in a USGS study by Hardt (1971).

In addition to the subsurface inflow/outflow data in Table C-1 and the analysis by Webb, subsurface flow through the Centro Subarea was analyzed in a 2005 study by California State University Fullerton (CSUF; Napoli and Laton, 2005). The current update incorporates and expands on the findings of this prior study by CSUF and further evaluates potential changes in water levels and flow gradients across the Centro-Baja Subarea boundary through 2016. Additionally, a study by the USGS (Stamos and others, 2001) was reviewed to further evaluate inflow data to the Baja Subarea.

In the prior studies by Webb (2000) and Napoli and Laton (2005), subsurface flows across the subarea boundaries were calculated using a form of Darcy's equation. In this equation, the flow across the boundary in gallons per day Q = TWI; where W is the width of aquifer at the basin boundary in feet; T (transmissivity) is the hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer material times the saturated thickness of the aquifer, expressed in gallons per day per foot of aquifer width; and I is the slope of the groundwater surface (i.e., the gradient). Of these parameters, the hydraulic conductivity and physical configuration of the basin boundary are not expected to change significantly over time. The variables that would be expected to affect short-term changes in groundwater flow across the subarea boundaries are the saturated thickness of the aquifer (which would change with changes in groundwater levels) and changes in the flow gradient. The basic premise of this update is that if groundwater levels and gradients have not changed since the prior studies, the subsurface flow previously estimated from those studies would also not have changed.

The work of Webb relied on 1998 groundwater data developed by the USGS. The current update also incorporates 1998 USGS groundwater data from a regional water table map, as well as subsequent maps for the years up to 2016 (the last published water table map by USGS) and historical water level data (well hydrographs) maintained by Mojave Water Agency. In addition, the USGS water table map for 1996 was reviewed, in addition to groundwater level hydrographs for wells near the subarea boundaries for years prior to 1998. From the preliminary review, we concluded that the conditions between 1996 (Judgement after Trial) and 1998 (update by Webb) were essentially unchanged. For the Centro-Baja subareas, water table maps and gradient calculations developed in the study by Napoli and Laton (CSUF, 2005) were also reviewed. For

our analysis of the Centro-Baja subareas, groundwater data from the USGS were compared to those developed by Napoli and Laton and were also updated using USGS data through 2016.

Subsurface Flow – Este to Alto and Oeste to Alto

In the Judgement after Trial (Table C-1, 1996), inflow to Alto Subarea was estimated at 1,000 acre-ft/yr, with 200 acre-ft/yr from Este subarea and 800 acre-ft/yr from Oeste. The subsurface flow from Este to Alto Subarea was calculated by Webb using average transmissivities across the basin boundary from a USGS study by Hardt (1971) and 1998 water level data from USGS. Webb's estimates of subsurface flow across the boundary ranged from 545 acre-ft/yr, up to 1,385 acre-ft/yr. Using an average transmissivity, the subsurface inflow from Este Subarea was estimated by Webb at 825 acre-ft/yr.

For this current update, the USGS water table maps for the vicinity of the Este-Alto subarea boundary for the years 1998 and 2016 were compared (see Figure 1). The USGS maps show the 2,825 groundwater elevation contour as lying at the boundary of the subareas. It was noted that the position of the 2,825 and 2,900 groundwater elevation contours were little changed between 1998 and 2016 water table maps. The gradient (slope) calculated between the two elevation contours for the years 1998 (0.0026 ft/ft) and 2016 (0.0027 ft/ft) were essentially the same. Based on this comparison, it appears that water levels and gradients across the Este-Alto subarea boundary in 1998 and 2016 are little changed.

The Webb report noted that the boundary between Oeste and Alto Subareas is roughly along Sheep Creek, where a groundwater mound is present. They concluded that no flow occurred across most of this boundary, except in a northern, two-mile segment of the boundary, south of the Alto-Transition Zone boundary. In this area, Webb adjusted the flow to account for the flat slope of the gradient across the boundary. The subsurface flow was estimated at about 350 acre-ft/yr.

Comparison of the 1998 and 2016 groundwater table maps by USGS indicate that the elevation and gradient of the water table at the Oeste-Alto boundary is little changed (see Figure 2). The location of the 2,800 and 2,900 groundwater elevation contours are nearly unchanged, with a groundwater gradient ranging from about 0.0047 to 0.0062 feet per foot between contours. Review of MWA hydrograph maps also show little change in water levels near the subarea boundary since the early 1990s. Webb estimated subsurface inflow from Este and Oeste at 1,175 acre-ft/yr, somewhat higher overall than 1,000 acre-ft/yr listed on Table C-1 of the Judgement after Trial. However, as discussed, the subsurface flows estimated in the Webb update is an average based on a range of possible transmissivities of the aquifer.

Subsurface Flow – Alto to Centro

The boundary of the Alto and Centro subareas is defined by the Helendale Fault. The subsurface flow across the boundary was assumed to be 2,000 acre-ft/yr in Table C-1 of the Judgement after Trial and was accepted by Webb in their study. Groundwater elevations and gradients in the Floodplain Aquifer along the Mojave River were compared in the current update using the 1998 and 2016 USGS water table maps (see Figure 3). In comparing the 1998 and 2016 data, the

location of the 2,400 and 2,500 groundwater elevation contours just up gradient of the Helendale Fault were noted to be little changed. The gradient was also calculated to be 0.0032 ft/ft during the two periods. The hydrogeologic conditions between 1998 and 2016 appear essentially unchanged and the subsurface flow presented in Table C-1 and in Webb's report would then also appear to be unchanged.

Well hydrographs developed by USGS and MWA indicate that water levels in several wells completed within the shallow Floodplain Aquifer are influenced by large storm events and rise rapidly after those events (such as in 2005 and 2010-11). Water levels then slowly decline over the next several years, until the next large storm event. Overall, when peak water levels were compared after storm events, they appeared to be similar. It appeared that water levels recovered after episodic large storm events, with the highest water levels generally consistent over time.

Subsurface Flow – Centro to Baja

The report by Webb accepted the subsurface flows listed in Table C-1 of the Judgement after Trial, which is estimated at 1,200 acre-ft/yr. The net subsurface inflow to Baja was subsequently revised to 1,581 acre-ft/yr, based on groundwater studies by the USGS.

In their study of groundwater flow between the Centro and Baja Subareas, Napoli and Laton (CSUF, 2005) prepared groundwater elevation contour maps and calculated flow gradients for the years 1960, 1993, and 2004. During this period, the flow gradient across the boundary (defined as the Waterman Fault; also referred to on the USGS maps as the Camp Rock-Harper Lake fault) was calculated by CSUF (Napoli and Laton, 2005) to range from 0.0047 ft/ft. (1960) to 0.0052 ft/ft (1993 and 2004), which amounts to only a variation of 0.0005 ft/ft over a 43-year period. Based on their analysis, the CSUF report concluded that groundwater levels had been stable across the Centro Subarea over the period from1990 to 2005. Further, since Centro Subarea had seen no substantial change in water levels "then it can be said that no change in flow across the subarea boundary has occurred" (Napoli and Laton, CSUF, 2005).

It should be noted that the transect used to calculate gradient in the 2005 study by Napoli and Laton is somewhat oblique to groundwater contours and so the groundwater flow gradient calculated by them is somewhat flatter than if the transect were drawn perpendicular to contours (to measure the maximum slope of the water table). In addition, the transect selected by Napoli and Laton crosses the Waterman Fault/subarea boundary, so that the gradient calculated is actually an average value of a somewhat flatter gradient west of the fault/subarea boundary and somewhat steeper gradient east of the fault/boundary.

As part of the current update, additional flow gradients were calculated using USGS water table maps, along the same transect as shown on the Napoli and Laton (2005) report, for the years 1998, 2006, and 2016 (see Figure 4). For those years, the calculated gradients ranged from 0.0045 ft/ft. to 0.0053 ft/ft., essentially the same as calculated by Napoli and Laton.

To be consistent with historical gradient comparisons at other subarea boundaries, USGS water level data were also used to compare 1998 and 2016 gradients within the easternmost Centro

subarea, just west of the Waterman fault/subarea boundary. For those years, the average calculated gradient was nearly identical, at 0.0023 ft/ft in 1998 and 0.0025 ft/ft in 2016.

The position of 2,020 and 2,150 groundwater elevation contours on the USGS maps, which are located just west of the Waterman Fault and Centro-Baja boundary, were compared for the years 1998 and 2016 (see Figure 4). The position of the groundwater level contours shifted only very slightly between the years analyzed, which supports the conclusion by CSUF that water levels within the Centro Subarea have not changed substantially over time. The annual subsurface flow across the subarea boundary appears to be little changed since 1998, as concluded by Napoli and Laton (CSUF, 2005) and the current update.

Conclusions

Although the water table maps by USGS reveal groundwater pumping depressions and areas of local groundwater declines within the Mojave basin, the current analysis found little change in groundwater levels or gradients at the subarea boundaries evaluated. In particular, the current analysis indicates that subsurface flows through the Alto Transition Zone to Centro Subareas, and from the Centro to Baja Subareas remain essentially unchanged from the prior evaluations by Webb in 2000, Stamos and others (USGS, 2001), and CSUF (Napoli and Laton) in 2005. Therefore, it appears that the subsurface component of the subarea obligations called for in the Judgement continue to be met.

References

- Webb, Albert A. Associates, 2000, Consumptive Water Use Study and Update of Production Safe Yield Calculations for the Mojave Basin Area: Consultant's report to the Mojave Basin Area Watermaster, dated February 16, 2000, 234p.
- Hardt, W.F., 1971, Hydrologic Analysis of Mojave River Basin, California, Using Electric Analog Model: U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report 72-157, 91p.
- Mojave Water Agency, 2018, Subarea Hydrograph Maps: <u>http://www.mojavewater.org/subarea-hydrograph-gallery.html</u>
- Napoli, N. and Laton, W.R., 2005, Groundwater Flow Between Basins Report, Mojave River Basin, Centro – Baja Hydrologic Subareas: California State University Fullerton, report to the Mojave Water Agency, October 2005, 43p., with illustrations.
- Stamos, C.L., Martin, P., Nishikawa, T., and Cox, B.F., 2001, Simulation of Ground-Water Flow in the Mojave River Basin, California: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 01-4002, Version 3, 137p., with illustrations.
- U.S. Geological Survey, 1992 to 2016, Regional Water Table (Year) in the Mojave River and Morongo Groundwater Basins, Southwestern Mojave Desert, California: Scientific Investigations Map Series (various lead authors), Scale 1:170,000: available at: <u>https://ca.water.usgs.gov/mojave/mojave-water-levels.html</u>
- Weber, E.M. (Supervising Geologist), 1967, Mojave River Groundwater Basins Investigation: California Department of Water Resources, Bulletin 84, 196p, with figures and tables.









APPENDIX B

Consumptive Water Use Analysis for 2017-18

Consumptive Water Use Analysis for 2017-18

Introduction

The purpose of this update to the consumptive water use values for the Mojave Basin Area Watermaster for the 2017-18 water year is to refine estimates of consumptive use and return flow and ultimately re-calculate Production Safe Yield (PSY). The area of study is the five subareas of the Mojave Basin Area as identified in the Judgment After Trial - January 10, 1996. These subareas are Este, Oeste, Alto, Centro, and Baja. Each of these subareas are hydrologically connected, yet, they are climatologically distinct. Consumptive water use for all the water production in the Mojave Basin Area was estimated based on the water use type and location.

Some portion of the water applied to beneficial uses is lost to the water supply system. Consumptive Water Use is the evapotranspiration and the evaporation of water applied to beneficial uses. This is the water permanently removed from the system. The difference between water produced (pumped from the ground) and water consumed is return flow; return flow is considered part of the supply to the extent that it returns to the groundwater body.

The consumptive use crop unit values for irrigated acres is estimated using the Consumptive Use Program Plus (CUP+) from the California Department of Water Resources (DWR). The climate data used for CUP+ is from the California Irrigation Management Information System (CIMIS) for the Victorville and Newberry Springs stations and the crop coefficients for various crop types are from the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations 56 (FAO 56). CUP+ in conjunction with CIMIS data utilized the Penman-Monteith equation to calculate a reference evapotranspiration value along with an applied water use value for each crop type. The consumptive use unit values for each subarea including the Transition Zone can be found on Tables 1 through 6.

Reference evapotranspiration calculated by CIMIS differs from the output of DWR's CUP+. CIMIS uses a modified Penman equation (referred to as the "CIMIS Penman equation"), while CUP+ uses a modified Penman-Monteith equation to calculate reference evapotranspiration. In addition, in order to complete the monthly climatological record, missing daily climate values were manually computed as the average of the previous day and the following day. On occasions when there was missing climatological data for many consecutive days, climate data was filled with data from the nearest CIMIS station.

For agriculture, a land use study using CUP+ applied water values and aerial photography were used to determine how much water should have been used if a crop is 100% efficient and is being irrigated to obtain optimal yield and coverage. For much of the Mojave Basin Area, crops are under-irrigated and this can be seen by the quality of the crop where there may be poor coverage (dead spots) or a crop may be fallowed during certain parts of the year. This is especially true for the Baja subarea where many crops may be grown for only one quarter or where orchards may appear under-irrigated to the point where many trees may have died. For this report, the assumptions made for orchards are that the trees are mature, that the coverage of trees is optimal, and that the size and quality of the fruit (or nut) is high. If any of these conditions are not met, the orchard is most likely being under-irrigated, and therefore, does not contribute to any return flow.

TABLE 1 MOJAVE BASIN AREA WATERMASTER ESTIMATED UNIT CROP DEMAND BASED ON DWR'S CUP+ PROGRAM WATER YEAR 2017-18 ALTO SUBAREA

		$ET_{O}^{(1)}(ft)$											
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Total
Reference Evapotranspiration	0.39	0.26	0.21	0.21	0.27	0.36	0.58	0.65	0.84	0.85	0.83	0.65	6.10
						I	ET _{AW} ⁽¹⁾	(ft)					
Сгор	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Total
Alfalfa	0.39	0.26	0.06	0.16	0.26	0.32	0.58	0.65	0.84	0.83	0.83	0.65	5.83
Grass	0.31	0.21	0.15	0.13	0.21	0.26	0.47	0.52	0.67	0.66	0.67	0.52	4.78
Other Orchard	0.16					0.20	0.46	0.62	0.93	0.96	0.96	0.69	4.98
Pasture	0.37	0.24	0.11	0.15	0.24	0.30	0.56	0.62	0.80	0.78	0.79	0.62	5.58
Row Crops						0.25	0.47	0.54	0.74	0.74	0.63		3.37

⁽¹⁾ Evapotranspiration of Applied Water (ET_{AW}) results found using DWR's CUP+ Program Version 6.9 based on daily climate data measured at the Victorville CIMIS Station, soil properties found using USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey program, and crop development data from FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56 (Allen, R.K., L.S. Pereira, D. Raes, and M. Smith, 1998). Daily weather data from CIMIS was used by CUP+ to compute daily Reference Evapotranspiration (ETO) using the Penman-Montieth equation.

TABLE 2 MOJAVE BASIN AREA WATERMASTER ESTIMATED UNIT CROP DEMAND BASED ON DWR'S CUP+ PROGRAM WATER YEAR 2017-18 TRANSITION ZONE

		$\mathrm{ET_{O}^{(1)}}\left(\mathrm{ft}\right)$											
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Total
Reference Evapotranspiration	0.39	0.26	0.21	0.21	0.27	0.36	0.58	0.65	0.84	0.85	0.83	0.65	6.10
		ET _{AW} ⁽¹⁾ (ft)											
Сгор	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Total
Alfalfa	0.39	0.26	0.17	0.16	0.26	0.32	0.58	0.65	0.84	0.83	0.83	0.65	5.94
Grass	0.31	0.21	0.12	0.13	0.20	0.25	0.47	0.52	0.67	0.66	0.67	0.52	4.73
Pasture	0.37	0.24	0.06	0.15	0.24	0.30	0.56	0.62	0.80	0.79	0.79	0.62	5.54

⁽¹⁾ Evapotranspiration of Applied Water (ET_{AW}) results found using DWR's CUP+ Program Version 6.9 based on daily climate data measured at the Victorville CIMIS Station, soil properties found using USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey program, and crop development data from FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56 (Allen, R.K., L.S. Pereira, D. Raes, and M. Smith, 1998). Daily weather data from CIMIS was used by CUP+ to compute daily Reference Evapotranspiration (ETO) using the Penman-Montieth equation.

TABLE 3 MOJAVE BASIN AREA WATERMASTER ESTIMATED UNIT CROP DEMAND BASED ON DWR'S CUP+ PROGRAM WATER YEAR 2017-18 BAJA SUBAREA

	$\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{O}^{(1)}}\left(\mathrm{ft}\right)$												
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Total
Reference Evapotranspiration	0.57	0.38	0.30	0.27	0.38	0.49	0.78	0.87	1.05	1.01	1.03	0.81	7.94
		$\mathbf{ET}_{AW}^{(1)}$ (ft)											
Сгор	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Total
Alfalfa	0.57	0.38	0.12	0.27	0.38	0.44	0.78	0.85	1.05	0.93	1.02	0.81	7.60
Grain		0.13	0.01	0.23	0.42	0.49	0.73	0.29			0.00	0.00	2.30
Grass	0.46	0.31	0.23	0.22	0.30	0.34	0.62	0.68	0.84	0.73	0.82	0.65	6.20
Other Orchard	0.16					0.26	0.62	0.81	1.16	1.08	1.18	0.92	6.19
Pasture	0.54	0.36	0.17	0.26	0.36	0.41	0.74	0.81	0.99	0.92	0.97	0.77	7.30
Pistachios	0.39						0.23	0.64	1.19	1.11	1.21	0.83	5.60
Row Crops						0.34	0.62	0.71	0.92	0.84	0.89		4.32
Sudan Grass	0.64						0.40	0.85	0.83	0.64	1.06	0.94	5.36
Sorghum	0.46	0.03					0.16	0.29	0.81	0.97	1.07	0.84	4.63
Teff Grass	0.54	0.36	0.17	0.26	0.36	0.41	0.74	0.81	0.99	0.92	0.97	0.77	7.30

⁽¹⁾ Crop Evapotranspiration (ET_c), and Evapotranspiration of Applied Water (ET_{AW}) results found using DWR's CUP+ Program Version 6.9 based on daily climate data measured at the Newberry Springs II CIMIS Station, soil properties found using USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey program, and crop development data from FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56 (Allen, R.K., L.S. Pereira, D. Raes, and M. Smith, 1998). Daily weather data from CIMIS was used by CUP+ to compute daily Reference Evapotranspiration (ETO) using the Penman-Montieth equation.

⁽²⁾ Teff grass irrigated like pasture. Source: http://www.extension.uidaho.edu/forage/Proceedings/2009%20proceedings/Teff.pdf

TABLE 4 MOJAVE BASIN AREA WATERMASTER ESTIMATED UNIT CROP DEMAND BASED ON DWR'S CUP+ PROGRAM WATER YEAR 2017-18 CENTRO SUBAREA

		ET 0 ⁽¹⁾ (f t)											
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Total
Reference Evapotranspiration	0.57	0.38	0.30	0.27	0.38	0.49	0.78	0.87	1.05	1.01	1.03	0.81	7.94
]	ET _{AW} ⁽¹⁾	(ft)					
Сгор	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Total
Alfalfa	0.57	0.38	0.09	0.27	0.38	0.44	0.78	0.85	1.05	0.93	1.02	0.81	7.57
Grain		0.13	0.03	0.23	0.41	0.49	0.73	0.25			0.00	0.00	2.27
Grass	0.46	0.31	0.23	0.22	0.30	0.34	0.62	0.68	0.84	0.73	0.82	0.65	6.20
Jujube								0.41	0.84	1.16	1.30	0.73	4.44
Pasture	0.54	0.36	0.15	0.26	0.36	0.41	0.74	0.81	1.00	0.92	0.97	0.77	7.29
Pistachios	0.38						0.23	0.64	1.19	1.16	1.21	0.83	5.64
Row Crops						0.34	0.62	0.71	0.92	0.84	0.80		4.23
Sorghum	0.45						0.16	0.28	0.81	0.97	1.07	0.84	4.58
Sudan Grass	0.66	0.09					0.40	0.85	0.86	0.64	1.06	0.94	5.50

⁽¹⁾ Crop Evapotranspiration (ET_c), and Evapotranspiration of Applied Water (ET_{AW}) results found using DWR's CUP+ Program Version 6.9 based on daily climate data measured at the Newberry Springs II CIMIS Station, soil properties found using USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey program, and crop development data from FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56 (Allen, R.K., L.S. Pereira, D. Raes, and M. Smith, 1998). Daily weather data from CIMIS was used by CUP+ to compute daily Reference Evapotranspiration (ETO) using the Penman-Montieth equation.

TABLE 5 MOJAVE BASIN AREA WATERMASTER ESTIMATED UNIT CROP DEMAND BASED ON DWR'S CUP+ PROGRAM WATER YEAR 2017-18 ESTE SUBAREA

		$\mathbf{ET_{O}^{(1)}}\left(\mathbf{ft}\right)$											
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Total
Reference Evapotranspiration	0.39	0.26	0.21	0.21	0.27	0.36	0.58	0.65	0.84	0.85	0.83	0.65	6.10
	1												
							ET _{AW} ⁽¹⁾	(ft)					
Сгор	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Total
Alfalfa	0.39	0.26	0.05	0.16	0.26	0.32	0.58	0.65	0.84	0.83	0.83	0.65	5.82
Grain		0.09	0.07	0.13	0.28	0.36	0.55	0.22			0.00	0.00	1.70
Grass	0.31	0.21	0.12	0.13	0.20	0.25	0.47	0.52	0.67	0.66	0.67	0.52	4.73
Jujube								0.32	0.67	1.03	1.06	0.55	3.63
Other Orchard						0.20	0.46	0.62	0.93	0.96	0.96	0.74	4.87
Pasture	0.37	0.24	0.06	0.15	0.24	0.30	0.56	0.62	0.80	0.79	0.79	0.62	5.54
Pistachios	0.27	0.05					0.20	0.49	0.96	0.99	0.99	0.67	4.62
Row Crops						0.25	0.47	0.54	0.74	0.74	0.63		3.37
Teff Grass	0.37	0.24	0.06	0.15	0.24	0.30	0.56	0.62	0.80	0.79	0.79	0.62	5.54

⁽¹⁾ Evapotranspiration of Applied Water (ET_{AW}) results found using DWR's CUP+ Program Version 6.9 based on daily climate data measured at the Victorville CIMIS Station, soil properties found using USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey program, and crop development data from FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56 (Allen, R.K., L.S. Pereira, D. Raes, and M. Smith, 1998). Daily weather data from CIMIS was used by CUP+ to compute daily Reference Evapotranspiration (ETO) using the Penman-Montieth equation.

⁽²⁾ Teff grass irrigated like pasture. Source: http://www.extension.uidaho.edu/forage/Proceedings/2009%20proceedings/Teff.pdf

TABLE 6 MOJAVE BASIN AREA WATERMASTER ESTIMATED UNIT CROP DEMAND BASED ON DWR'S CUP+ PROGRAM WATER YEAR 2017-18 OESTE SUBAREA

		$ET_{0}^{(1)}$ (ft)											
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Total
Reference Evapotranspiration	0.39	0.26	0.21	0.21	0.27	0.36	0.58	0.65	0.84	0.85	0.83	0.65	6.10
		$ET_{AW}^{(1)}$ (ft)											
Сгор	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Total
Alfalfa	0.39	0.26	0.15	0.16	0.26	0.32	0.58	0.65	0.84	0.83	0.83	0.65	5.92
Grain		0.09	0.07	0.13	0.28	0.36	0.55	0.22			0.00	0.00	1.70
Grass	0.31	0.21	0.12	0.13	0.20	0.25	0.47	0.52	0.67	0.66	0.67	0.52	4.73

⁽¹⁾ Evapotranspiration of Applied Water (ET_{AW}) results found using DWR's CUP+ Program Version 6.9 based on daily climate data measured at the Victorville CIMIS Station, soil properties found using USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey program, and crop development data from FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56 (Allen, R.K., L.S. Pereira, D. Raes, and M. Smith, 1998). Daily weather data from CIMIS was used by CUP+ to compute daily Reference Evapotranspiration (ETO) using the Penman-Montieth equation.

Land Use Categories

Each type of production is associated with a land use type. There are 10 different land use types categorized by the Mojave Basin Area Watermaster. These include agricultural, dairy, municipal, domestic, golf course, industrial, parks, recreational lakes, and aquaculture. Land use categories also include subcategories. The land use types can be found on Table 7 below.

WATER USE	WATER SUB 1	WATER SUB 2
Agriculture	Alfalfa	Apricots
Aquaculture	Commercial	Apples
Dairy	Domestic	Barley
Domestic	Grain	Cattle
Golf Course	Livestock	Dairy
Industrial	Mobile Home Park	Domestic
Municipal	Municipal	Horses
No Use	Orchard	Peaches
Parks	Pasture	Pistachios
Recreational Lakes	Recreational Lake	Pomegranates
	Row Crops	Poultry
	Sod	Ostriches
	Sorghum	Recreational Lakes
	Sudan Grass	Sudan Grass
		Jujubes

TABLE 7 MOJAVE BASIN AREA WATERMASTER LAND USE CATEGORIES

Consumptive Use of Irrigated Acreage

Aerial photography is used in conjunction with Watermaster field visit photographs and producer interviews to determine what kind of crop is being grown. Using Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and yearly aerial photography, the total acreage being irrigated is determined. This is done for crops, golf courses, and parks. In some instances, a producer may plant different crops on the same land at different times of the year. The consumptive use is estimated for both crops. Table 8 shows the total crop type by subarea.

Subarea	Alfalfa	Grain	Sudan Grass	Sorghum	Orchard	Pasture	Row Crops	Sod	Teff Grass
Alto	155	0	0	0	0	118	1	51	0
Baja	2,550	916	232	0	694	21	2	0	260
Centro	1,032	209	61	307	48	34	1	0	0
Este	290	37	0	0	156	34	25	0	60
Oeste	149	147	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	4,176	1,309	293	307	898	207	29	51	320

TABLE 8 MOJAVE BASIN AREA WATERMASTER 2017-18 ESTIMATES OF NET IRRIGATED ACREAGE BY CROP TYPE (ALL AMOUNTS IN ACRES)

The total consumptive use of a crop is determined by multiplying the consumptive use of applied water by the total number of irrigated acres. This gives the potential consumptive use in acre-feet. Subtracting the potential consumptive use from the total production for a particular crop yields the consumptive use and the return flow for that specific producer. If the potential consumptive use is higher than the total production, it is assumed that the crop is being under-irrigated and that 100% of the production was consumed.

Consumptive Use of Municipal Production

Consumptive use of municipal production is determined by separating indoor use from outdoor use. For the purposes of this study, indoor domestic use is assumed to be 100% return flow and outdoor use is considered to be 100% consumed. High rates of evaporation in the desert, conservation, restrictions on outdoor uses, changes in landscaping to desert landscapes, ordinances preventing over irrigation, and improved leak detection all support the assumption of 100% outdoor consumptive use. Indoor consumptive use is difficult to measure, and whether water is discharged to sewer or septic, it is assumed to be returned to the system. Municipal leaks in distribution systems are assumed to not contribute to return flow. Leaks are assumed to be repaired timely and thus do not contribute to return flow.

To determine indoor use, the Victor Valley Wastewater Reclamation Authority's (VVWRA) 2009 Flow Projection Analysis was used to estimate gallons per capita per day (gpcd). For a singlefamily residence (SFR), the sewer generation rate is 57 gpcd and for a multi-family residence (MFR), the sewer generation rate is 46.7 gpcd. Total indoor use is determined by population from census data. Resident population estimates for individual municipalities was determined by using census data and Beacon Economics Growth Forecast (2015). SFR and MFR population numbers were determined by extrapolating total single-family homes versus total multi-family homes. It is assumed that the average occupancy of a SFR is the same as the average occupancy of a MFR. Sewered and septic parcels are determined using GIS data from VVWRA and individual municipalities. Population numbers for the sewered parcels were obtained by extrapolating the area of sewered parcels with population data from the 2010 census. It is also assumed that all new construction (assumed as population growth) is sewered.

The municipal production is broken down into different categories including SFR, MFR, commercial, industrial, irrigation, other, and system losses. Since the municipal producers do not report this information to the Watermaster, the values were extrapolated using the 2015 Urban Water Management Plans for each municipality, where these values were reported to the State.

The average consumptive use for municipal producers varies by subarea. In the Upper Alto region, the average 2018 municipal consumptive use was 51%. In the Transition Zone, the average 2018 municipal consumptive use was 36%. In the Centro subarea, the average 2018 municipal consumptive use was 25%. In the Baja subarea, the average 2018 municipal consumptive use was 51%. In the Este subarea, the average 2018 municipal consumptive use was 51%. In Oeste, the average municipal consumptive use was 32%.

Commercial water use values were calculated by taking the total commercial area and multiplying by a factor for gallons per square foot per day (gal/sf/day). The commercial square footage for each City was obtained from the VVWRA flow projection model and the "future" values were estimated using the average population growth from Beacon Economics (2015).

Consumptive use for domestic production uses the average indoor production estimates for each subarea. It is assumed that the production for single family residences with a well is comparable to single family residences on municipal water. This is done for each subarea including the Transition Zone separate from the Upper Alto region.

Dairy production is assumed to be 100% consumptively used. The water used for dairy operations is either consumed by the cows or evaporated after a wash down of the dairy facilities.

Consumptive use for golf courses is estimated in the same manner as other crops. Grass, sod, and park have the same consumptive use factor as golf courses.

Industrial production is assumed to be 100% consumptively use.

Consumptive use for recreational lakes is calculated at 100% of verified production. This is due to lake consumptive use only being evaporation off the top of the lake. Aquaculture consumptive use is considered the same as a recreational lake.

In the Judgment, a Minimal Producer is defined as a producer who used less than 10 acre-feet during the 1986-90 base period. Minimal producer total production is assumed to be the same as reported by Albert A. Webb Associates in February 2000. The consumptive use for minimal producers is treated the same as domestic use and is calculated based on the average indoor use for single family residences. The only exception is for the Baja subarea where minimal producer population was used to estimate consumptive use. Baja minimal producer consumptive use was calculated differently because many of the minimal producers have private lakes and small orchards and therefore, use water differently than minimal producers in the other subareas. Minimal producer production and consumptive use are listed below on Table 9.

TABLE 9 MOJAVE BASIN AREA WATERMASTER MINIMAL PRODUCER CONSUMPTIVE USE BY SUBAREA (ALL AMOUNTS IN ACRE-FEET)

Subarea	Estimated Total Production	Consumptive Use
Este	954	489
Oeste	238	75
Alto	2,104	1,075
Centro	1,553	396
Baja	2,228	1,996

Total consumptive use by subarea was broken down into land use categories which can be found on Table 10. The consumptive use by category is the sum of the land use types from Table 7.

TABLE 10 MOJAVE BASIN AREA WATERMASTER CONSUMPTIVE USE BY CATEGORY FOR EACH SUBAREA (ALL AMOUNTS IN ACRE-FEET)

Use Type	Este	Oeste	Alto	Centro	Baja
Agricultural	2,327	674	1,311	8,679	17,547
Dairy	0	534	0	216	117
Municipal	223	1,633	28,383	2,775	330
Domestic	534	79	1,197	416	3,155
Golf Course	0	0	3,775	17	0
Industrial	703	12	3,735	4,276	995
Parks	36	0	316	0	11
Recreational Lakes	4	0	3,037	73	1,837
Aquaculture	0	0	160	0	10
Agricultural Subtotal	2,327	1,208	1,311	8,895	17,664
Urban Subtotal	1,500	1,724	40,603	7,557	6,338
Total	3,827	2,932	41,914	16,452	24,002

Notes

1. Consumptive use categories are summed from Appendix C.

2. CDFW North Narrows Park in Alto has 41 acres of "Pasture" in the wetlands behind the park that is not part of the phreatophyte consumptive use. The "pasture" is categorized under recreational lakes in Appendix C. This usage has been moved to the "Agriculture" category for this table.

3. Due to rounding, the sums of the individual items may not be equal to the totals.

Differences Between Webb and 2017-18 Consumptive Use Reports

Albert A. Webb and Associates (Webb) produced a consumptive water use report in 2000 for the Watermaster. The Webb report incorporated the cultural conditions that existed during the 1996-1997 Water Year.

Municipal Consumptive Use

In the Webb report, the municipal consumptive use was estimated at 50% of total production. The 2018 report calculated consumptive use using VVWRA flow projections coupled with producer and population data to estimate total consumptive use. In the Upper Alto region, the average 2018 municipal consumptive use was 51%. In the Transition Zone, the average 2018 municipal consumptive use was 36%. In the Centro subarea, the average 2018 municipal consumptive use was 95%. In the Este subarea, the average 2018 municipal consumptive use was 51%. In the Este subarea, the average 2018 municipal consumptive use was 51%. In Ceste, the average municipal consumptive use was 32%.

Agricultural Consumptive Use

If the total production was higher than 65% of total production, the Webb report defaulted to 65% consumptive use. In the 2018 report, if the potential consumptive use is over 100% of total production, then the crop is considered to be under-irrigated and will therefore have no return flow.

Minimal Producers

In the Webb report, minimal producer consumptive use is defaulted to 50% of total production. In the 2018 report, minimal producer consumptive use is determined by using the average municipal consumptive use by subarea. In the case of Baja, minimal producer population numbers were used to estimate the consumptive use for Baja minimal producers.

Production Safe Yield

Production Safe Yield (PSY) is defined in the Judgment as "*The highest average Annual Amount* of water that can be produced from a Subarea: (1) over a sequence of years that is representative of long-term average annual natural water supply to the Subarea net of long-term average annual natural outflow from the Subarea, (2) under given patterns of Production, applied water, return flows and Consumptive Use, and (3) without resulting in a long-term net reduction of groundwater in storage in the Subarea." In the Webb report, the total PSY for the Mojave Basin Area was estimated to be 135,124 acre-feet. In the 2018 report, the total PSY of all the basins is estimated to be 104,123 acre-feet.

One aspect of the PSY is the return flow from production. For the Mojave Basin Area, return flow is counted as part of the supply. The main difference between the water balance conditions from the Webb and 2018 reports is that the total amount of return flow decreased. Figures 1 and 2 display the main differences in the water balance conditions for the Baja and Alto subareas from the Webb and 2018 reports.

FIGURE 1 COMPARISON OF PRODUCTION SAFE YIELD ELEMENTS WEBB STUDY AND 2018 STUDY BAJA SUBAREA



FIGURE 2 COMPARISON OF PRODUCTION SAFE YIELD ELEMENTS WEBB STUDY AND 2018 STUDY ALTO SUBAREA



References

- Allen R.G., Pereira L.S., Raes D., Smith M. (1998). Crop Evapotranspiration: Guidelines for Computing Crop Water requirements. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56. United Nations-Food and Agricultural Organization, Rome.
- Beacon Economics. (2015). Mojave Water Agency Population Forecast. <u>http://mojavewater.granicus.com/MetaViewer.php?view_id=2&clip_id=559&meta_id=3</u> <u>8184</u>

California Irrigation Management Information System (CIMIS). (2019). https://cimis.water.ca.gov

City of Adelanto. (2016). 2015 Urban Water Management Plan. June 22, 2016.

CSA 64 – Spring Valley Lake. (2017). Urban Water Management Plan.

CSA 70J – Oak Hills. (2017). Urban Water Management Plan.

Department of Water Resources (DWR). (2015). Consumptive Use Program+ Model (CUP+M), Version 6.9. <u>https://water.ca.gov/Programs/Water-Use-And-Efficiency/Land-And-Water-Use/Agricultural-Water-Use-Models</u>

Hesperia Water District. (2016). 2015 Urban Water Management Plan. June 7, 2016.

Liberty Utilities (Park Water) Corp. (2016). 2015 Urban Water Management Plan. June 24, 2016.

Mojave Water Agency. (2016). 2015 Urban Water Management Plan. June 9, 2016.

RBF Consulting. (2009). VVWRA Flow Projection Update – Update 3.

- State of California Superior Court. (1996). Mojave Basin Area Adjudication. Judgment After Trial. City of Barstow, et al. v. City of Adelanto, et al. Riverside County Superior Court Case No. 208568. January 10.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service. (2015). Web Soil Survey. <u>https://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx</u>

Victorville Water District. (2016). 2015 Urban Water Management Plan.

Webb, Albert A. Associates (2000). Consumptive Water Use Study and Update of Production Safe Yield Calculations for the Mojave Basin Area.

APPENDIX C

Consumptive Water Use for Individual Producers, 2017-18

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster Estimate of Consumptive Use by Producer 2017-18 Water Year Alto Subarea

(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Adelanto, City Of	Municipal			960.22	25.15%	960.22	3,818
Ades, John and Devon	Domestic	Grass	0.08	3.07	51.12%	3.07	6
Agcon, Inc.	Industrial Domestic	Lake	2.27	293.00 0.36	100.00% 36.50%	293.00 0.36	293 1
American States Water Company	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Apple Valley Foothill County Water District	Municipal			48.56	51.12%	48.56	95
Apple Valley Heights County Water District	Municipal			27.31	26.77%	27.31	102
Apple Valley Unified School District	Parks	Park	9.93	47.47	87.90%	47.47	54
Apple Valley View Mutual Water Company	Municipal			12.27	51.12%	12.27	24
Apple Valley, Town Of	Golf Course	Golf Course Lake	95.09 0.61	454.53 3.72	98.13%	458.25	467
	Parks	Park	24.00	114.72	100.00%	41.00	41
Aqua Capital Management, LP-Agriculture	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Aqua Capital Management, LP-Industrial	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Bass Trust, Newton T.	Domestic	Grass	0.09	1.02	51.12%	1.02	2
Bastianon Revocable Trust	Domestic			0.51	51.12%	0.51	1
Beebe, Robert W. and Dorothy K.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Beinschroth Family Trust	Agriculture Domestic	Alfalfa Park Lake	11.60 1.91 0.54	67.63 14.82 14.82	100.00% 100.00%	18.00 29.00	18 29
Box, Geary S. and Laura	Domestic	Grass	0.20	2.04	51.12%	2.04	4
Brown, Bobby G. and Valeria R.	Domestic	Grass	0.17	0.36	36.50%	0.36	1
Brown, Jennifer	Domestic	Grass	0.15	2.04	51.12%	2.04	4
Bruneau, Karen	Domestic	Grass	0.15	1.02	51.12%	1.02	2

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster Estimate of Consumptive Use by Producer 2017-18 Water Year Alto Subarea

(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Bryant, lan	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Bunnell, Dick	Domestic			0.73	36.50%	0.73	2
CalMat Company	Industrial			4.00	100.00%	4.00	4
CalPortland Company - Agriculture	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
CalPortland Company - Oro Grande Plant	Industrial			763.00	100.00%	763.00	763
CDFW - Mojave Narrows Regional Park	Parks Recreational Lakes	Grass Lake Pasture	5.47 36.42 41.70	26.15 222.16 232.69	50.28% 26.48%	26.15 454.85	52 1,718
CDFW - Mojave River Fish Hatchery	Aquaculture	Lake Grass Park	2.70 1.42 3.55	16.47 6.79 16.97	100.00%	20.00	20
Cemex, Inc.	Industrial	Park	5.92	1,152.00	100.00%	1,152.00	1,152
Cunningham, Jerry	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Dolch, Robert and Judy	Domestic	Grass	0.12	2.04	51.12%	2.04	4
Dora Land, Inc.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
East Desert Land Company, LLC	Agriculture	Alfalfa	142.92	848.94	70.28%	848.94	1,208
Evenson, Edwin H. and Joycelaine C.	Domestic			0.36	36.50%	0.36	1
Federal Bureau of Prisons, Victorville	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Fischer Revocable Living Trust	Domestic			0.51	51.12%	0.51	1
Fisher Trust, Jerome R.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Fitzwater, R. E.	Domestic	Grass	0.05	0.36	36.50%	0.36	1
Frazier, et al.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Golden State Water Company	Municipal			175.38	19.82%	175.38	885
Green Acres Estates	Domestic	Grass	0.07	2.56	51.12%	2.56	5
(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

				Potential	Potential		\/ f
Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Consumptive Use	Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Gulbranson, Merlin	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Haas, Bryan C. and Hinkle, Mary H.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Halanna Equities III	Domestic	Grass	0.31	3.07	51.12%	3.07	6
Hamilton Family Trust	Domestic	Other Orchard Grass Lake	0.32 0.20 0.01	7.67 0.96 0.06	57.90%	8.68	15
Helendale Community Services District	Municipal	Pasture Sod Park	5.07 41.74 4.58	886.34 197.43 21.66	71.83%	1,105.43	1,539
Helendale School District	Domestic	Park	1.95	2.19	36.50%	2.19	6
Hesperia - Golf Course, City of	Golf Course	Golf Course Lake	93.70 0.73	447.89 4.45	79.22%	452.34	571
Hesperia Venture I, LLC	Domestic	Lake	16.00	0.51	51.12%	0.51	1
Hesperia Water District	Municipal Parks	Lake Park	10.90 18.89	5,960.44 66.49 90.29	42.96% 40.30%	5,960.44 156.78	13,874 389
Hesperia, City of	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Hi-Grade Materials Company	Industrial	Lake	0.08	18.00	100.00%	18.00	18
Holway Jeffrey R and Patricia Gage	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Holway, Jeffrey R	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Hunt, Connie	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Jamboree Housing Corporation	Municipal	Grass	1.72	20.96	51.12%	20.96	41
Jess Ranch Water Company	Municipal Golf Course	Golf Course Lake	172.67 6.41	1,259.15 825.36 39.10	88.24% 76.84%	1,259.15 864.46	1,427 1,125
	Aquaculture	саке	20.00	140.00	100.00%	140.00	140

(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Johnson, Carlean	Agriculture	Grass Pasture	1.17 1.37	5.59 7.64	60.17%	13.24	22
Johnson, Ronald	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Johnston, Harriet and Johnston, Lawrence W.	Domestic	Grass	0.37	2.04	51.12%	2.04	4
Kanesaka, Kenji and Yukari	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Kemper Campbell Ranch	Domestic Agriculture	Lake Pasture	5.13 51.41	11.25 31.29 286.87	51.12% 100.00%	11.25 121.00	22 121
Laguna Water II, Ltd.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Langley, James	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Langley, James - Industrial	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Lawson, Ernest and Barbara	Domestic	Grass	0.05	0.51	51.12%	0.51	1
Lenhert, Ronald and Toni	Domestic	Grass	0.28	4.09	51.12%	4.09	8
LHC Alligator, LLC	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Liberty Utilities (Apple Valley Ranchos Water) Corp.	Municipal			4,002.87	48.37%	4,002.87	8,276
Low, Dean	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Luckey 2010 Revocable Trust	Domestic	Grass	0.15	0.51	51.12%	0.51	1
Mariana Ranchos County Water District	Municipal			110.70	52.71%	110.70	210
McInnis, William S.	Domestic	Park Pasture	0.25 0.07	4.77 0.39	73.77%	5.16	7
McKinney, Paula	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
MLH, LLC	Domestic	Grass	0.50	4.09	51.12%	4.09	8
Mojave Water Agency	Municipal			16.00	100.00%	16.00	16

(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Navajo Mutual Water Company	Municipal			15.33	51.12%	15.33	30
Nuñez, Luis Segundo	Domestic			0.51	51.12%	0.51	1
Nunn Family Trust	Domestic	Grass	0.02	0.51	51.12%	0.51	1
Oro Grande School District	Municipal Parks	Park	10.16	30.00 48.06	100.00% 100.00%	30.00 35.00	30 35
Perry Revocable Living Trust, Thomas and Patricia	Domestic	Grass	0.07	0.51	51.12%	0.51	1
Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District	Municipal			52.00	32.28%	52.00	161
Pittman, Leroy W.	Domestic			0.36	36.50%	0.36	1
Polich, Donna	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Rancheritos Mutual Water Company	Municipal			55.72	51.12%	55.72	109
Rim Properties, A General Partnership	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Rue Ranch	Domestic	Lake	1.00	1.02	51.12%	1.02	2
San Bernardino County - High Desert Detention Center	Municipal			121.00	100.00%	121.00	121
San Bernardino County Service Area 42	Municipal			24.09	36.50%	24.09	66
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	Municipal			1,541.90	55.05%	1,541.90	2,801
San Bernardino County Service Area 70J	Municipal			668.02	39.18%	668.02	1,705
Sapp, Robert D. and Lee, Teresa J.	Domestic	Grass	0.51	3.58	51.12%	3.58	7
Scray, Michelle A. Trust	Domestic			0.51	51.12%	0.51	1
Service Rock Products Corporation	Industrial			8.00	100.00%	8.00	8
Sheep Creek Water Company	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Silver Lakes Association	Golf Course Recreational Lakes	Golf Course Park Lake	197.33 12.22 6.59	933.35 57.80 40.20	84.71% 64.25%	991.15 1,624.80	1,170 2,529
		Lake	259.77	1,584.60			

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster Estimate of Consumptive Use by Producer 2017-18 Water Year Alto Subarea (Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Snowball Development, Inc.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Spring Valley Lake Association	Recreational Lakes	Lake	195.00	1,189.50	47.33%	1,189.50	2,513
Spring Valley Lake Country Club	Golf Course	Golf Course Lake	130.68 3.80	624.65 23.18	92.15%	647.83	703
Storm, Randall	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Sudmeier, Glenn W.	Domestic			0.51	51.12%	0.51	1
Summit Valley Ranch, LLC	Domestic	Lake	1.15	9.71	51.12%	9.71	19
Thompson Living Trust, James A. and Sula B.	Domestic			0.51	51.12%	0.51	1
Thompson Living Trust, R.L. and R.A.	Agriculture	Pasture	1.98	11.05	100.00%	4.00	4
Thrasher, Gary	Domestic Agriculture	Grass Pasture	1.08 10.64	0.73 58.95	36.50% 100.00%	0.73 13.00	2 13
Thunderbird County Water District	Municipal			43.41	40.57%	43.41	107
Transamerica Fin'l Svc - Spears, Larry B. and Erlinda	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Vanhoops Holdings, LP	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Victor Valley Community College District	Municipal	Grass Lake	28.00 5.59	377.90 34.10	100.00%	412.00	412
Victor Valley Memorial Park	Municipal	Park	7.16	34.22	76.06%	34.22	45
Victorville Water District, ID#1	Municipal Industrial Golf Course Parks	Golf Course Park	75.47 2.00	9,108.59 1,497.00 360.75 9.56	52.27% 100.00% 90.19% 95.60%	9,108.59 1,497.00 360.75 9.56	17,427 1,497 400 10
Victorville Water District, ID#2	Municipal			2,577.29	52.27%	2,577.29	4,931
Vogler, Albert H.	Domestic	Row Crops	0.84	0.51	51.12%	0.51	1
Wagner Living Trust	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0

(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Wakula Family Trust	Domestic			0.36	36.50%	0.36	1
Ward, Ken and Barbara	Agriculture	Pasture	6.12	34.15	100.00%	16.00	16
West, Howard and Suzy	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
West, Jimmie E.	Domestic	Grass	0.25	0.36	36.50%	0.36	1
Western Rivers Conservancy	Domestic			0.36	36.50%	0.36	1
Western Water Company	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Westland Industries, Inc.	Domestic	Lake	0.01	14.31	51.12%	14.31	28
Wiener, Melvin and Mariam S.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Wood, Michael and Denise	Agriculture	Sod Grass	9.15 0.12	43.28 0.57	99.65%	43.85	44
Wyatt Family Trust	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Minimal Producers	Domestic			1,075.48	51.12%	1,075.48	2,104

Summary for the Alto Subarea

41,913.74 77,686.00

(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
35250 Yermo, LLC	Domestic	Lake Grass	0.02 0.11	13.28	94.86%	13.28	14
Ahn, Chun Soo and Wha Ja	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Ake, Charles J. and Marjorie M.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Archibek, Eric	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Arguelles, Alfredo	Agriculture Domestic	Grain	62.77	144.37 0.95	57.75% 95.00%	144.37 0.95	250 1
Atchison, Topeka, Santa Fe Railway Company	Industrial			57.00	100.00%	57.00	57
Bailey 2007 Living Revocable Trust, Sheré R.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Barber, James B.	Domestic	Grass Lake	0.35 0.80	38.88 6.35	100.00%	41.00	41
Baron, Susan and Palmer, Curtis	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Bender Trust, Dolores M.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Borgogno Revocable Living Trust	Agriculture	Alfalfa	220.00	1,672.00	100.00%	761.00	761
Borja, Leonil T. and Tital L.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Bredelis, Ronald C. and Jean	Domestic	Grass Lake	0.02 0.78	25.60 6.19	100.00%	27.00	27
Brown, Ronald A.	Agriculture	Alfalfa	84.37	641.21	100.00%	174.00	174
Bubier, Diane Gail	Recreational Lakes	Lake	1.49	11.83	98.59%	11.83	12
Budget Finance Company	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Bush, Kevin	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Calico Junction	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Calico Lakes Homeowners Association	Recreational Lakes Domestic	Lake Grass	24.56 4.19	195.01 71.12	100.00% 94.83%	168.00 71.12	168 75

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
California Department Of Transportation	Domestic			15.17	94.81%	15.17	16
CalMat Company	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Camanga, Tony and Marietta	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Campbell, M. A. and Dianne	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Carlton, Susan	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
CDFW - Camp Cady	Agriculture Aquaculture Domestic	Grain Lake Pasture	49.56 1.11 0.53	113.99 8.81 5.69	100.00% 100.00% 94.83%	66.00 7.00 5.69	66 7 6
CF Properties, LLC	Agriculture	Grain	121.00	278.30	100.00%	211.00	211
Cheyenne Lake, Inc.	Recreational Lakes Domestic	Lake Park	15.36 11.56	121.96 88.19	100.00% 94.83%	104.00 88.19	104 93
Clark, Arthur	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Conner, William H.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Corbridge, Linda S.	Domestic Agriculture	Pistachios	16.65	0.95 93.24	95.00% 100.00%	0.95 8.00	1 8
Cross, Francis and Beverly	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Crystal Lakes Property Owners Association	Recreational Lakes Domestic	Lake Grass	46.22 4.52	366.99 97.67	100.00% 94.83%	326.00 97.67	326 103
Daggett Community Services District	Municipal			191.76	88.78%	191.76	216
Daggett Ranch, LLC	Domestic	Lake Grass	0.37 0.74	35.08 4.59	94.81%	35.08	37
De Jong Family Trust	Agriculture	Alfalfa Grain Pistachios	352.00 152.00 1.47	2,675.20 349.60 8.23	100.00%	1,763.00	1,763
	Domestic			6.64	94.86%	6.64	7

(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Dennison, Quentin D Clegg, Frizell and Joke	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Docimo Living Trust, Allen Lee	Recreational Lakes	Lake	9.56	75.91	100.00%	65.00	65
Donaldson, Jerry and Beverly	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Dowell, Leonard	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Evert Family Trust	Recreational Lakes Domestic	Lake	3.25	25.81 0.95	73.73% 95.00%	25.81 0.95	35 1
Fejfar, Monica Kay	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Fernandez, Arturo	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Ferro, Dennis and Norma	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
First CPA LLC	Agriculture			33.00	100.00%	33.00	33
Fundamental Christian Endeavors, Inc.	Domestic Parks Recreational Lakes	Grass Lake	1.70 4.72	37.93 10.54 37.48	94.83% 21.51% 100.00%	37.93 10.54 33.00	40 49 33
Gabrych, Eugene	Agriculture	Alfalfa Grain	122.00 121.00	927.20 278.30	100.00%	823.00	823
Garcia, Daniel	Domestic	Pistachios	2.18	1.90	95.00%	1.90	2
Garg, Om P.	Domestic			4.74	94.80%	4.74	5
GenOn Energy, Inc.	Industrial			754.00	100.00%	754.00	754
Gray, George F. and Betty E.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Hackbarth, Edward E.	Agriculture	Alfalfa Grain	110.00 119.00	836.00 273.70	100.00%	861.00	861
Hanson Aggregates WRP, Inc.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Hareson, Nicholas and Mary	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Harter, Joe and Sue	Agriculture	Pistachios Alfalfa Teff Grass Grain	138.02 319.42 179.77 76.62	772.91 2,427.59 1,312.32 176.23	100.00%	2,578.00	2,578
	Domestic			3.79	94.75%	3.79	4
Hass, Pauline L.	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Hawkins, James B.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Hendley, Rick and Barbara	Domestic			12.33	94.85%	12.33	13
Hiett, Harry L.	Agriculture	Other Orchard	0.44	2.72	100.00%	2.00	2
Hilarides 1998 Revocable Family Trust	Industrial Domestic			1.00 0.95	100.00% 95.00%	1.00 0.95	1 1
Ho, Ting-Seng and Ah-Git	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Hollister, Robert H. and Ruth M.	Domestic			1.90	95.00%	1.90	2
Hong, Paul B. and May	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Hood Family Trust	Domestic	Grass	0.01	1.90	95.00%	1.90	2
Horton, John	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Horton's Children's Trust	Domestic Recreational Lakes	Grass Lake	0.32 16.54	7.59 131.33	94.88% 100.00%	7.59 115.00	8 115
Hubbard, Ester and Mizuno, Arlean	Domestic	Row Crops	0.44	2.84	94.67%	2.84	3
Hunt, Ralph M. and Lillian F.	Domestic	Grass Pasture	0.06 1.15	3.79 8.40	100.00%	4.00	4
Hyatt, James and Brenda	Domestic	Lake	2.14	16.12	94.82%	16.12	17
lm, Nicholas Nak-Kyun	Recreational Lakes Agriculture	Lake Pistachios	2.63 46.49	20.88 260.34	77.34% 100.00%	20.88 35.00	27 35
Irvin, Bertrand W.	Domestic	Lake	0.98	13.28	94.86%	13.28	14

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Italmood Inc., et. al.	Agriculture Domestic	Pistachios	68.67	384.55 2.84	100.00% 94.67%	17.00 2.84	17 3
Jacks, James F.	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Jackson, James N. Jr Revocable Living Trust	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Jackson, Ray Revocable Trust No. 45801	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Johnson, James R. and Ellen	Agriculture	Pistachios	9.82	54.99	100.00%	26.00	26
Karimi, Hooshang	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Kasner Family Limited Partnership	Agriculture	Alfalfa	236.00	1,793.60	100.00%	854.00	854
Kasner, Robert	Agriculture Domestic	Grain Alfalfa Teff Grass	119.01 395.09 79.93	273.72 3,002.68 583.49 0.95	95.00%	2,687.00 0.95	2,687
Katcher, August M. and Marceline	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Kemp, Robert and Rose	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Kim, Joon Ho and Mal Boon Revocable Trust	Agriculture	Alfalfa	117.00	889.20	100.00%	337.00	337
Kim, Seon Ja	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Koegler, Ronald R. and Carolyn V.	Domestic			13.28	94.86%	13.28	14
Koering, Richard and Koering, Donna	Domestic	Grass	0.04	0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Koroghlian, Ted and Najwa	Domestic	Lake	0.45	6.64	94.86%	6.64	7
Kosharek, John and Joann	Domestic	Lake	0.40	9.48	94.80%	9.48	10
Lake Jodie Property Owners Association	Recreational Lakes Domestic	Lake Grass Other Orchard	28.47 2.47 2.85	226.05 102.41	100.00% 94.82%	196.00 102.41	196 108
Lake Waikiki	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Lake Wainani Owners Association	Recreational Lakes	Lake	23.27	184.76	100.00%	170.00	170
	Domestic	Grass	2.79	17.30	100.00%	33.00	33
		Pistachios	5.06	28.34			
		Row Crops	0.37	1.60			
Lam, Phillip	Recreational Lakes	Grass	0.10	0.62	73.51%	5.15	7
		Lake	0.57	4.53			
Langley, Michael R. and Sharon	Agriculture	Pistachios	18.95	106.12	100.00%	12.00	12
Lavanh, et al.	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Lawrence, William W.	Domestic	Grass	0.08	0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Lee, Vin Jang T.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Lem, Hoy	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Liang, Yuan - I and Tzu - Mei Chen	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Liberty Utilities (Apple Valley Ranchos Water) Corp.	Municipal			122.60	88.84%	122.60	138
Lin, Kuan Jung and Chung, Der-Bing	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Lo, et al.	Agriculture	Pistachios	12.89	72.18	100.00%	30.00	30
		Row Crops	0.02	0.09			
		Lake	0.13	1.03			
M Bird Construction	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Mahjoubi, Afsar S.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Maloney, Janice	Domestic			1.90	95.00%	1.90	2
Manning, Sharon S.	Domestic	Row Crops	0.68	39.83	94.83%	39.83	42
		Lake	1.03				
Marcroft, James A. and Joan	Domestic	Grass	0.32	25.60	94.81%	25.60	27
		Lake	0.60				
Marshall, Charles	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0

(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Martin, Michael D. and Arlene D.	Agriculture	Pistachios	14.33	80.25	100.00%	42.00	42
Milbrat, Irving H.	Domestic	Lake	0.30	30.34	94.81%	30.34	32
Miller Living Trust	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Mizrahie, et al.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Morris Trust, Julia V.	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Mulligan, Robert and Inez	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Murphy, Jean	Domestic	Lake	0.08	3.79	94.75%	3.79	4
New Springs Limited Partnership	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Newberry Community Services District	Domestic	Park	1.36	10.43	94.82%	10.43	11
Newberry Springs Recreational Lakes Association	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
O. F. D. L., Inc.	Recreational Lakes Domestic	Lake Grass	16.33 1.55	129.66 55.95	100.00% 94.83%	108.00 55.95	108 59
P and H Engineering and Development Corporation	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Patino, José	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Pearce, Craig L.	Domestic	Grass	0.02	12.33	94.85%	12.33	13
Perko, Bert K.	Agriculture	Lake Pistachios	0.36 49.45	2.86 276.92	100.00%	41.00	41
Poland, John R. and Kathleen A.	Domestic	Lake Grass	0.70 0.10	12.33 0.62	99.62%	12.95	13
Porter, Timothy M.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Pozzato Partners, Limited	Domestic			31.29	94.82%	31.29	33
Price, Donald and Ruth	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Pruett, Andrea	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0

(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Quakenbush, Samuel R.	Domestic	Pasture Lake	0.03 0.57	4.74	94.80%	4.74	5
Quiros, Fransisco J. and Herrmann, Ronald	Agriculture	Pistachios	25.00	140.00	100.00%	35.00	35
Rice, Henry C. and Diana	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Rizvi, S.R Ali	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Rossi, James L. and Naomi I.	Agriculture	Alfalfa	48.00	364.80	100.00%	321.00	321
S and B Brothers, LLC	Recreational Lakes	Lake	6.49	51.53	99.10%	51.53	52
Sagabean-Barker, Kanoeolokelani L.	Recreational Lakes Domestic	Lake	1.68	13.34 1.90	100.00% 95.00%	12.00 1.90	12 2
Samra, Jagtar S.	Domestic	Lake	0.68	8.53	94.78%	8.53	9
San Bernardino Co Barstow - Daggett Airport	Municipal			16.00	100.00%	16.00	16
Service Rock Products Corporation	Industrial			1.00	100.00%	1.00	1
Shaw, Robert M. and Lori A. Slater-Shaw	Domestic	Lake Grass Pistachios	1.42 0.18 0.41	11.27 1.12 2.30	100.00%	11.00	11
Sheng, Jen	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Sheppard, Thomas and Gloria	Agriculture Domestic	Other Orchard	0.41	2.54 0.95	36.26% 95.00%	2.54 0.95	7 1
Short, Charles H. Revocable Trust	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Short, Jerome E.	Domestic	Lake	2.32	16.12	94.82%	16.12	17
Singh, et al.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Smith, Denise dba Amerequine Beauty, Inc	Agriculture	Pasture	19.00	138.70	100.00%	91.00	91
Smith, Porter and Anita	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Smith, William E. and Patricia A.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0

(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Southern California Edison Company	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Southern California Gas Company	Industrial			7.00	100.00%	7.00	7
Sperry, Wesley	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
St. Antony Coptic Orthodox Monastery	Agriculture	Alfalfa Other Orchard Pistachios	29.88 18.29 7.86	227.09 113.22 44.02	100.00%	44.00	44
	Recreational Lakes Domestic	Lake Grass	1.14 0.10	9.05 122.32	25.86% 94.82%	9.05 122.32	35 129
Starke, George A. and Jayne E.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Sundown Lakes, Inc.	Recreational Lakes	Park Lake	6.79 22.06	42.10 175.16	100.00%	168.00	168
Sunray Land Company, LLC	Industrial			1.00	100.00%	1.00	1
Szynkowski, Ruth J.	Domestic	Row Crops	0.01	1.90	95.00%	1.90	2
Tapie, Raymond L.	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Teisan, Jerry	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Thayer, Sharon	Recreational Lakes Domestic	Lake Other Orchard	3.94 0.23	31.28 2.84	100.00% 94.67%	27.00 2.84	27 3
Thomas, Stephen and Lori	Aquaculture	Lake	0.38	3.02	50.29%	3.02	6
Triple H Partnership	Agriculture	Pistachios	27.78	155.57	100.00%	92.00	92
Tsui, Richard	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Turner, Terry	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Union Pacific Railroad Company	Industrial			66.00	100.00%	66.00	66
Vaca, Andy and Teresita S.	Domestic	Lake	0.88	8.53	94.78%	8.53	9
Van Bastelaar, Alphonse	Agriculture	Pistachios	62.06	347.54	100.00%	94.00	94

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Van Dam Family Trust, Glen and Jennifer	Agriculture	Pistachios	138.60	776.16	100.00%	3,134.00	3,134
		Grain	94.65	217.70			
		Alfalfa	314.26	2,388.38			
Van Leeuwen, John	Agriculture	Sudan Grass	232.00	1,243.52	100.00%	910.00	910
		Grass	2.81	17.42			
	Dairy			117.00	100.00%	117.00	117
Vander Dussen Trust, Agnes and Edward	Agriculture	Grass	119.00	737.80	100.00%	839.00	839
		Alfalfa	118.00	896.80			
Wang, Steven	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Ward, Raymond	Industrial	Pistachios	24.35	75.00	100.00%	75.00	75
		Lake	0.14				
	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Weems, Lizzie	Domestic	Pistachios	1.27	7.11	100.00%	10.00	10
		Lake	0.76	6.03			
Weeraisinghe, Maithri N.	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
Western Horizon Associates, Inc.	Agriculture	Alfalfa	84.68	643.57	100.00%	479.00	479
		Grass	27.15	168.33			
Wet Set, Inc.	Recreational Lakes	Lake	13.80	109.57	100.00%	95.00	95
	Domestic	Park	12.82	37.93	94.83%	37.93	40
Witte, E. Daniel and Marcia	Domestic			0.95	95.00%	0.95	1
WLSR, Inc.	Recreational Lakes	Lake	21.36	169.60	100.00%	133.00	133
Worsey, Joseph A. and Revae	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Minimal Producers	Domestic			1,996.29	89.60%	1,996.29	2,228
Summary for the Baja Subarea						24,002.08	24,524.00

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Apple Valley Heights County Water District	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Aqua Capital Management, LP	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Atchison, Topeka, Santa Fe Railway Company	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Bar-Len Mutual Water Company	Municipal	Grass	1.20	7.39	25.49%	7.39	29
Barstow Community Developers, LLC	Golf Course			17.00	100.00%	17.00	17
Best, Byron L.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Brommer Family Trust	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Chafa, Larry R. and Delinda C.	Domestic			0.25	25.49%	0.25	1
Choi, Yong II and Joung Ae	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Chong, Joan	Agriculture Domestic	Jujube Row Crops	10.49 0.47	46.58 0.51	100.00% 25.49%	26.00 0.51	26 2
Christison, Joel	Domestic	Pistachios	29.00	0.25	25.49%	0.25	1
Contratto, Ersula	Domestic	Grass	0.04	0.25	25.49%	0.25	1
Darr, James S.	Industrial Municipal	Grass	0.04	285.00 20.25	100.00% 88.03%	285.00 20.25	285 23
De Vries, Neil and Mary Family Trust	Domestic	Grass	0.10	0.25	25.49%	0.25	1
Dorrance, David W. and Tamela L.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Eygnor, Robert E.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Federal National Mortgage Association - Fannie Mae	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Frates, D. Cole	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Friend, Joseph and Deborah	Agriculture	Park	1.57	9.73	88.49%	9.73	11
Gabrych, Eugene	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Gaines Family Trust, Jack and Mary	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Golden State Water Company	Municipal			2,741.93	49.12%	2,741.93	5,582
Grill, Nicholas P. and Millie D.	Industrial	Desture	2.20	66.00	100.00%	66.00	66
	Domestic	Pasture	3.28	0.25	25.49%	4.00 0.25	4
Gutierrez, Jose and Gloria	Agriculture	Grass	0.21	1.30	100.00%	94.00	94
		Pasture	0.86	6.27			
		Row Crops	0.14	0.59			
	Domestic	Alfalfa	15.08	114.16 0.25	25.49%	0.25	1
Hanify, Michael D., dba - White Bear Ranch	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Harmsen Family Trust	Agriculture	Alfalfa	71.00	537.47	100.00%	436.00	436
	Dairy			26.00	100.00%	26.00	26
Harper Lake Company VIII	Industrial			1,001.00	100.00%	1,001.00	1,001
	Recreational Lakes	Lake	29.23	232.09	100.00%	73.00	73
		Lake	3.74	29.70			
Helendale Community Services District	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Hensley, Mark P.	Agriculture	Pistachios	8.10	45.68	100.00%	22.00	22
Hi Desert Mutual Water Company	Municipal			5.10	25.49%	5.10	20
High Desert Associates, Inc.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Hill Family Trust and Hill's Ranch, Inc.	Domestic	Grass	0.48	10.20	25.49%	10.20	40
Howard, et al.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Huerta, Hector	Agriculture	Alfalfa	124.00	938.68	100.00%	914.00	914
	Dairy			10.00	100.00%	10.00	10
Jones, Joette	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Jordan Family Trust	Domestic	Grass	0.42	1.02	25.49%	1.02	4
Kasner Family Limited Partnership	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Kim, Jin S. and Hyun H.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Lee, et al., Sepoong and Woo Poong	Domestic			0.25	25.49%	0.25	1
Leyerly, Geneva	Domestic			1.02	25.49%	1.02	4
McCollum, Charles L.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Mead Family Trust	Domestic	Grass	0.04	0.25	25.49%	0.25	1
Mojave Solar, LLC	Industrial			1,632.00	100.00%	1,632.00	1,632
Most Family Trust	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Odessa Water District	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Ohai, Reynolds and Dorothy	Domestic			0.25	25.49%	0.25	1
Osterkamp, Gerold	Dairy	Pasture Grass	2.00 1.83	125.00	100.00%	125.00	125
Pacific Gas and Electric Company	Agriculture Industrial	Grain Alfalfa	144.00 227.00	326.88 1,718.39 1.270.00	100.00% 100.00%	1,454.00 1.270.00	1,454 1,270
	Domestic			0.51	25.49%	0.51	2
Rios, Mariano V.	Domestic	Grass	0.04	1.53	25.49%	1.53	6
Rivero, Fidel V.	Domestic			0.25	25.49%	0.25	1
Ruisch Trust, Dale W. and Nellie H.	Agriculture	Grain Alfalfa	28.00 55.00	63.56 416.35	80.25%	479.91	598
	Dairy Domestic			48.00 0.51	100.00% 25.49%	48.00 0.51	48 2
Ruisch, et al.	Agriculture	Alfalfa Grain	116.85 37.21	884.55 84.47	100.00%	475.00	475
Service Rock Products Corporation	Industrial			18.00	100.00%	18.00	18
Sexton, Rodney A. and Sexton, Derek R.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0

(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Soppeland Revocable Trust	Domestic			0.25	25.49%	0.25	1
Synagrow-WWT, Inc. (dba Nursury Products, LLC)	Industrial			4.00	100.00%	4.00	4
Tallakson Family Revocable Trust	Domestic			1.53	25.49%	1.53	6
Valenti, Vito	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Van Dam Revocable Trust, E and S	Agriculture Dairy	Grass Pasture	0.40 28.13	2.48 205.07 7.00	100.00% 100.00%	155.00 7.00	155 7
Van Leeuwen, John	Agriculture	Sorghum Grass	307.00 0.24	1,406.06 1.49	100.00%	1,292.00	1,292
Vernola Trust, Pat and Mary Ann	Agriculture	Alfalfa Sudan Grass	422.99 60.61	3,202.03 333.36	100.00%	3,317.00	3,317
Victorville Water District, ID#1	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Werner, Andrew J.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Western Development and Storage, LLC	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Withey, Connie	Domestic			0.25	25.49%	0.25	1
Minimal Producers	Domestic			395.83	25.49%	395.83	1,553

Summary for the Centro Subarea

16,451.03 20,665.00

(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Abdul, Harry and Anita	Industrial Domestic			4.00 1.54	100.00% 51.20%	4.00 1.54	4 3
Abshire, David V.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Ahn, Chun Soo and David	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Ahn Revocable Living Trust	Agriculture	Jujube	31.76	115.29	100.00%	67.00	67
Ahn Revocable Trust	Agriculture	Pistachios	27.00	124.74	100.00%	20.00	20
Anderson, Ross C. and Betty J.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Avila, Angel and Evalia	Agriculture	Pasture	32.00	177.28	100.00%	118.00	118
Bar H Mutual Water Company	Municipal			14.90	59.59%	14.90	25
Bell, Chuck	Agriculture Domestic	Alfalfa Grass	65.00 0.17	378.30 3.58	100.00% 51.20%	243.00 3.58	243 7
Bracht, William F. and Alexander, Alicia M.	Agriculture	Other Orchard Pasture	3.70 1.73	18.02 9.58	52.08%	27.60	53
Casa Colina Foundation	Domestic Recreational Lakes	Grass Lake	0.64 0.66	5.63 4.03	51.20% 11.18%	5.63 4.03	11 36
Center Water Company	Municipal			12.51	59.59%	12.51	21
Chung, et al.	Agriculture	Jujube Other Orchard Park	12.53 0.11 0.71	45.48 0.54 3.36	100.00%	34.00	34
Club View Partners	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Cross, Sharon I.	Domestic	Grass	0.06	0.51	51.20%	0.51	1
DaCosta, Dean Edward	Domestic			0.51	51.20%	0.51	1
Dahlquist, George R.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Desert Dawn Mutual Water Company	Municipal			11.92	59.59%	11.92	20

(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Desert Springs Mutual Water Company	Municipal			26.22	59.59%	26.22	44
DJC Corporation	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Gabrych, Eugene	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Gaeta, Miguel and Maria	Domestic			0.51	51.20%	0.51	1
Gaeta, Trinidad	Agriculture Domestic	Grain	37.00	62.90 0.51	48.02% 51.20%	62.90 0.51	131 1
Gardena Mission Church, Inc.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Gayjikian, Samuel and Hazel	Domestic			0.51	51.20%	0.51	1
Golden State Water Company	Municipal			43.81	37.13%	43.81	118
Gordon Acres Water Company	Municipal			10.13	59.59%	10.13	17
Gubler, Hans	Agriculture	Row Crops	3.46	10.00	100.00%	10.00	10
Hal-Dor Ltd.	Agriculture			16.00	100.00%	16.00	16
Harvey, Lisa M.	Domestic	Grass	0.28	0.51	51.20%	0.51	1
Hert, Scott	Agriculture Domestic	Alfalfa	36.00	209.52 0.51	100.00% 51.20%	199.00 0.51	199 1
Hi-Grade Materials Company	Industrial	Lake	2.00	168.00	100.00%	168.00	168
Hitchin Lucerne, Inc.	Domestic			5.12	51.20%	5.12	10
Jubilee Mutual Water Company	Municipal			59.59	59.59%	59.59	100
Juniper Riviera County Water District	Municipal			6.67	10.11%	6.67	66
Kim, Ju Sang	Domestic			0.51	51.20%	0.51	1
Lee, Anna K. and Eshban K.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Lee, Doo Hwan	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Lopez, Baltazar	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0

(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Lua, Michael T. and Donna S.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Lucerne Valley Mutual Water Company	Municipal			19.07	59.59%	19.07	32
Lucerne Valley Partners	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Lucerne Vista Mutual Water Company	Municipal			10.73	59.59%	10.73	18
M.B. Landscaping and Nursery, Inc.	Agriculture Domestic	Alfalfa	189.35	1,102.02 2.05	84.97% 51.20%	1,102.02 2.05	1,297 4
Mitsubishi Cement Corporation	Industrial	Park	5.09	357.00	100.00%	357.00	357
Monaco Investment Company	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Moss, Lawrence W. and Helen J.	Domestic			16.39	51.20%	16.39	32
Norris Trust, Mary Ann	Domestic			0.51	51.20%	0.51	1
Oasis World Mission	Agriculture	Pistachios Jujube	13.90 16.16	64.22 58.66	100.00%	48.00	48
Omya California, Inc.	Industrial			30.00	100.00%	30.00	30
Pak, Kae Soo and Myong Hui Kang	Agriculture	Jujube Row Crops	26.56 0.56	96.41 1.89	100.00%	69.00	69
Pettigrew, Dan	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Pettigrew, James and Cherlyn	Agriculture Domestic	Teff Grass	60.00	332.40 0.51	100.00% 51.20%	138.00 0.51	138 1
Reed, Mike	Domestic	Grass	0.05	0.51	51.20%	0.51	1
Rhee, Andrew N.	Agriculture	Jujube	16.22	58.88	100.00%	41.00	41
Robertson's Ready Mix	Industrial			87.00	100.00%	87.00	87
Royal Way	Agriculture	Lake Other Orchard Park	0.15 2.97 4.16	0.92 14.46 19.68	70.11%	35.06	50

(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
S and E 786 Enterprises, LLC	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Saba, Saba A. and Shirley L.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
San Bernardino County Service Area 29	Parks	Park	7.62	36.04	68.00%	36.04	53
Son's Ranch	Agriculture Domestic	Other Orchard	4.31	20.99 0.51	38.87% 51.20%	20.99 0.51	54 1
Specialty Minerals, Inc.	Industrial			57.00	100.00%	57.00	57
Spillman, James R. and Nancy J.	Domestic	Grass	0.37	4.31	86.21%	4.31	5
The Cushenbury Trust, c/o Specialty Minerals, Inc.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Weiser, et al.	Agriculture Domestic	Row Crops Other Orchard	20.60 1.21	69.42 5.89 0.51	46.49% 51.20%	75.31 0.51	162 1
West End Mutual Water Company	Municipal			7.75	59.59%	7.75	13
Wilshire Road Partners	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Minimal Producers	Domestic			488.49	51.20%	488.49	954

Summary for the Este Subarea

3,827.01 5,055.00

(Unless Otherwise Noted All Amounts Shown Are in Acre-feet)

Producer	Water Use	Irrigation Type	Irrigated Acres	Potential Consumptive Use	Potential Consumptive Use Percentage	Consumptive Use	Verified Production
Aerochem, Inc.	Industrial			10.00	100.00%	10.00	10
Brown, Sue and Doug	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Chamisal Mutual Water Company	Municipal			10.57	35.22%	10.57	30
Dossey, D. A.	No Use			0.00	0.00%	0.00	0
Handrinos, Nicole A.	Domestic			0.32	31.52%	0.32	1
Hettinga Revocable Trust	Agriculture	Alfalfa Grain	149.00 147.00	882.08 249.90	100.00%	674.00	674
	Dairy			534.00	100.00%	534.00	534
Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District	Municipal Industrial			1,622.15 2.00	66.24% 100.00%	1,622.15 2.00	2,449 2
Troeger Family Trust, Richard H.	Domestic	Grass	0.33	3.45	57.53%	3.45	6
Minimal Producers	Domestic			75.01	31.52%	75.01	238

Summary for the Oeste Subarea

2,931.49 3,944.00

APPENDIX D

Mojave River Discharge at Various Points, 1931-2018

	Mojave Biver et	Mojave Bivor at		Makaun	Lower Narrows + VVWP A	Mojevo	Mojave Bivor at	Mojave Bivor et	Mojave Bivor at
Water Year	The Forks ⁽²⁾	Lower Narrows ⁽³⁾	VVWRA Discharge	Water Purchases	+ Makeup Water	River at Barstow ⁽⁴⁾	Waterman Fault ⁽⁵⁾	Afton, Estimated ⁽⁶⁾	Afton, Measured ⁽⁷⁾
1930-31	15,431	22,460	0	0	22,460	0	0	1,268	1,268
31-32	99.283	84,190	0	0	84,190	40,305	34,109	18.850	-
32-33	22,429	23,910	0	0	23,910	0	0	1.000	-
33-34	16.114	23,830	0	0	23,830	0	0	1.000	-
34-35	57,544	33,810	0	0	33.810	1.180	0	1,000	-
1935-36	24 098	20,420	ů 0	ů 0	20.420	0	ů 0	1,000	-
36-37	169.120	150.253	0	0	150.253	103.879	100.741	54.070	-
37-38	218,195	188,080	0	0	188,080	138.094	137.466	72,200	-
38-39	40,494	29.680	0	0	29.680	550	0	1.000	-
39-40	31 159	27,480	ů 0	ů 0	27 480	0	ů 0	1,000	-
1940-41	161.108	143.350	0	0	143.350	96.003	94.670	49,900	-
41-42	26.019	25 790	ů 0	ů 0	25 790	101	0	1,000	-
42-43	149 890	127 287	ů 0	ů 0	127 287	90 974	89 820	47 200	-
43-44	86 762	77 650	ů 0	ů 0	77 650	36 254	35.626	18 200	-
44-45	70 747	54 640	ů 0	ů 0	54 640	22 087	21 459	10,200	-
1945-46	54 464	43 210	ů 0	ů 0	43 210	12 577	11 949	6 720	-
46-47	50,277	37 200	ů 0	ů 0	37 200	2 877	2 249	1,000	-
47-48	13 626	26 310	ů 0	ů 0	26 310	0	0	1,000	-
48-49	22 988	20,510	ů 0	ů 0	20,910	0	0	1,000	-
49-50	12 418	21,630	ů 0	ů 0	21,630	0	0	1,000	-
1950-51	2 219	20,819	ů 0	ů 0	20,819	0	0	1,000	-
51-52	102 948	66 793	ů 0	ů 0	66 793	12 548	8 782	2 190	-
52-53	8.817	21,800	0	0	21,800	0	0	990	990
53-54	54,394	31,230	0	0	31,230	0	0	952	952
54-55	17.873	22.520	0	0	22,520	0	0	912	912
1955-56	16.234	21,743	0	0	21.743	0	0	902	902
56-57	22.076	20,559	0	0	20,559	0	0	753	753
57-58	148.917	98.044	0	0	98.044	20.063	16.297	2.784	2.784
58-59	18.351	20.321	0	0	20.321	4	0	597	597
59-60	8.772	19.274	0	0	19.274	0	0	684	684
1960-61	4.483	18,913	0	0	18,913	0	0	668	668
61-62	67.235	26.761	0	0	26.761	735	0	563	563
62-63	5.636	17.026	0	0	17.026	0	0	751	751
63-64	10.902	17.090	0	0	17,090	1	0	539	539
64-65	21.444	16.802	0	0	16,802	6	0	566	566
1965-66	116.246	51.013	0	0	51.013	6.350	1.340	4,781	4.781
66-67	128.072	74.220	0	0	74,220	7,691	7.063	1.466	1.466
67-68	24.618	18,794	0	0	18,794	0	0	358	358
68-69	341.487	291.130	0	0	291,130	146.601	145,346	72,725	72.725
69-70	17,102	23,115	0	0	23,115	0	0	542	542
1970-71	20,445	20,437	0	0	20,437	0	0	360	360
71-72	23,281	22,804	0	0	22,804	44	0	598	598
72-73	64,375	34,714	0	0	34,714	151	0	311	311
73-74	27,180	17,746	0	0	17,746	0	0	435	435
74-75	16,842	16,619	0	0	16,619	0	0	160	160

Mojave River Discharge at Various Points, 1931-2018

1975-76	23,686	20,182	0	0	20,182	1	0	297	297
76-77	11,714	28,210	0	0	28,210	2	0	897	897
77-78	362,630	209,124	0	0	209,124	50,463	45,013	46,749	46,749
78-79	112,217	72,340	0	0	72,340	5,560	4,932	1,200	1,200
79-80	307,155	229,630	0	0	229,630	137,654	136,399	66,700	66,700
1980-81	16,082	23,147	0	0	23,147	0	0	1,381	1,381
81-82	57,781	35,350	0	0	35,350	1	0	1,052	1,052
82-83	262,174	189,150	0	0	189,150	92,995	91,113	13,312	13,312
83-84	29,323	27,020	0	0	27,020	42	0	1,820	1,820
84-85	24,560	21.056	0	0	21.056	0	0	684	684
1985-86	45.957	16.964	4.286	0	21.250	0	0	550	550
86-87	10.799	14,468	4.601	0	19.069	0	0	561	561
87-88	17.363	16,133	5.484	0	21.617	8	0	915	915
88-89	10,922	11 487	6 3 3 0	0	17.817	0	0	431	431
89-90	7 789	8 918	6 941	ů 0	15 859	ů 0	0	548	548
	1,105	10,010	5,511	0	10,000	0	0	510	5.10
1990-91(1)	38,580	10,848	7,276	0	18,124	0	0	744	744
91 - 92 ⁽¹⁾	86,060	25,673	7,387	0	33,060	30	0	628	628
92-93(1)	428,700	284,939	7,331	0	292,270	122,800	116,604	66,590	66,590
93-94	31,679	10,913	7,753	0	18,666	0	0	483	483
94-95	201,191	113,279	7,949	0	121,228	11,110	9,855	391	391
1995-96	21,400	11,182	8,475	1,804	21,461	0	0	633	633
96-97	31,712	8,211	8,705	2,253	19,169	0	0	646	646
97-98	170,132	83,517	9,353	2,870	95,740	10,512	8,629	1,287	1,287
98-99	9,320	9,298	8,744	0	18,042	0	0	579	579
99-00	19,298	6,990	9,006	3,440	19,436	0	0	283	283
2000-01	17,433	5,618	9,286	3,306	18,210	0	0	350	350
01-02	2,451	4,550	9,689	5,115	19,354	0	0	239	239
02-03	34,197	6,242	10,281	4,753	21,276	0	0	249	249
03-04	36,922	5,384	11,392	5,950	22,726	0	0	394	394
04-05	355,224	192,590	13,246	4,222	210,058	126,168	121,775	44,638	44,638
2005-06	106,946	27,252	13,542	0	40,794	182	0	186	186
06-07	5,866	4,942	13,067	3,008	21,017	0	0	150	150
07-08	50,384	9,155	13,865	2,859	25,879	10	0	166	166
08-09	30.912	4.360	13.609	3.206	21.175	0	0	112	112
09-10	102.427	19,166	14.525	3.074	36,765	374	0	190	190
2010-11	210.108	126.351	14.825	565	141.741	23,358	20.158	6.402	6.402
11-12	29.733	9,504	14.674	0	24.178	0	0	302	302
12-13	9.429	7.325	14.310	0	21.635	0	0	118	118
13-14	12.104	6.790	12.898	0	19.688	42	0	1.404	1.404
14-15	9.032	5.610	12,926	1.513	20.049	0	0	366	366
2015-16	10.664	4,959	12,920	1,315	19.305	ů 0	0	160	160
16-17	57 434	9.626	13 262	2 447	25 335	0 0	0 0	293	293
17-18	16 294	3 787	12,202	2,505	19 116	0	0	197	197
Avg 1931- 1990	65,538	51,958	461	0	52,419	17,097	16,406	8,732	5,943
Avg 1931- 2018	68,953	46,995	3,873	617	51,484	15,004	14,334	7,410	5,372
% Change (1931-90 to 31-2018)	5%	-10%	741%	-	-2%	-12%	-13%	-15%	-10%

Notes

- ⁽¹⁾ Discharge Values from USGS Simulation of Ground-Water Flow in the Mojave River Basin, CA (Lower Narrows and Afton, CA values from gaging station).
- (2) Discharge of Mojave River at The Forks from the addition of values as reported from USGS stations at West Fork Mojave River Near Hesperia, CA (10261000), and Deep Creek Near Hesperia, CA (10260500).
- (3) Discharge of Mojave River at Lower Narrows as reported by USGS station Mojave River at Lower Narrows Near Victorville, CA (10261500).
- (4) Discharge of Mojave River at Barstow as reported by USGS station Mojave River at Barstow, CA (10262500)
- (5) Discharge of Mojave River at Waterman Fault as predicted by model based on surface water losses to groundwater storage between Barstow and Waterman Fault.
- ⁽⁶⁾ Discharge of Mojave River at Afton, CA from water years 1932 through 1952 by William Hardt and published by USGS in Open File Report, "Hydrologic Analysis of Mojave River Basin California using Electric Analog Model" dated August 18, 1971. Water Years 1979 and 1980 estimated by Mojave Basin Area Watermaster. All other water year discharge values as reported by USGS station Mojave River at Afton, CA (10263000).
- ⁽⁷⁾ USGS station Mojave River at Afton, CA (10263000). No reported data for 1931-1952. Water Years 1979 and 1980 estimated by Mojave Basin Area Watermaster.

			Mojave River		
		Mojave	at Lower		
		River at	Narrows +		Mojave
Water	Mojave	Lower	VVWRA +	Mojave	River at
Year	River at	Narrows +	Makeup Water	River at	Waterman
Period	$\mathbf{F}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{I}_{\alpha} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{I}$	$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{X}}$	\mathbf{D}_{1}	D	Eault(4)
I errou	FORKS(*)	VVWRA ⁽²⁾	Purchases ⁽²⁾	Barstow ^(e)	Fault
1931-1990	65,538	52,419	52,419	17,097	16,406

Summary Comparison of Discharge at Various Points on the Mojave River

	Mojave	Mojave	Potential Surface Water Recharge in	Potential Surface
Water Year	River at Afton,	River at Afton,	Baja Subarea Based on Estimated	Water Recharge in Baja Subarea Based on
Period	Estimated ⁽⁵⁾	Measured ⁽⁶⁾	Afton Flow ⁽⁷⁾	Measured Afton Flow ⁽⁸⁾
1931-1990	8,732	5,943	7,675	10,464
1931-2018	7,410	5,372	6,924	8,962

Notes

(1) Combined discharge of USGS stations at West Fork Mojave River Near Hesperia, CA (10261000), and Deep Creek Near Hesperia, CA (10260500).

⁽²⁾ USGS station Mojave River at Lower Narrows Near Victorville, CA (10261500), plus effluent discharges by VVWRA.

⁽³⁾ USGS station Mojave River at Barstow, CA (10262500).

(4) Discharge of Mojave River at Waterman Fault as predicted by model based on surface water losses to groundwater storage between Barstow and Waterman Fault.

⁽⁵⁾ Discharge of Mojave River at Afton, CA from water years 1932 through 1952 by William Hardt and published by USGS in Open-File Report, "Hydrologic Analysis of Mojave River Basin California using Electric Analog Model" dated August 18, 1971. Water Years 1979 and 1980 estimated by Mojave Basin Area Watermaster. All other water year discharge values as reported by USGS station Mojave River at Afton, CA (10263000).

(6) USGS station Mojave River at Afton, CA (10263000). No reported data for 1931-1952. Water Years 1979 and 1980 estimated by Mojave Basin Area Watermaster.

⁽⁷⁾ Flow at Waterman Fault less flow at Afton (includes estimated flows for 1932-1952 and 1979-1980; see footnote 5).

(8) Flow at Waterman Fault less flow at Afton (excludes estimated flows for 1932-1952, but includes estimated flows for 1979-1980; see footnote 6).

ATTACHMENT 1

 Table 5-1 from Watermaster Annual Report

Production Safe Yield Update Based on Long-Term Average Natural Water Supply and Outflow, and Imports, Consumptive Use, and Production for 2018

TABLE 5-1

SUBAREA HYDROLOGICAL INVENTORY BASED ON LONG TERM AVERAGE NATURAL WATER SUPPLY AND OUTFLOW AND 2017-18 IMPORTS AND CONSUMPTIVE USE

WATER SUPPLY		Este	<u>Oeste</u>	Alto	<u>Centro</u>	<u>Baja</u>	<u>Basin Totals</u>
Surface Water Inflow		1,700	1,500	68,500 ¹	33,600 ²	17,358 ³	72,652 4
Subsurface Inflow		0	0	1,000	2,000	1,581 ⁵	0 6
Deep Percolation of Precipitation		0	0	3,500	0	100	3,600
Imports ⁷		2,000	0	2,234	2,262	0	6,496
	TOTAL	3,700	1,500	75,234	37,862	19,039	82,748
CONSUMPTIVE USE AND OUTFLO	W						
Surface Water Outflow		0	0	33,600 ²	16,406 8	5,372 ⁹	5,372
Subsurface Outflow		200	800	2,000	1,581 ⁵	0	0
Consumptive use							
Agriculture ¹⁰		2,327	1,208	1,311	8,895	17,664	31,405
Urban ^{10,11}		1,500	1,724	40,603	7,557	6,338	57,722
Phreatophytes		0	0	11,000	3,000	2,000	16,000
	TOTAL	4,027	3,732	88,514	37,439	31,374	110,499
Surplus / (Deficit)		(327)	(2,232)	(13,280)	423	(12,335)	(27,751)
Total Estimated Production ¹³		5,055	3,944	77,686	20,665	24,524	131,874
PRODUCTION SAFE YIELD ¹⁴	-	4,728	1,712	64,406	21,088	12,189	104,123

(ALL AMOUNTS IN ACRE-FEET)

¹ Average discharge of Mojave River at The Forks, 1931-1990 (The Forks is the addition of reported values from USGS stations at West Fork Mojave River Near Hesperia, CA (10261000) and Deep Creek Near Hesperia, CA (10260500). Includes 3,000 af of ungaged inflow (Judgment, 1996).

² Estimated based on reported flows at USGS gaging station, Mojave River at Victorville Narrows and 1991-2018 Transition Zone water balance (Watermaster Engineer, 2019).

³ Estimated from reported flows at USGS gaging station, Mojave River at Barstow. Includes 16,406 af of Mojave River surface flow across the Waterman Fault estimated by "Evaluations of Potential Mojave River Recharge Losses between Barstow and Waterman Fault", Wagner & Bonsignore, 2012 (see Appendix A, Table 6), and 747 af of local surface inflow from Kane Wash and Boom Creek, and 205 af from washes (Wagner, 2011).

⁴ Represents the sum of Este (1,700 af), Oeste (1,500 af), Alto (68,500 af) and Baja (747 af from Kane Wash and Boom Creek, 205 af from washes).

5 Stamos, 2001 (USGS).

⁶ Inter subarea subsurface flows do not accrue to the total basin water supply.

⁷ Imports for Este are from the Big Bear Area Regional Wastewater Authority; Alto are from Lake Arrowhead Community Services District and pre-purchased groundwater storage for HDPP; Centro are the average make-up water purchases, 1995-2018.

⁸ Estimated from reported flows at USGS gaging station, Mojave River at Barstow (see note #2 above).

⁹ Based on USGS station Mojave River at Afton, CA (10263000) reported discharge for 1931, 1953-2018. Water Years 1979 and 1980 estimated by Mojave Basin Area Watermaster.

¹⁰ 2018 Consumptive Use Analysis by Watermaster.

¹¹ Includes consumptive use of "Minimals Pool" (estimated Minimal's production is 7,077 af).

¹² From USGS Water-Resurces Investigation Report 96-4241 "Riparian Vegetation and Its Water Use During 1995 Along the Mojave River, Southern California" 1996.

¹³ Water production for 2017-18. Included in the production values are the estimated minimal producer's water use by Subarea.

¹⁴ Imported State Water Project water purchased by MWA is not reflected in the above table.

ATTACHMENT 2

TABLE C-1 of Judgment

Subarea Hydrological Inventory Based On Long-Term Average Natural Water Supply and Outflow And Current Year Imports and Consumptive Use

--SAMPLE CALCULATION--TABLE C-1 OF JUDGMENT

Mojave Basin Area Adjudication Subarea Hydrological Inventory Based On Long-Term Average Natural Water Supply and Outflow and Current Year Imports and Consumptive Use (All Amounts in Acre-Feet)

WATER SUPPLY	Este	Oeste	Alto	Centro	Baia	Basin Totals
Surface Water Inflow	2000	00000	1110	00000	20010	100000
Gaged	0	0	65,000	0	0	65,000
Ungaged	1.700	1.500	3.000	37.300^{-1}	14.300^{-2}	6.500 ⁻³
Subsurface Inflow	0	0	1.000	2.000	1.200	0 4
Deep Percolation of Precipitation	0	0	3,500	0	100	3.600
Imports			- ,			- ,
Lake Arrowhead CSD	0	0	1,500	0	0	1,500
Big Bear ARWWA	2,000	0	0	0	0	2,000
TOTAL	3,700	1,500	74,000	39,300	15,600	78,600
CONSUMPTIVE USE AND OUTFLOW						
Surface Water Outflow						
Gaged	0	0	0	0	8,200	8,200
Ungaged	0	0	37,300 1	14,000 5	0	0
Subsurface Outflow	200	800	2,000	1,200	0	0
Consumptive Use						
Agriculture	6,800	2,900	16,300	20,300	30,200	76,500
Urban	1,900	1,200	36,300	9,500	9,700	58,600
Phreatophytes	0	0	5,100	900	1,500	7,500 ⁶
Exports	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	8,900	4,900	97,000	45,900	49,600	150,800
Surplus / (Deficit)	(5,200)	(3,400)	(23,000)	(6,600)	(34,000)	(72,200)
Total Estimated Production (Current Year) ⁷	15,700	7,600	98,900	46,500	54,300	223,000
PRODUCTION SAFE YIELD (Current Year) ⁷	10,500	4,200	75,900	39,900	20,300	150,800

¹ Estimated from reported flows at USGS gaging station, Mojave River at Victorville Narrows.

² Includes 14,000 acre-feeet of Mojave River surface flow across the Waterman Fault estimated from reported flows at USGS gaging station,

Mojave River at Barstow and 300 acre-feet of local surface inflow from Kane Wash.

³ Represents the sum of Este (1,700 af), Oeste (1,500 af), Alto (3,000 af) and Baja (300 af from Kane Wash).

⁴ Inter subarea subsurface flows do not accrue to the total basin water supply.

⁵ Estimated from reported flows at USGS gaging station, Mojave River at Barstow.

⁶ Estimated by Bookman-Edmonston.

⁷ For purposes of this Table, the current year is 1990.

ATTACHMENT 3

TABLE 1

Subarea Hydrological Inventory Based On Long-Term Average Natural Water Supply and Outflow And 1996-97 Imports and Consumptive Use

Consumptive Water Use Study and Update of Production Safe Yield Calculations for the Mojave Basin Area

> Albert A. Webb Associates February 16, 2000

TABLE 1

MOJAVE BASIN AREA ADJUDICATION SUBAREA HYDROLOGICAL INVENTORY BASED ON LONG TERM AVERAGE NATURAL WATER SUPPLY AND OUTFLOW AND 1996-97 IMPORTS AND CONSUMPTIVE USE

(ALL AMOUNTS IN ACRE-FEET)

WATER SUPPLY		Este	Oeste	Alto	Centro	Baja	Basin Totals
Surface Water Inflow							
Gaged		0	0	65,500	0	0	65,500
Ungaged		1,700	1,500	3,600	34,700 ¹	14,400 ²	7,200 ³
Subsurface Inflow		0	0	1,175	2,000	1,200	0 4
Deep Percolation of Precipitation		0	0	3,500	0	100	3,600
Imports							
Lake Arrowhead CSD		0	0	1,620	0	0	1,620
Big Bear Area RWA		2,630	0	0	0	0	2,630
	TOTAL	4,330	1,500	75,395	36,700	15,700	80,550
CONSUMPTIVE USE AND OUTFL	ow						
Surface Water Inflow							
Gaged		0	0	0	0	8,200	8,200
Ungaged		0	0	34,700 ¹	14,000 5	0	0
Subsurface Outflow		825	350	2,000	1,200	0	0
Consumptive use							
Agriculture		3,900	2,300	7,900	13,000	20,800	47,900
Urban ⁶		2,200	1,300	40,700	8,500	7,900	60,600
Phreatophytes		0	0	11,000	3,000	2,000	16,000 7
Exports		0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	6,925	3,950	96,300	39,700	38,900	132,700
Surplus / (Deficit)		(2,595)	(2,450)	(20,905)	(3,000)	(23,200)	(52,150)
Total Estimated Production (Curren	nt Year) ⁸	9,751	6,502	90,767	36,375	43,879	187,274
PRODUCTION SAFE YIELD (Curre	ent Year) ⁹	7,156	4,052	69,862	33,375	20,679	135,124

¹ Estimated from reported flows at USGS gaging station, Mojave River at Victorville Narrows.

² Includes 14,000 acre-feet of Mojave River surface flow across the Waterman Fault estimated from reported flows at USGS gaging station, Mojave River at Barstow, and 400 acre-feet of local surface inflow from Kane Wash and Boom Creek.

³ Represents the sum of Este (1,700 ac.ft.), Oeste (1,500 ac.ft.), Alto (3,600 ac.ft.) and Baja (400 ac.ft. from Kane Wash and Boom Creek).

⁴ Inter subarea subsurface flows do not accrue to the total basin water supply.

⁵ From reported flows at USGS gaging station, Mojave River at Barstow.

⁶ Includes consumptive use of "Minimals Pool".

⁷ From USGS Water-Resurces Investigation Report 96-4241 "Riparian Vegetation and Its Water Use During 1995 Along the Mojave River, Southern California" 1996.

⁸ Based on data in "Fourth Annual Report of the Mojave Basin Area Watermaster, Water Year 1996-97" April 1, 1998. Included in the production values are the estimated minimal producer's water use by Subarea.

⁹ For 1996-97 Water Year. Imported State Water Project water purchased by MWA (4,501 acre-feet) is not reflected in the above table.

ATTACHMENT 4

Figure 3-10 from Watermaster Annual Report

Transition Zone Water Balance



Transition Zone Water Balance
ATTACHMENT G



Transition Zone Production & Water Level for Well 08N04W12C01 (Centro)



Wagner Bor

2





Transition Zone Production & Water Level for Well 08N03W04A07 (Centro) 4









Transition Zone Production & Water Level for Well 08N04W12Q01 (Centro) 6



Wagner Bon Consulting Civil Engineers, A Water levels potentially influenced by pumping of well or wells in the vicinity.





7





8





Transition Zone Production & Water Level for Well 06N04W06E06 & D13¹⁰



Wagner Bon Consulting Civil Engineers, A 1931 - 1993 data from USGS "Simulation of 1994 - 2023 data from Mojave Watermaster.





11

Transition Zone Production & Water Level for Well 06N05W04K01 & Q0112







13



14



Transition Zone Production & Water Level for Well 06N04W29M01



Consulting Civil Engineers, A Water levels potentially influenced by pumping of well or wells in the vicinity.

Wagner B



Transition Zone Production & Average Water Level for <u>ALL</u> Wells





17

ATTACHMENT H

TABLE 4-3

STATUS OF ALTO SUBAREA OBLIGATIONS WATER YEARS 2014-15 THROUGH 2023-24

Water Year	<u>2014-15</u>	<u>2015-16</u>	<u>2016-17</u>	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>2020-21</u>	<u>2021-22</u>	<u>2022-23</u>	<u>2023-24</u>
Average Annual Obligation	22 000	22.000	22.000	22.000	22.000	22 000	22 000	22.000	22.000	22.000
in acre-reet	23,000	23,000	23,000	23,000	23,000	23,000	23,000	23,000	23,000	23,000
Status at Beginning of Water Year										
Cumulative Obligation	483,000	506,000	529,000	552,000	575,000	598,000	621,000	644,000	667,000	690,000
Cumulative Flow	468,411	490,268	<u>511,465</u>	<u>533,205</u>	<u>554,196</u>	<u>577,480</u>	<u>600,435</u>	622,857	<u>646,122</u>	<u>674,269</u>
Net cumulative Credit (Debit)	(14,589)	(15,732)	(17,535)	(18,795)	(20,804)	(20,520)	(20,565)	(21,143)	(20,878)	(15,731)
Flow During Water Year										
Base Flow	5,418	4,851	4,031	3,662	4,533	5,185	4,791	3,337	9,690	8,636
Subsurface Flow	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
Other Water	12,926	12,940	13,262	12,824	13,077	13,719	13,346	15,095	14,274	14,290
Makeup Water Purchased	<u>1,513</u>	<u>1,406</u>	2,447	2,505	<u>3,674</u>	2,051	2,285	2,833	<u>2,183</u>	<u>0</u>
Total Flow	21,857	21,197	21,740	20,991	23,284	22,955	22,422	23,265	28,147	24,926
Minimum Obligations	23,263	23,644	24,245	24,665	25,335	25,240	25,255	25,448	25,359	23,644
Makeup Obligation Incurred	1,406	2,447	2,505	3,674	2,051	2,285	2,833	2,183	0	0
Status at End of Water Year										
Cumulative Obligation	506,000	529,000	552,000	575,000	598,000	621,000	644,000	667,000	690,000	713,000
Cumulative Flow	490,268	<u>511,465</u>	<u>533,205</u>	554,196	<u>577,480</u>	600,435	622,857	646,122	674,269	<u>699,195</u>
Net Cumulative Credit (Debit)	(15,732)	(17,535)	(18,795)	(20,804)	(20,520)	(20,565)	(21,143)	(20,878)	(15,731)	(13,805)
Minimum Obligation for Next Year										
Annual Minimum	18,400	18,400	18,400	18,400	18,400	18,400	18,400	18,400	18,400	18,400
+ 1/3 of Cumulative Debit + Additional to reduce Cumulative	5,244	5,845	6,265	6,935	6,840	6,855	7,048	6,959	5,244	4,602
Debit to Annual Obligation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alternative Minimum ¹										
Minimum Obligation for Next Year	23,644	24,245	24,665	25,335	25,240	25,255	25,448	25,359	23,644	23,002

1) Annual Minimum minus Cumulative Credit but not less than 15,000 acre-feet.

ATTACHMENT I

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster May 30, 2025 Page 1

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

To: Mojave Basin Area Watermaster

From: Kapo Coulibaly, PhD, P.G

Date: May 30, 2025

Re: Statistical Analysis of Groundwater Levels in The Transition Zone

In October 2024, the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside ordered the Watermaster to provide a statistical analysis of the groundwater levels in the Transition Zone. The goal being to establish the trends in groundwater level changes.

1. Approach

The Mann-Kendall (MK) statistical test was used to establish groundwater level trends. This approach was chosen for two reasons:

- The purpose of the MK test is to statistically assess if there is a monotonic upward or downward trend of the variable of interest over time.
- Unlike linear regression it does not require that the data or the residual be normally distributed

The MK test was applied to the depth to water of 39 shallow wells from 1990 to 2024, 40 wells from 1996 to 2024 and 7 wells from 1931 to 1990.

2. Results

a. Period from 1990 to 2024

Of the 39 wells analyzed 34 wells had trends that were significant at the 95% confidence level (pvalue < 0.05). Of these wells 31 (80%) exhibit a negative correlation with time, meaning the depth to water got smaller over time, in other words the groundwater levels have been rising between 1990 and 2024.

The correlation derived from the MK test can be classified as Very Strong, Strong, Moderate, Weak, or Negligible. The number of wells in each category was respectively: 5, 8, 13, 5, and 0 for the rising water level trend.

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster May 30, 2025 Page 2

b. Period from 1996 to 2024

Of the 40 wells analyzed 33 wells had trends that were significant at the 95% confidence level (pvalue < 0.05). Of these, 29 showed an upward water level trend.

The number of wells in the categories Very Strong, Strong, Moderate, Weak, or Negligible were respectively 5, 5, 16, 3,0. Only 4 wells show moderate to strong downward trend for water levels.

c. Period from 1931 to 1990

Only 7 wells had data for this period and 4 of them have a lot of missing data making the results of the MK test unreliable. Of the remaining 3 only one showed a significant trend at the 95% confidence level, which was a groundwater level downward trend.

3. Conclusion

The MK test analysis shows that approximately 70% (1996-2024) to 80% (1990-2024) of the shallow wells in the Transition Zone show either a rise of groundwater levels (Moderate to very strong upward trend) or no change (weak to negligible trend) for the periods from 1996 to 2024 and 1990 to 2024.

ATTACHMENT J



Mojave River Discharge near Hodge and at Lower Narrows



1

Sentinel-2 NDWI imaging near Helendale Fault on April 28, 2023



Wagner Bonsignore Consulting Civil Engineers, A Corporation





Mojave River Discharge near Hodge and at Lower Narrows

Sentinel-2 NDWI imaging near Helendale Fault on April 2, 2024



Wagner Bonsignore Consulting Civil Engineers, A Corporation



ATTACHMENT K



Nicholas F. Bonsignore, P.E. Robert C. Wagner, P.E. Paula J. Whealen Martin Berber, P.E. Patrick W. Ervin, P.E. David P. Lounsbury, P.E. Vincent Maples, P.E. Leah Orloff, Ph.D, P.E. David H. Peterson, C.E.G., C.H.G. Ryan E. Stolfus

MEMORANDUM

Re:	Review of Subsurface Flow at the Alto-Centro Subarea Boundary Mojave Basin Area
Date:	April 16, 2025
From:	Robert C. Wagner, P.E. and David H. Peterson, C.Hg
To:	Mojave Basin Area Watermaster

This memorandum presents a review of previous studies performed to estimate the annual subsurface flow across the Alto-Centro Subarea boundary. In addition, groundwater data reviewed were used to calculate the annual flow across the Helendale fault, which generally defines the subarea boundary. This review relied on existing maps and data; field investigation or well testing were not performed. The sources reviewed are listed in the References.

Hydrogeologic Setting of the Alto Transition Zone - Centro Boundary (Helendale Fault)

The setting of the area reviewed is shown on the attached geologic map from a study of the Helendale fault by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS; Stamos and others, 2003, Figure 2). Groundwater flow through the shallow (Floodplain) and deeper alluvial (Regional) aquifers has been evaluated at the Alto Transition Zone (TZ) - Centro Subarea boundary in several prior studies. These prior studies have generally concluded that the Helendale fault, which generally defines the Alto-Centro subarea boundary, does not impede groundwater flow in the shallow Floodplain aquifer. In California Department of Water Resources Bulletin 84 (DWR; 1967), analysis of groundwater levels concluded that the Helendale fault impedes groundwater flow in the deeper, older alluvium (i.e., Regional aquifer), but not within the recent channel deposits of the Mojave River. The study also noted that upstream of the fault, rising water (i.e., an upward gradient) contributes to the Mojave River, while downstream, this condition is reversed.

A 1971 study by Hardt (USGS) also noted that water levels in wells adjacent to the Mojave River near the Helendale fault indicated that the fault impeded flow in the deeper, older alluvium (Regional aquifer), but not within the overlying Mojave River deposits (Floodplain aquifer). Since most pumping and development is from the shallow river deposits of the Floodplain aquifer, Hardt concluded that ground-water movement was little affected by the Helendale fault. Hardt also noted that the fault acts as a barrier in the older alluvium (Regional aquifer) and causes water to move upward to the land surface on the south (upstream) side of the fault, which in part accounts for the abundance of phreatophytes upstream of the fault.

> 2151 River Plaza Drive • Suite 100 • Sacramento, CA 95833-4133 Pb: 916-441-6850 or 916-448-2821 • Fax: 916-779-3120

Mojave Basin Area Watermaster April 16, 2025 Page 2

Review of well hydrographs prepared by Mojave Water Agency and U.S. Geological Survey for various years generally indicate that water levels in most wells through the Alto TZ remained stable or rose slightly since the early 1990s, indicating that groundwater storage within the Transition Zone has remained relatively stable or has increased slightly. Hydrographs show a period from about 2000 to 2005 when water levels in wells upstream and south of the fault steadily declined up to about seven feet, but rebounded after a large storm event in 2005. Overall, gradients have remained relatively constant.

In the electric analog model of the Mojave basin, Hardt (1971) developed values for transmissivity (the flow through a vertical strip through the aquifer one foot wide) of the Floodplain aquifer, based on an empirical relationship between specific capacity of a well (the pumping yield per foot of drawdown) and transmissivity. This was obtained mainly by estimating transmissivity of the materials from information on well drillers reports. Hardt notes that the values of transmissivity developed by this method are approximate, and dependent on factors such as the accuracy of the drillers descriptions and estimates of well yield, in addition to construction (efficiency) of the well. Transmissivity developed by this method was about 100,000 gallons per day (gpd) per foot in the channel deposits (i.e., Floodplain aquifer), and much lower, about 5,000 to 25,000 gpd per foot in the adjacent and underlying older alluvium (Regional aquifer). The transmissivity estimates developed by Hardt (1971) have subsequently been used or cited in subsequent studies by USGS and by private consultants.

In 2003, the USGS released a report of the geohydrologic study of the Helendale fault (Stamos and others, 2003). In that study, multi-point wells (piezometers) were installed in four locations near the fault. The USGS performed a well pumping test in one of the wells (8N/4W-20Q12) and monitored the response in the piezometers. The USGS also performed single well (slug) tests to estimate aquifer transmissivity. The pumping test yielded a calculated transmissivity 1,346 gpd per foot for the Floodplain aquifer, much lower than the transmissivities estimated by Hardt (1971) or Stamos and others (2001). However, the USGS concluded that the tested well may have been located in less permeable materials that were not representative of the overall Floodplain aquifer. The single well (slug) test data also did not agree well with prior studies or well test and so were used only as a relative comparison between the wells for the study.

In a 2013 study of the Centro and Baja subareas, Todd Engineers also used the relationship between specific capacity and transmissivity to estimate transmissivities from well logs. They assumed unconfined aquifer conditions and used a conversion factor of 1,500 to estimate a range of 50,000 to 100,000 gpd/ft for the Floodplain aquifer. The estimates assumed an aquifer thickness of 150 feet, similar to the conditions at the subarea boundary.

Prior Estimates of Subsurface Flow Across the Alto-Centro Subarea Boundary

Early investigations of the Mojave River Groundwater Basin by Department of Water Resources, (DWR; Weber, 1967) estimated groundwater flow from the upper Mojave Basin (Alto, Este, Oeste) to the middle Mojave at 2,000 acre-feet per year (AFY). The subsurface flows across



Mojave Basin Area Watermaster April 16, 2025 Page 3

the subarea boundary were calculated using a form of Darcy's equation. In this equation, the flow across the boundary in gallons per day Q = TIW; where W is the width of aquifer at the basin boundary in feet; T (transmissivity) is the hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer material times the saturated thickness of the aquifer, expressed in gallons per day per foot of aquifer width; and I is the slope of the groundwater surface (i.e., the gradient).

In a 2001 groundwater model by USGS (Stamos and others), Hardt's 1971 transmissivity data were used as initial input to the model and subsequently adjusted during model calibration. From the 2001 USGS groundwater model simulation, flow across the Helendale fault was calculated to range from 2,444 AFY in 1930 to 720 AFY in 1994, with an average of 1,566 AFY over that period. However, the same report cites an estimate by Gregory Mendez of the USGS that as much as 5,000 to 6,000 AFY of groundwater may actually flow through the Floodplain aquifer across the fault, with an additional 1,200 AFY in the deeper Regional Aquifer.

In a 2003 study of the Transition Zone hydrogeology by URS Corporation, the prior estimates of flow by Weber (1987) at 2,000 AFY and USGS (2001) of 1,556 AFY were presented, as well as an independent calculation of flow across the Helendale fault, using previously developed transmissivity values. In their study, URS estimated that average flow across the Helendale fault, using 1998 water levels, was about 3,358 AFY in the Floodplain Aquifer and about 1,220 in the deeper Regional Aquifer across the Helendale fault.

Updated Estimate of Flow Across Alto TZ-Centro Boundary

As part of the current review, we also performed an estimate of groundwater flow across the Helendale fault. Our analysis used water levels and gradients calculated from USGS regional water table maps and MWA hydrographs (see attached 2025 hydrograph map) for the period from 2006 to 2016, and the cross-sectional area of the Floodplain aquifer measured from Cross Section A-A' (see attached) in the 2003 USGS Helendale fault study (Stamos and others, 2003). In addition, we used MWA monitoring data from 2024 to evaluate recent conditions. We compared Floodplain aquifer thickness shown on the USGS cross section (denoted by symbols Qra and Qya) to unpublished cross sections we prepared (Wagner & Bonsignore, 2024) as part of MWA's groundwater model update and found good agreement. Additionally, a transmissivity of 100,000 gpd per foot was used, based on information presented in Hardt (1971) and was divided by an aquifer thickness of 150 feet to obtain a hydraulic conductivity (k) of 666 gallons/day or equivalently, 89 ft/day.

Gradients were estimated from measurements taken from relatively small-scale USGS regional water table maps and so are considered approximate. From the period of 2006 to 2016, water levels near the cross section varied from about 2,391 to 2,396 feet and gradients in the vicinity of the USGS cross section generally ranged from about 0.0024 to 0.0043. The results of our analysis are shown in the following table:



Year	Groundwater	Measurement	Average	Wells and Elevations Used for	Flow
	Elevation Near	Date	Gradient	Gradient Calculation/Distance	through
	Fault (Well				Section,
	Number)				AFY
2006	2,395 (20Q11)	4/21/2006	0.0043	6N1(2,463) – 29E6(2,401) 2.69 mi.	3,411
2010	2,394 (19G4)	3/29/2010	0.0041	6N1(2,459) - 29E6 (2,401)/ 2.69 mi.	3,253
2012	2,396 (19G4)	3/13/2012	0.0029	6N1(2,444) - 29E6 (2,402)/ 2.69 mi.	2,301
2014	2,392 (20Q13)	3/3/2014	0.0030	6F7 (2,438) – 29E6 (2,402)/ 2.27 mi.	2,380
2016	2,391 (20Q13)	3/8/2016	0.0031	6F7 (2,438)- 29E6 (2,401)/ 2.27 mi.	2,459
2018	2,390 (20Q11)		0.0041	6F7 (2,434) - 29E6 (2,385) / 2.27 mi.	3,253
2020	2,391 (20Q11)	Annual	0.0042	6F7 (2,436)- 29E6 (2,386) / 2.27 mi.	3,332
2022	2,390 (20Q11)	Averages	0.0042	6F7(2,436) - 29E6 (2,386) / 2.27 mi.	3,332
2024	2,392 (20Q11)		0.0041	6F7 (2,437) - 29E6 (2,388)/ 2.27 mi.	3,253
Averages	2,393		0.0038		2,997

Subsurface Flows at USGS Cross Section A-A' (Stamos and others, 2003; see attachments)

Note: Water level data from 2006 - 2016 from USGS; data from 2018 - 2024 are from MWA. Gradient calculations for 2018 to 2024 were based on average water levels for the year.

The subsurface flow analysis performed above indicates that flow through the Helendale fault has exceeded 2,000 AFY for the period reviewed. While our analysis relied primarily on water-table maps by USGS published through 2016 and MWA well monitoring data from 2018 to 2024, water levels in wells south and upstream of the fault (Alto TZ) have only changed slightly over time. Since water levels and gradients have been small over time, changes in flow through the subarea boundary are also expected to be small.

Discussion and Conclusions

As previously discussed, calculation of flow using Darcy's Law requires three inputs; cross sectional area, gradient, and permeability/transmissivity. Of these, cross sectional area and gradient can be determined or calculated from well data and water levels. In the analysis, we calculated the area of the saturated Floodplain aquifer using the USGS cross section and an average groundwater elevation of about 2,393 feet. This was the approximate average water level during the period analyzed, although variations in water levels might introduce a few percent of error into the calculations.

Somewhat more difficult to measure is transmissivity, which was obtained from the references reviewed and was based on the approximate relationship between the pumping yield and drawdown observed in newly completed wells, often during initial development. Ideally, transmissivity data would be obtained by controlled, constant-rate pumping tests in several locations, in wells that fully penetrate the aquifer (i.e., at least 150 feet deep in this area). However, without these types of tests, the use of specific capacity data to estimate transmissivity is a broadly accepted method in hydrogeologic studies and is a typical method used in development of groundwater models.



Mojave Basin Area Watermaster April 16, 2025 Page 5

As shown above, the calculated subsurface flow has averaged about 2,997 acre feet per year at Helendale Fault and has been as high as 3,411 acre feet and as low as 2,301 acre feet. The average is at nearly 3,000 acre feet per year, which is about 50% higher than the 2,000 acre feet per year assumed for the Judgement. Additionally, MWA monitoring data for well 08N04W20Q11, located just upstream of the Helendale fault indicates that water levels are little changed since 2018.

Attachments

Geologic Map and Explanation Sheet from Stamos and others, USGS, 2003 (Figure 2) Cross Section A-A' from Stamos and others, USGS, 2003 (Figure 3) Alto Subarea Transition Zone Hydrographs 2025 – MWA

References

Albert A. Webb Associates, 2000, Consumptive Water Use Study and Update of Production Safe Yield Calculations for the Mojave Basin Area: Unpublished report to the Mojave Basin Area Watermaster, dated February 16, 2000, 234p.

Hardt, W.F., 1971, Hydrologic Analysis of Mojave River Basin, California, Using Electric Analog Model: U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report 72-157, 91p.

Mojave Water Agency, 2025, Alto Subarea Transition Zone Hydrographs 2025: unpublished well hydrograph maps prepared by Mojave Water Agency staff.

Richard C. Slade & Associates LLC, 2011, Review of Subsurface Data for Northern Transition Zone, Mojave Water Agency: Unpublished Technical Memorandum to Mojave Water Agency, dated May 31, 2011, 44p.

Stamos, C.L., Martin, P., Nishikawa, T., and Cox, B.F., 2001, Simulation of Ground-Water Flow in the Mojave River Basin, California: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 01-4002, Version 3, 137p., with illustrations.

Stamos, C.L., Cox, B.F., Izbicki, J.A., and Mendez, G.O., 2003, Geologic Setting, Geohydrology and Ground-Water Quality near the Helendale Fault in the Mojave River Basin, San Bernardino County, California: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 03-4069, 53p.

Todd Engineers with Kennedy/Jenks Consultants, 2013, Final Report, Conceptual Hydrogeological Model and Assessment of Water Supply and Demand for the Centro and Baja Management Subareas, Mojave River Groundwater Basin: Unpublished report to the Mojave Water Agency, dated July 2013, 291p. with Appendices.



Mojave Basin Area Watermaster April 16, 2025 Page 6

URS Corporation, 2003, Mojave River Transition Zone Recharge Project, Phase I Report, Transition Zone Hydrogeology: Unpublished report to the Mojave Water Agency, dated March 13, 2003, 112 p., with tables and illustrations.

U.S. Geological Survey, 1996-2016, Regional Water Table in the Mojave River and Morongo Groundwater Basins, Southwestern Mojave Desert, California: Scientific Investigations Maps/Reports prepared by various authors, scale 1:170,000.

Wagner & Bonsignore Consulting Engineers, 2024, Draft geologic cross sections and explanatory text for the Baja and Centro Subareas, Mojave River Groundwater Basin: unpublished consultants report to Mojave Water Agency, 18 sheets (cross sections) and 18p (text).

Weber, E.M. (Supervising Geologist), 1967, Mojave River Groundwater Basins Investigation: California Department of Water Resources, Bulletin 84, 196p, with figures and tables.



Figures from Stamos and others, 2003, USGS Water-Resources Investigations Report 03-4069

Geologic Setting, Geohydrology, and Ground-Water Quality near the Helendale Fault in the Mojave River Basin, San Bernardino County, California


Figure 2. Surface geology, line of cross sections A–A' and B–B', and location of the multiple-well monitoring sites near Helendale, San Bernardino County, California.

Reference: Stamos and others, USGS, 2003



Figure 2.—Continued.



Figure 3. Geologic cross sections near Helendale, San Bernardino County, California, (A) cross section A-A', and (B) cross section B-B'.

Mojave Water Agency, 2025

Alto Subarea Transition Zone Hydrographs 2025



ATTACHMENT L

* Preliminary data, subject to revision.

Mojave River Flow at The Forks Water Years 1931 - 2024



Note: Discharge of Mojave River at The Forks from the addition of values as reported from USGS stations at West Fork Mojave River Near Hesperia, CA (10261000), and Deep Creek Near Hesperia, CA (10260500) from 1931-1971, the greater of 10260500 and Mojave River Below Forks Reservoir Near Hesperia, CA (10261100) from 1972-1974, and the addition of West Fork Mojave River Above Mojave River Forks Reservoir Near Hesperia, CA (10260500) and 10260500 from 1972-1974, and the addition of West Fork Mojave River Above Mojave River Forks Reservoir Near Hesperia, CA (10260500) and 10260500 from 1975-Present.

ATTACHMENT M

